COMMENTARY

Mentoring for the Diverse Range of Family Physicians' Engagement in Research

Myra L. Muramoto, MD, MPH, Melanie Steiner, PhD, David F. Schmitz, MD, and Nahid I. Rianon, MD, DrPH

Primary care researchers are increasingly at the forefront of developing innovations and new research methods to address complex issues in health care, including multi-morbidity, social determinants of health, health equity, managing population health in clinical practice, patient satisfaction, and provider burnout. Research demonstrates that "primary care is the only health care component where an increased supply is associated with better population health and more equitable outcomes." As a primary care specialty, family medicine has evolved beyond its initial focus on clinical practice and education to realizing the imperative for the discipline to robustly engage in research and embrace the responsibility to generate the evidence that drives changes in primary care practice and policy.² The primary care clinic is increasingly seen as a complement to medical school laboratories as a powerful site for developing new evidenced-based medicine, and essential for translating new clinical knowledge into practice. Practice-Based Research Networks (PBRNs) comprising primary care clinicians working in the "real world" of clinics promise to bridge "the gaps between communities, funders and policy makers" and the National Institutes of Health has shown increased interest in strengthening Clinical Translational Science Awardees' collaborations with PBRNs. Despite primary care's proven ability to deliver improved outcomes at a lower cost, not enough family physicians are currently engaging in research to improve practice and inform policy. This commentary attempts to describe the wide range of family physicians' intensity of involvement in primary care research and the prospects of mentoring for these needs. (J Am Board Fam Med 2024;00:000–000.)

Keywords: ADFM/NAPCRG Research Summit 2023, Community-Based Participatory Research, Comparative Effectiveness Research, Faculty, Family Medicine, Mentoring, Practice-based Research Networks, Research

A Family Medicine Interdisciplinary Research "Ecosystem"

To realize the full potential of family medicine research, the discipline needs to grow and sustain a vibrant interdisciplinary research ecosystem. Together with an appropriate administrative infrastructure and a

family medicine culture of inquiry that encourages and rewards research, this research ecosystem would have roles for a broad range of clinical and social sciences professionals and researchers as well as policy experts, patients, and community members. Their contributions to family medicine research would range from the full-time engagement of PhD-trained researchers and physician-scientists to various levels of effort of family physicians and other clinicians, whose day-today immersion in clinical practice would inform and enhance the design, implementation, and interpretation of primary care research. As demonstrated by successful Practice-Based Research Networks (PBRNs), this interdependent, interdisciplinary collaboration between researchers and clinicians is critical for the effective translation of new clinical knowledge into

Submitted 29 February 2024; revised 31 July 2024; accepted 5 August 2024.

This is the Ahead of Print version of the article.

From the Department of Family Medicine, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Colorado (MLM); Department of Family Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN (MS); Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of North Dakota School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Grand Forks, ND (DFS); Department of Family and Community Medicine, McGovern Medical School, University of Texas Houston, Houston, TX (NJR). Funding: None.

Conflict of interest: None.

Corresponding author: Myra L. Muramoto, Department of Family Medicine, University of Colorado School of

Medicine, 12631 E. 17th Ave, Rm 3613A, Aurora, CO 80045 (E-mail: myra.muramoto@cuanschutz.edu).

practice. The interdisciplinary ecosystem would also include patients and community members, whose lived experiences of the social determinants of health provide essential data to inform study questions and advance health equity. 5–9

While there are many roles envisioned in this ecosystem, this commentary focuses on family physicians and trainees who desire meaningful research engagement complementing their clinical practice, not research-intensive careers. We identify some of the gaps in training and needs for research mentoring through programs that differ from those specifically designed for physician-scientists. Adapting the lessons of research-focused development programs, we pose ideas for research mentoring experiences that support family physicians at different levels of engagement, build a culture of curiosity, incorporate approaches of team science and dissemination and implementation science, and strengthen the diversity of family medicine research.

