## **BRIEF REPORT**

# The Effect of Veteran Status and Chronic Pain on Past 30-Day Sedative Use Among Community-Dwelling Adult Males

Ayodeji Otufowora, MD, PhD, MPH, Yiyang Liu, PhD, MPH, Aderonke Okusanya, MSHS, Afeez Ogidan, MBChB, Adedoyin Okusanya, MD, and Linda B. Cottler, PhD, MPH, FACE

*Introduction*: Given the high sedative prescription rate, the sedative-associated morbidity, and mortality nationally (especially among veterans), we aimed to test the hypothesis that veteran status in the presence of chronic pain would be associated with greater sedative use when compared with nonveteran status.

*Methods:* The study participants were recruited by Community Health Workers (CHWs) through the ongoing community engagement program (HealthStreet) at the University of Florida. CHWs collected information on sociodemographic factors, health status, and past 30-day drug use patterns.

**Results:** The study sample comprised 4,732 male participants, of which 21% were veterans, 58% were Blacks and 8.4% had used prescription sedatives in the past 30 days. Veterans (vs nonveterans) were twice as likely to have used prescription sedatives in the past 30 days in the presence of chronic pain.

Conclusions: Veterans with chronic pain are a high-risk population for current prescription sedative use. (J Am Board Fam Med 2024;37:118–128.)

Keywords: Chronic Pain, Sedatives, Substance-Related Disorders, Veterans Health

### Introduction

Prescription sedatives such as nonbenzodiazepines and benzodiazepines are central nervous system (CNS) depressants that cause sedation.

This article was externally peer reviewed.

Submitted 9 June 2023; revised 20 June 2023, 27 August 2023; accepted 29 August 2023.

From the Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY (A Otufowora); Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health and Health Professions, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL (YL, LBC); Department of Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences, Western New England University, Springfield, MA (A Okusanya); Department of Medicine & Surgery, Obafemi Awolowo College of Health Sciences, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Sagamu Campus, Ogun State, Nigeria (A Ogidan); Department of Public Health, Milken Institute School of Public Health, The George Washington University, Washington, DC (A Okusanya).

Funding: Funding was provided by the National Institutes of Health National Center for Advancing Translational Science with the National Clinical and Translational Science Award–Grant No. UL1, TR000064 and the NIH National Institute on Drug Abuse. T32DA035167

Conflict of interest: None.

Corresponding author: Ayodeji Otufowora, MD, PhD, MPH, Columbia University Medical Center, 3959 Broadway, CH10, New York, NY 10032 (E-mail: ao2895@cumc.columbia.edu).

Prescription sedatives are used to treat disorders such as anxiety, depression, insomnia, panic disorder, and seizures. 1-4 Benzodiazepines are one of the most highly prescribed prescription medications nationally. 1,5-7 Prescription sedatives have a dose-response relationship effect on CNS activity: at higher doses, they have a CNS-depressant effect and can be used as hypnotics, whereas at lower doses, they produce an anxietyrelieving effect.<sup>8–10</sup> The number of sedative prescriptions may have increased as a result of different factors. These include the safety of newer agents (nonbenzodiazepine agents), the public's awareness of insomnia symptoms, and the sedative's expanded application in treating other mental health conditions. 11 The consequence of such an increase in prescription sedatives is an increase in sedative-associated mortality. The study emphasizes the need to analyze the risks associated with the misuse of prescription sedatives among vulnerable groups (such as military veterans) and develop programs and solutions to address these issues. Veterans make up 5.6% of the overall population of the United States. 12 Many

veterans return home from war with various mental, physical, and social issues that can make them vulnerable. Exposure to stressful environments especially in combat veterans has led to combat-associated post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and the need to relieve these PTSD-related symptoms such as anxiety, depression, and insomnia. In addition, approximately half of the male veterans at the Veteran Affairs (VA) hospital systems 13,14 selfreported having chronic pain which indicates the need for pain medication such as opioids, and the high likelihood for veterans to be coprescribed sedatives. 15-19 Although coprescription of sedatives and opioids is linked to an increased likelihood of opioidassociated mortality, it also presents an opportunity for intervention to decrease opioid-related mortality if risk factors contributing to sedative misuse are identified.20

