

References

1. Larimore WL, Reynolds JL. Family practice maternity care in America: ruminations on reproducing an endangered species — family physicians who deliver babies. *J Am Board Fam Pract* 1994; 7:478-88.
2. Allen DI, Kamradt JM. Relationship of infant mortality to the availability of obstetrical care in Indiana. *J Fam Pract* 1991; 33:609-13.
3. Larimore WL. Family-centered birthing: a niche for family physicians. *Am Fam Physician* 1993; 47:1365-6.
4. *Idem*. Family-centered birthing: a style of obstetrics for family physicians. *Am Fam Physician* 1993; 48:725-7.
5. Reynolds JL. Who should be doing obstetrics in the 1990s? *Can Fam Physician* 1988; 34:1937-40.
6. Mitford J. *The American way of birth*. New York: Dutton, The Penguin Group, 1993.
7. Davis-Floyd RE. *Birth as an American rite of passage*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1992.
8. Rodney WM. Obstetrics enhanced family practice: an endangered species worth saving! *Fla Fam Physician* 1993; 54:8-9.
9. Wadland WC, Havron AF, Garr D, Schneeweiss R, Smith M. National survey on hospital-based privileges in family practice obstetrics. *Arch Fam Med* 1994; 3:793-800.
10. Newman A. FPs claim obstetricians refuse to provide backup. *Fam Pract News* 1992; 22(21):1,24-5.

To the Editor: The article by Larimore and Reynolds¹ nicely describes and summarizes the literature on family practice obstetrics and makes a reasonable case for continuing and enhancing obstetrics training. There are two interrelated, important issues that they do not address.

First, in the United States there is not an organized system of delivering obstetric care — there are multiple options, providers, and resources. Often, there is a lack of all of these factors in delivering obstetric care. The maintenance of obstetrics as an integral part of family practice must be addressed, clinically, politically, and economically in conjunction with our systems of care and health care reform.

Second, given the family physicians who do obstetrics, what is the guarantee to the population they serve that such services will be offered for a reasonable number of years? There is plenty of anecdotal evidence of burnout and arbitrary withdrawal of obstetric services after a few years of practice — a problem that is particularly acute in small towns and rural areas — that is neither fair to the community nor good for the specialty.

My view is that family practice cannot promote its obstetric tradition and future unless the specialty proposes or participates in developing a system of obstetric services that would be maintained for the community without total reliance on individual provider decisions of whether to practice obstetrics. This implies a much more organized and collaborative relationship with midwives and obstetricians.

Thus, it is unfortunate that the authors do not offer ideas, strategies, or concepts to address the national issue of obstetric care. Under the rubric of women's health, family practice should be proactive at regional and national levels in developing such ideas and how these ideas will relate to, for example, managed care.

Peter Curtis, MD
Chapel Hill, NC

References

1. Larimore WL, Reynolds JL. Family practice maternity care in America: ruminations on reproducing an endangered species — family physicians who deliver babies. *J Am Board Fam Pract* 1994; 7:478-88.

The above letter was referred to the authors of the article in question, who offer the following reply:

To the Editor: We appreciate Professor Curtis's kind comments about our observations¹ and the two important points he raises in his letter. His first point, that the maintenance and promotion of maternity care are foundational and integral to family practice, is crucial. We have discussed this in the past²⁻⁵ and believe that "family medicine without birthing is not family medicine — it's just medicine."⁶ We also concur that the promotion of maternity care in family medicine should be addressed clinically, politically, and economically. However, given that in the United States there is such a diversity of care providers, health and medical systems, and medical and political special interests, it will be very difficult indeed to address these problems in any systematic way.

Nevertheless, until family medicine as an academic discipline commits itself to the provision and role modeling of care during pregnancy and childbirth by family physicians, the first step in solving these problems will never be reached. A clear and consistent message from within our specialty is a critical and unresolved issue that we attempted to address within our paper. We have commented also about this elsewhere.^{5,7-9} We agree with those who believe that family medicine's failure to role model adequately this strategic area of family practice is detrimental to family physicians and the patients we serve.^{4,10-12} In addition, it results in "family practice faculty who have fewer privileges in obstetrics than their residents could obtain in nonteaching hospitals in their future practices,"¹³ leads to "lowered expectations and a decreased breadth of care by residents,"¹⁰ and is associated with decreased satisfaction with family practice and reduced practice diversity and income, and might increase malpractice liability risk.^{14,15}

Obstetric privileges represent an "acid test" for the civil rights of family physicians in any particular hospital or training program.¹⁰ Too often, departments of obstetrics and gynecology have the right to veto privileges for qualified family physicians.^{10,13,16} "When family physicians are both willing and trained to provide obstetric care to meet the critical need of the communities they serve, barriers that are only arbitrary or political must be removed."¹³ The requirement that family practice faculty or practitioners must request obstetric privileges from another specialty, which many believe that family physicians neither can nor should "do OB"^{11,17} (and with a large economic interest in the decision¹⁸), sends the wrong message to our trainees. As Rodney has observed, "Be aware that any specialty that cannot provide its own training and evaluate its own privileges (in its own clinical department of the hospital) has been reproductively sterilized."¹⁰