Family Physicians Inhabit a Continuum of Research Engagement

Research engagement among family physicians and trainees forms a continuum, with one end populated by a small number of research-trained physician-scientists. Along the continuum are clinical faculty engaged in implementing clinical trials or educational research, community physicians participating in PBRNs, and trainees completing scholarly and quality improvement projects with residency faculty. 10 Research activity encompasses unfunded scholarship, small intramurally-funded pilot studies, and large extramurally-funded research programs. Levels of family physician engagement range from recruiting patients and consulting on discrete aspects of a study, to leading a project as site or principal investigator, to leading a large interdisciplinary research program. Collectively, this activity produces research and scholarship disseminated through conference presentations and posters, peer-reviewed journal articles, and technical reports. There are well established programs for preparing physicians for research-intensive careers, such as research fellowships and National Institutes of Health (NIH) career development awards, to meet the rapidly growing need for physician-scientists to serve in principal investigator

roles. 11,12 Far fewer programs are available for family physicians who want to meaningfully engage in research without dedicating a majority of their effort to a research career or desiring to lead funded research pro-grams.

Research Mentoring for Academic Family Physician Faculty

Larger academic family medicine departments with robust research infrastructure commonly include research units or divisions incorporating family medicine-relevant disciplines, such as behavioral science and public health, and are often led by PhD-trained faculty whose primary activities focus on extramurally funded research.¹³ These family medicine departments can leverage their research expertise and infrastructure to develop an interdisciplinary team-science approach that aligns research mentoring with the needs of clinical faculty and their trainees to gain basic research skills and produce scholarly work required for academic promotion. Pairing research faculty with physician faculty in an organized mentoring structure that attunes research mentors to the specific needs of clinicians is one way to develop family physician research skills. Ideally, research mentoring provided by a researcher with subject expertise is combined with the provision of dedicated research time (ie, funded research effort), staff support (eg, biostatisticians, research administrators), accountability measures and milestones (eg, writing timelines, outcome reports), research funds (eg, for data collection, publication fees), and researchfocused career development (eg, grant writing workshops, dissemination strategies). Programs aimed at developing physician-scientists, with the requirement that they dedicate substantial effort to research, could be modified (eg, longer timelines, less dedicated research effort) for family physicians not seeking a research-intensive career. Research mentors could prepare family physicians to leverage and use institutional research training programs and resources, such as those offered through Clinical and Translational Science Awardees (CTSAs), and internal pilot funding opportunities. The North American Primary Care Research Group's (NAPCRG) Grant Generating Project^{14–16} and the Association of Departments of Family Medicine's (ADFM) Building Research Capacity Fellowship¹⁷ are other resources providing research mentoring. An additional approach for academic departments to increase research and research mentoring is to install an infrastructure and processes to intentionally and systematically encourage a culture of curiosity and integrate research and clinical innovation activities into patient care and teaching missions, thereby expanding research and scholarship opportunities for all faculty.¹⁸

To diversify family medicine research, departments can also leverage federally sponsored programs designed to increase the participation in research of faculty underrepresented in medicine, for example, National Institutes of Health (NIH) Research Supplements to Promote Diversity in Health-Related Research ("diversity supplements") that build on existing NIH awards. Resources to build research mentoring expertise include the NIH National Research Mentoring Network (NRMN), a training hub for research mentoring across the career spectrum to increase diversity in biomedical research. 19,20 Culturally Aware Mentoring, developed in connection with NRMN, is a specific curriculum designed to increase research mentors' skills in recognizing cultural differences and to teach effective approaches that promote inclusive practices in research.21

Research Mentoring for Clinically and **Education-Focused Faculty**

Many family physician faculty in departments without a designated research unit spend most of their effort in direct patient care and clinical education. Their interest in research participation typically aligns with research directly applicable to clinical practice and/or teaching. Through educational research, family physicians shape the discipline's training content, methods, and goals.²² Recognizing faculty barriers to conducting medical education research (eg, education research skills, dedicated research time, funding, and mentoring²³), medical schools and professional societies have developed programs to train and mentor medical education researchers.²⁴ The Society of Teachers of Family Medicine's (STFM) Faculty Skills Course²⁵ trains family physicians in skills for academic success, for example, teaching methods, academic writing. This STFM faculty development program could be expanded to include training in clinically focused pedagogical research skills for those family physicians interested in educational research.