Some of the extant studies<sup>21,22</sup> in the literature have examined specific sedatives such as benzodiazepine and past-year use in national samples and excluded veteran status as a predictor. Conversely, many studies 16,23-29 with veterans have largely based their analyses on participants recruited through government or VA-affiliated facilities despite the differences in patients' characteristics of veterans who used the VA facilities and those who did not30 and the fact that many communitydwelling veterans receive their health treatment outside the VA system.<sup>31</sup> In the community, although 62% of veterans use the VA system, a substantial portion do not.<sup>32</sup> Thus, a study to assess medication misuse should be reflective of the experience of the average veteran and take into account both VA and non-VA users. Further, as noted in previous studies, 33,34 there are disparities in health outcomes between VA users and non-VA users. Compared with non-VA users, VA users had worse mental and physical health. Examining a population of veterans who received their health care services in a heterogeneous mixture of health care facilities, including VA hospitals, private hospitals, and clinics, is more reflective of the reality of typical veterans in the community.

To fill this gap, we examined past 30-day sedative use among a community sample of male veterans and nonveterans recruited through HealthStreet, a community engagement program at the University of Florida. The HealthStreet program operates on the principle of community-engaged research, which

involves the recruitment of community members by local community health workers to participate in studies. Because veterans have good access to health care<sup>35</sup> and a high prevalence of pain<sup>36–38</sup> we tested the hypothesis that in a community sample, veteran status in the presence of chronic pain would be associated with greater sedative use when compared with nonveteran status with pain.

## **Methods**

## Data Source

We used data collected from October 2011 to February 2020 through the ongoing University of Florida's Clinical and Translational Science Award (UF-CTSA) supported community engagement program (HealthStreet). In the HealthStreet recruitment model,<sup>39</sup> Community Health Workers (CHWs) conduct a 25-minute health intake assessment. This cross-sectional study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the University of Florida.

#### **Variables**

The outcome variable was sedative use in the past 30 days. Independent variables included veteran status and chronic pain. Covariates included age, race, education, proxies for socioeconomic status (employment, food insecurity), marital status, having health insurance, having annual health checkup, number of ED visits in the past 6 months, having self-reported insomnia, anxiety, depression and past 30-day use of prescription opioids, marijuana, high risk alcohol, and illicit drug (cocaine or heroin) use.

## Statistical Analysis

Bivariate analyses ( $\chi^2$ ) were conducted to compare the characteristics of community members who used prescription sedatives in the past 30 days compared with those who did not. Hierarchical multivariate logistic regression was used to assess the association between past 30-day prescription sedative use and the independent variables and the other covariates. Four regression models were sequentially fitted. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. SAS version 9.4 was used in conducting these analyses.

## **Results**

#### **Bivariate Results**

As shown in Table 1, a total of 4732 participants were included in the current analysis. Approximately 21% of the participants were veterans; nearly half endorsed chronic pain (osteoarthritis or back pain). More than half of the participants (55%) were at least 50 years old, with a majority Black participants (58%). More than 2-thirds (77%) had at least a high school education, and 66% stated they were unemployed. Approximately 1-fifth

were married, and nearly half were food insecure. Participants who endorsed past 30-day prescription sedative use (vs those who did not) were more likely to: be veterans, have chronic pain, be White, be at least 50 years of age, have at least a high school education, be unemployed and be food insecure (Table 1).

#### Multivariate Results

As shown in Table 2, model 1 indicated that veteran status and chronic pain were statistically

Table 1. Self-Reported Characteristics of Study Participants by Past 30-Day Sedative Drug Use Status, n = 4,732

