To the Editor: The recent article “Chronic Opioid Analgesic Therapy for Chronic Low Back Pain” by Brown et al. and the accompanying editorial by Terence Murphy offer interesting views regarding the debate about the use of opioids for the treatment of chronic low back pain. Brown et al. appear to base their conclusion that chronic opioids should be considered a legitimate treatment of chronic low back pain on the self-reported responses to surveys given to patients on chronic opioid therapy. Is proper measure to be used, however, to assess the effectiveness of chronic opioids? Alcoholics in the midst of addiction will report that a drink calms them down, improves their thinking, and makes them feel better. Patients when first attempting to stop smoking will frequently have considerable deleterious mental and physical symptoms. If the patient’s sense of well-being is the criterion upon which physicians should base treatment decisions, then the argument can be made that physicians should not advise patients to discontinue alcohol or tobacco if using these substances makes them feel better. Most physicians would recognize that an alcoholic’s or smoker’s view of how the drug is affecting his or her life is unreliable at best.

Dr. Murphy in his editorial touches upon what should be the true measure of the effects of opioids on chronic pain. His position discouraging the use of opioids is based upon his observations that patients who enter his chronic pain program are frequently impaired in their ability to participate in rehabilitation because of their medication use. Functional ability, not self-reported relief of symptoms, should be the benchmark by which opioid usage is measured. A more convincing argument supporting the use of opioids would have been made had Brown et al. shown that the use of opioids decreased lost work days, improved rehabilitation potential, or returned previously disabled individuals to the workforce. The data presented by Brown et al. do not appear to address these issues.

It is important periodically to reexamine commonly held beliefs to see whether these beliefs continue to hold up under the scrutiny of our continually expanding body of knowledge. Brown et al make the case that opioids might need to be considered in the treatment of chronic low back pain, but the evidence to support its effectiveness is lacking. Until studies showing improved functional abilities of those treated with opioids are forthcoming, I will continue to approach the use of narcotics for chronic conditions with extreme caution and skepticism.

Jerry Ryan, MD
University of Wisconsin-Madison
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To the Editor: The abstract and the concluding summary of the paper of Brown et al. on the prescription of oral opioid analogues for chronic backache patients suggest that the authors feel comfortable with recommending wider use of this treatment modality. The intervening 10 pages of text, however, contain numerous important caveats about their use, along with warnings about patient subgroups for whom maintenance opioid therapy is clearly contraindicated. Additional reservations are presented in a related editorial in the same issue of the Journal.2

Risks and restrictions aside, Brown et al. offer little evidence that opioids help backache or other chronic pain patients. They note a lack of adequate published studies on the subject, and they describe the outcome assessment in the principal relevant uncontrolled publication3 as “vague.”

A recently published double-blind crossover study using oral sustained-release morphine showed statistically significant benefits and would appear, at first glance, to support the position of Brown et al. Careful inspection of the figure accompanying the paper of Moulin et al.4 reveals a disturbing pattern: patients reported striking improvement during an initial 3-week titration period, but this benefit appeared to diminish gradually but inexorably during the subsequent 6-week evaluation period. It appears likely that the ratings would have approached placebo levels by 12 weeks after treatment onset had the study been continued for that length of time. This observation is consistent with the known tendency for the benefits of opioid treatment to diminish with time unless dosage is escalated.

Given the known salience of psychosocial factors in pain disorder,5 the weakness of the evidence for sustained efficacy of opioid use in these patients, the increasing reluctance of third party payers to underwrite treatment of unproved value, and the time-tested prescription of interventions that might harm patients, more liberal use of opioids in this population seems unwise. Physicians who choose to try it might be wise