There is significant opportunity for departments without designated research units to grow clinical research capacity in addition to medical educational research. Increasingly, federal agencies such as the Agency for Health care Research and Quality (AHRQ) and NIH's National Center for Advancing Translational Science support the implementation of translational research findings into active practice.^{26,27} In addition, funders' growing emphasis on health equity, communityengaged research²⁸⁻³⁰ and interest in technology use in primary care offer more opportunities for family physicians to participate in research.³¹ Dissemination and Implementation (D&I) science and clinical translational research, health equity research, and community-based research have in common a team science approach that succeeds through interdisciplinary collaboration. The clinical expertise of family physicians and their experience with diverse communities and the impacts of the social determinants of health make them ideal partners for team science, and projects focused on primary care or community-engaged research, even if they lack formal research training. Collaborators from more research-intensive disciplines, such as public health, social sciences, or clinical specialties, may serve as research mentors or peer mentors to family physicians within the context of team science. Family physicians can contribute to clinical translational research and fill roles in family medicine research as consultants providing subject matter expertise, as study medical directors or site principal investigators for clinical trials, or as hypothesis generators, research incubators, and practice transformation facilitators through their participation in PBRNs. The National Research Network (NRN)³² is an example of the American Academy of Family Physicians' (AAFP) efforts to make research participation by clinically-focused family physicians more accessible and effective.

Research Mentoring for Community Family Physicians

With a focus on delivering high-quality and efficient primary care, community family physicians harness real-world experience to inform patientcentered research. Driven by their motivation to improve care for patients, community physicians can make excellent research partners and should be

recruited and mentored to help develop and test solutions to some of the most common and vexing primary care problems. Since community family physicians typically dedicate most of their work effort to direct patient care, research training and mentoring programs must tightly focus on the core concepts and skills that are most applicable to practice-based research and fit within the demands of clinical practice. The PBRN research facilitation infrastructure can provide family physicians (and their practice staff) with just-intime nuggets of professional development in research concepts and skills most relevant to the research study in which their practice participates and offers potential for longitudinal research mentoring.

Dissemination and Implementation Science and Interdisciplinary Team Science

Still in its relative infancy and defining its applied and theoretical foci, the new field of dissemination and implementation (D&I) science responds to the need to accelerate the translation of clinical research findings into everyday practice.³³ Since many patients receive their care first and foremost in primary care, family physicians are well positioned to significantly contribute to D&I research to improve the translation of new clinical knowledge into primary care practice.³⁴ Incorporating key aspects of D&I science into family medicine research training and mentoring is likely warranted, although at this point, growing D&I training resources developed by CTSAs are predominately aimed at research-intensive faculty and trainees.35

As interprofessional team-based care is increasingly the norm in primary care practice, team science is also highly relevant in family medicine research. Promoting interdisciplinary team science through collaborations and partnerships between family physicians and professional researchers in primary care, specialty disciplines, and the social and behavioral sciences is an exciting avenue for family physician researchers at all levels of research engagement to contribute to solving society's grand challenges. Existing research-related organizations, for example, PBRNs, CTSAs, NAPCRG, and state and national academies of family physicians, can be a good resource for training and mentorship in team science.

Culture of Curiosity for Residents and Medical Students

Efforts to increase family physician research engagement must include medical students and residents. Promoting a culture of curiosity and scholarship is essential in demonstrating family medicine is an academic as well as clinical discipline whose members are engaged with discovering new knowledge throughout their professional lives. Educating learners typically begins with building competence in assessing research literature, and this can stimulate interest in research and in developing research skills. Medical school primary care scholarly concentrations and student research programs can provide infrastructure to cultivate a culture of curiosity, while longitudinal mentoring across residency can guide trainees on the path to becoming future physician-scientists. Family Medicine Residency training now mandates the completion of scholarly projects, including a quality improvement project. This is one entry-point to familiarizing future clinically-focused family physicians to concepts and methods of research and to encourage research participation. As the discipline works to build the robust research capability that family medicine both needs and deserves,2 the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education and the Family Medicine Review Committee play critical roles in setting program standards and requirements that encourage a research-friendly culture in family medicine residency training. This can plant the seeds that research engagement by family physicians who are not research intensive is still critical to improving primary care, for example, through PBRN participation. These governing bodies provide the minimum standards and the justification for the resources and faculty development required to support both faculty and resident research engagement.