Characteristics	Total (n = 4,732) n (%)	Past 30-Day Sedative Non-Use N = 4,366 n (%)	Past 30-Day Sedative Use N = 366 n (%)	<i>p</i> -Value
Veteran status				
Veteran	1,014 (21)	867 (20)	147 (40)	< 0.0001
Non-veteran	3,718 (79)	3,499 (80)	219 (60)	
Chronic pain (back pain or osteoarthritis)	2,307 (49)	2,038 (47)	269 (74)	< 0.0001
Age	, ( ,	,,		
<50 years	2,585 (55)	2,441 (56)	144 (39)	< 0.0001
≥50 years	2,144 (45)	1,923 (44)	221 (61)	
Race	, , ,	, , ,	` '	
Black	2,730 (58)	2,583 (59)	147 (40)	< 0.0001
White	1,669 (35)	1,475 (34)	194 (53)	
Other*	333 (7)	308 (7)	25 (7)	
Education	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. ,	` ,	
<high school<="" td=""><td>1,075 (23)</td><td>1,013 (23)</td><td>62 (17)</td><td>0.0057</td></high>	1,075 (23)	1,013 (23)	62 (17)	0.0057
≥High school	3,647 (77)	3,343 (77)	304 (83)	
Employment				
Employed	1,615 (34)	1,539 (35)	76 (21)	< 0.0001
Unemployed	3,087 (66)	2,800 (65)	287 (79)	
Marital status				
Married	923 (20)	856 (20)	67 (18)	0.5436
Other**	3,794 (80)	3,496 (80)	298 (82)	
Food insecurity	2,183 (46)	1,971 (45)	212 (58)	< 0.0001
Health insurance	2,586 (55)	2,349 (54)	237 (65)	< 0.0001
Annual health checkup	2,943 (62)	2,657 (61)	286 (78)	< 0.0001
ED visits in past 6 months (at least 1 ED visit)	1,417 (30)	1,227 (28)	190 (52)	< 0.0001
Insomnia	1,128 (24)	941 (22)	187 (51)	< 0.0001
Anxiety	994 (21)	751 (17)	243 (67)	< 0.0001
Depression	1,202 (26)	961 (22)	241 (66)	< 0.0001
Other drug use (past 30 days)				
Prescription opioid use	543 (11)	414 (9)	129 (35)	< 0.0001
Marijuana use	1,083 (23)	991 (23)	92 (25)	0.2861
High risk alcohol use	1,415 (30)	1,307 (30)	108 (30)	0.8637
Other illicit drugs (cocaine/heroin)	162 (3)	130 (3)	32 (9)	< 0.0001

 $Other^{\star} = Asian, American \ Indian/Alaskan \ native, \ native \ Hawaiian/Pacific \ Islander.$ 

Other\*\* = never married, separated, divorced, or widowed.

Abbreviation: ED, emergency department.

Table 2. Adjusted Odds of Past 30-Day Prescription Sedative Use Among Community-Dwelling Adult Males in Florida, n = 4,732

Variable         Past 30-Day Scalative Use (vs no. Les) aOR (95% CJ)		Model 1 (Controlled for Chronic Pain and Veteran Status)	Model 2 (Significant Factors from Model 1 + Sociodemographic Factors)	Model 3 (Significant Factors from Model 2 + Health Conditions, Health Care Utilization, and Drug Use)	Model 4 (Model 3 + Interaction Term Veteran Status*Chronic Pain)
n status         Ref         Re	Variable	Past 30-Day Sedative Use (vs no Use) aOR (95% CI)	Past 30-Day Sedative Use (vs no Use) aOR (95% CI)	Past 30-Day Sedative Use (vs no Use) aOR (95% CI)	Past 30-Day Sedative Use (vs no Use) aOR (95% CI)
Ref         Ref         Ref           eran         2.24 (1.79 - 2.82)         1.88 (1.47 - 2.42)         1.67 (1.28 - 2.18)           cic pain (back pain or osteoarthritis)         Ref         Ref         Ref           demographic factors         2.84 (2.22 - 3.63)         2.43 (1.89 - 3.14)         1.42 (1.07 - 1.88)           out         Ref         Ref         Eliminated           ite         0.51 (0.40 - 0.64)         0.75 (0.58 - 0.98)         Indicated           icon         Ref         Eliminated         Ref           icon         Ref         Ref         Ref           ymment         Ref         Ref         Ref           naployed         0.66 (0.50 - 0.88)         0.04 (0.70 - 1.27)         Instituted           red         Ref         Ref         Ref           nicol         0.84 (0.63 - 1.13)         Eliminated           nicol         0.66 (0.50 - 0.88)         0.04 (0.70 - 1.27)         Indicated           red         0.84 (0.63 - 1.13)         Indicated         Indicated           red         1.10 (0.12 - 1.78)         Indicated         Indicated	Veteran status				
ram 2.24 (1.79 – 2.82) 1.88 (1.47 – 2.42) 1.67 (1.28 – 2.18)  lic pain (back pain or osteoarthrifis)  Ref  demographic factors  oup  Ref  title  Ref  1.15 (0.90 – 1.47) Eliminated  title  Ref  1.31 (0.90 – 1.47) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.38) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.38) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.38) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.38) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.38) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.38) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.38) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.38) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.38) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.38) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.37) (0.54 (0.53 – 0.28) (0.54 (0.53 – 0.28) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 0.28) (0.54 (0.51 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 0.28) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.54 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.54 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.54 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.53 – 1.27) (0.54 (0.54 –	Nonveteran	Ref	Ref	Ref	
Ref   Ref   Ref	Veteran	2.24 (1.79 – 2.82)	1.88 (1.47 – 2.42)	1.67 (1.28 – 2.18)	1.52 (0.92 - 2.53)
Ref         Ref         Ref         Ref           demographic factors         2.84 (2.22 – 3.63)         2.43 (1.89 – 3.14)         1.42 (1.07 – 1.88)           oup         Ref         1.15 (0.90 – 1.47)         Eliminated           k         Ref         0.71 (0.40 – 0.64)         0.75 (0.58 – 0.98)           er*         0.74 (0.47 – 1.17)         0.84 (0.51 – 1.38)           ion         Ref         Eliminated           ywnent         Ref         Ref           oyoed         0.04 (0.70 – 1.27)         1           l status         Ref         0.94 (0.70 – 1.27)           l status         Ref         0.94 (0.70 – 1.27)           necturity         Ref         1.41 (1.12 – 1.78)         1.105 (0.81 – 1.37)	Chronic pain (back pain or osteoarthritis)				
demographic factors  coup  Ref  i.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  Ref  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  Ref  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  Eliminated  i.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  i.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  Eliminated  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  i.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  Ref  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  i.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  Eliminated  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  Ref  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  Ref  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  Ref  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  L.15 (0.91 – 1.27)  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  Ref  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  L.15 (0.91 – 1.37)  L.15 (0.90 – 1.47)  L.15 (0.91 – 1.37)	No	Ref	Ref	Ref	