Recommendations and a Call for Expanded Models of Research Mentoring

Research mentoring is essential across the full continuum of family physician research engagement and should be intentional, proactive, and aligned with the family physician's interests. For family physicians with an expressed interest in research, we recommend taking greater advantage of existing research development resources, particularly pathways toward becoming physician-scientists. Awareness and promotion of the available

opportunities for faculty (eg, NAPCRG's Building Research Capacity, NIH K-series awards, CTSAs) can increase visibility and spark new faculty interest. At the same time, and for the majority of family physicians who wish to engage with research to a lesser degree, we must expand research mentoring resources beyond the current concentration on physician-scientist development. We suggest developing research mentoring programs specifically aimed at family physicians who wish to participate in research so it complements their clinical practice and matching them with research mentors who can meet them at their level of engagement. Practice facilitators are a potential resource for mentoring in the practicalities of implementing new research knowledge.³⁷ National networks can and should facilitate these relationships (eg, NRMN, NAPCRG). For academic family physicians, research mentoring should be integrated with career mentoring if promotion is tied to scholarly writing and bibliographic products.²¹ Similarly, national family medicine organizations (eg, NAPCRG, AAFP, STFM, ADFM) must supplement their focus on growing family medicine research and advocating for primary care research funding, with sponsoring research mentoring and learning collaboratives for the continuum of research engagement, training department leaders in nurturing research-friendly department cultures, building mentoring infrastructures, and stimulating national dialog that promotes the role of family medicine in D&I and team science as well as advancing primary care.

To see this article online, please go to: http://jabfm.org/content/ 00/00/000.full.

References

- 1. McCauley L, Phillips RL, Meisnere M, Robinson SK, eds. Implementing High-Quality Primary Care: Rebuilding the Foundation of Health Care. National Academies Press; 2021.
- 2. Newton W, Asif IM, Weidner A. A milestone for promoting research in family medicine. Ann Fam Med 2023;21:564-6.
- 3. Gaglioti AH, Werner JJ, Rust G, Fagnan LJ, Neale AV. Practice-based research networks (PBRNs) bridging the gaps between communities, funders, and policymakers. J Am Board Fam Med 2016;29:
- 4. Riley-Behringer M, Davis MM, Werner JJ, Fagnan LJ, Stange KC. The evolving collaborative relationship between practice-based research networks

- (PBRNs) and clinical and translational science awardees (CTSAs). J Clin Transl Sci 2017;1:301-9.
- 5. Spears W, Tsoh JY, Potter MB, et al. Use of Community engagement strategies to increase research participation in practice-based research networks (PBRNs). J Am Board Fam Med 2014; 27:763-71.
- 6. Engster SA, Fascetti C, Mykita A, Pompa K, Reis EC. Bringing parent voices into a pediatric research network through a virtual parent panel. J Am Board Fam Med 2020;33:665-74.
- 7. Sand J, Felzien M, Haeme R, Tapp H, Derkowski D, Westfall JM. The North American Primary Care Research Group's Patient and Clinician Engagement Program (PaCE): demystifying patient engagement through a dyad model. Fam Pract 2017;34:285-9.
- 8. Fisher M, Brewer SE, Westfall JM, et al. Strategies for developing and sustaining patient and community advisory groups: lessons from the state networks of Colorado Ambulatory Practices and Partners (SNOCAP) consortium of practice-based research networks. J Am Board Fam Med 2019;32:663-73.
- 9. Mason K, Martinez-Guijosa A. NAPCRG Patient and clinician engagement: from idea to program to board membership. Ann Fam Med 2022;20:386-386.
- 10. Pimlott N, Katz A. Ecology of family physicians' research engagement. Can Fam Physician 2016;62: 385-90.
- 11. Harding CV, Akabas MH, Andersen OS. History and outcomes of 50 years of physician-scientist training in medical scientist training programs. Acad Med 2017;92:1390-8.
- 12. Li QKW, Wollny K, Twilt M, et al. Curricula, teaching methods, and success metrics of clinicianscientist training programs: a scoping review. Acad Med 2022;97:1403-12.
- 13. Seehusen DA, Koopman RJ, Weidner A, Kulshreshtha A, Ledford CJW. Infrastructure features associated with increased department research capacity. Fam Med 2023;55:367-74.
- 14. Longo DR, Katerndahl DA, Turban DB, et al. The research mentoring relationship in family medicine: findings from the grant generating project. Fam Med 2011;43:240-7.
- 15. Archibald D. A reflection on the grant generating project. Ann Fam Med 2017;15:284-284.
- 16. Phillips WR, Gebauer S, Kueper JK, et al. Primary care research: looking back and moving forward with reflections on NAPCRG's first 50 years. Ann Fam Med 2023;21:456–62.
- 17. Ewigman B, Davis A, Vansaghi T, et al. Building research and scholarship capacity in departments of family medicine: a new joint ADFM-NAPCRG initiative. Ann Fam Med 2016;14:82-3.
- 18. Berge JM, Peek C, Pacala JT, et al. Expanding family medicine scholarship to all faculty: the

- Minnesota model for harmonizing clinical care, education, and research missions. J Am Board Fam Med 2021;34:1055–65.
- Sorkness CA, Pfund C, Ofili EO, NRMN team, et al.
 A new approach to mentoring for research careers: the National Research Mentoring Network. BMC Proc 2017;11:22.
- Guerrero LR, Ho J, Christie C, et al. Using collaborative approaches with a multi-method, multi-site, multi-target intervention: evaluating the National Research Mentoring Network. BMC Proc 2017;11:14.
- Womack VY, Wood CV, House SC, et al. Culturally aware mentorship: lasting impacts of a novel intervention on academic administrators and faculty. PLOS ONE 2020;15:e0236983.
- Mainous A. Future challenges for family medicine education research. Fam Med 2022;54:173–5.
- 23. Yarris LM, Juve AM, Artino AR, et al. Expertise, time, money, mentoring, and reward: systemic barriers that limit education researcher productivity—proceedings from the AAMC GEA Workshop. J Grad Med Educ 2014;6:430–6.
- 24. Seehusen DA, Mainous AG, Chessman AW. Creating a centralized infrastructure to facilitate medical education research. Ann Fam Med 2018;16:257–60.
- 25. Faculty Skills Course. Society of Teachers of Family Medicine. Accessed February 12, 2024. Available at: https://www.stfm.org/facultyskillscourse.
- 26. Clinical and Translational Science Awards (CTSA) Program | National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. Accessed February 12, 2024. Available at: https://ncats.nih.gov/research/research-activities/ctsa#:~:text=Under%20NCATS%27%20leadership%2C%20the%20Clinical,nation%20receive%20CTSA%20Program%20funding.
- Resources for trainees | National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. Accessed February 12, 2024. Available at: https://ncats.nih.gov/resources/ for-trainees.

- 28. Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Ahmed SM, Anise A, University of California, Davis, et al. Assessing meaningful community engagement: a conceptual model to advance health equity through transformed systems for health. NAM Perspect 2022;22.
- 29. Community engagement across the CTSA program consortium | National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. Accessed February 12, 2024. Available at: https://ncats.nih.gov/research/research-activities/ctsa/projects/community-engagement.
- 30. Patient and community engagement | NIH HEAL nitiative. NIH HEAL Initiative. Published July 24, 2023. Accessed February 12, 2024. Available at: https://heal.nih.gov/resources/engagement.
- 31. Neves AL, Burgers J. Digital technologies in primary care: implications for patient care and future research. Eur J Gen Pract 2022;28:203–8.
- 32. National Research Network | AAFP. Family Medicine Research. Accessed February 12, 2024. Available at: https://www.aafp.org/family-physician/patient-care/nrn.html.
- 33. Buchanan GJR, Filiatreau LM, Moore JE. Organizing the dissemination and implementation field: who are we, what are we doing, and how should we do it? Implement Sci Commun 2024; 5:38.
- 34. Miller WL, Rubinstein EB, Howard J, Crabtree BF. Shifting Implementation science theory to empower primary care practices. Ann Fam Med 2019;17:250–6.
- 35. Ford BS, Rabin B, Morrato EH, Glasgow RE. Online resources for dissemination and implementation science: meeting demand and lessons learned. J Clin Transl Sci 2018;2:259–66.
- Phillips W. Pursuing personal passion: learnercentered research mentoring. Fam Med 2018;50: 41–6.
- 37. Parchman M, Ike B, Osterhage K, Johnson A, Baldwin LM. Supporting practice facilitators in a learning health care system. Perm J 2023;27:3–6.