Ref         Ref         Eliminated           ite         0.51 (0.90 – 1.47)         Eliminated           ite         0.51 (0.40 – 0.64)         0.75 (0.58 – 0.98)           et*         0.74 (0.47 – 1.17)         0.84 (0.51 – 1.38)           ite         0.74 (0.47 – 1.17)         0.84 (0.51 – 1.38)           ite         0.74 (0.47 – 1.17)         0.84 (0.51 – 1.38)           ite         0.66 (0.50 – 0.68)         0.94 (0.70 – 1.27)           ite         0.66 (0.50 – 0.88)         0.94 (0.70 – 1.27)           ite         0.66 (0.50 – 0.88)         0.94 (0.70 – 1.27)           ite         0.66 (0.50 – 0.88)         0.94 (0.70 – 1.27)           ite         0.84 (0.65 – 1.13)         Eliminated           ite         0.84 (0.65 – 1.13)         Eliminated           ite         0.94 (0.70 – 1.27)         1.41 (1.12 – 1.78)	Yes	2.84 (2.22 – 3.63)	2.43 (1.89 – 3.14)	1.42 (1.07 - 1.88)	1.37 (0.98 - 0.91)
Ref         Eliminated           1.15 (0.90 – 1.47)         Eliminated           ite         Ref         0.51 (0.40 – 0.64)         0.75 (0.58 – 0.98)           ex*         0.74 (0.47 – 1.17)         0.84 (0.51 – 1.38)           icon         Ref         Eliminated           icon         Ref         Ref           icon         Ref         Ref           icon         0.09 – 1.79)         Ref           icon         0.04 (0.70 – 1.27)           icon         0.04 (0.70 – 1.27)           icon         0.84 (0.63 – 1.13)         Eliminated           icon         0.84 (0.63 – 1.13)         Eliminated           icon         0.84 (0.63 – 1.13)         I.05 (0.81 – 1.37)	Socio-demographic factors				
Ref       Ref       Eliminated         ite       Ref       Eliminated         et*       0.51 (0.40 – 0.64)       0.75 (0.58 – 0.98)         or 4 (0.47 – 1.17)       0.84 (0.51 – 1.38)         nion       Ref       Eliminated         syment       Ref       Ref         nmployed       0.66 (0.50 – 0.88)       0.94 (0.70 – 1.27)         al status       Ref       0.84 (0.63 – 1.13)       Eliminated         nsecurity       Ref       1.41 (1.12 – 1.78)       1.05 (0.81 – 1.37)	Age group				
1.15 (0.90 – 1.47)   Eliminated	<50		Ref		
Ref     0.51 (0.40 – 0.64)     0.75 (0.58 – 0.98)       er*     0.51 (0.40 – 0.64)     0.75 (0.58 – 0.98)       uion     Ref     0.84 (0.51 – 1.38)       igh school     1.33 (0.99 – 1.79)     Eliminated       yyment     Ref     Ref       mployed     0.66 (0.50 – 0.88)     0.94 (0.70 – 1.27)       sl status     Ref       er**     0.84 (0.63 – 1.13)     Eliminated       nsecurity     Ref       1.41 (1.12 – 1.78)     1.05 (0.81 – 1.37)	≥50		1.15(0.90 - 1.47)	Eliminated	Eliminated
Ref       0.51 (0.40 – 0.64)       0.75 (0.58 – 0.98)         0.74 (0.47 – 1.17)       0.84 (0.51 – 1.38)         1       Ref       Eliminated         1       Ref       Ref         0.66 (0.50 – 0.88)       0.94 (0.70 – 1.27)         Ref       0.84 (0.63 – 1.13)       Eliminated         Ref       1.41 (1.12 – 1.78)       1.05 (0.81 – 1.37)	Race				
0.51 (0.40 – 0.64) 0.75 (0.58 – 0.98) 0.74 (0.47 – 1.17) 0.84 (0.51 – 1.38) Ref 1.33 (0.99 – 1.79) Eliminated Ref 0.66 (0.50 – 0.88) 0.94 (0.70 – 1.27) Ref 0.84 (0.63 – 1.13) Ref 0.84 (0.63 – 1.13) Eliminated 1.41 (1.12 – 1.78) 1.05 (0.81 – 1.37)	White		Ref		
1 Ref 1.33 (0.99 - 1.79) Eliminated Ref 0.66 (0.50 - 0.88) 0.94 (0.70 - 1.27) Ref 0.84 (0.63 - 1.13) Eliminated Ref 0.84 (0.63 - 1.13) Eliminated 1.41 (1.12 - 1.78) 1.05 (0.81 - 1.37)	Black		0.51(0.40-0.64)	0.75(0.58-0.98)	0.75 (0.58 - 0.98)
1.33 (0.99 – 1.79)   Eliminated   Ref   0.66 (0.50 – 0.88)   0.94 (0.70 – 1.27)   Ref   0.84 (0.63 – 1.13)   Eliminated   Ref   1.41 (1.12 – 1.78)   1.05 (0.81 – 1.37)	Other*		0.74(0.47 - 1.17)	0.84 (0.51 - 1.38)	$0.83 \ (0.51 - 1.37)$
Ref	Education				
1 1.33 (0.99 – 1.79) Eliminated  Ref 0.66 (0.50 – 0.88) 0.94 (0.70 – 1.27)  Ref 0.84 (0.63 – 1.13) Eliminated  Ref 1.41 (1.12 – 1.78) 1.05 (0.81 – 1.37)	<high school<="" td=""><td></td><td>Ref</td><td></td><td></td></high>		Ref		
Ref       Ref         0.66 (0.50 - 0.88)       0.94 (0.70 - 1.27)         Ref       0.84 (0.63 - 1.13)       Eliminated         Ref       1.41 (1.12 - 1.78)       1.05 (0.81 - 1.37)	≥High school		1.33(0.99 - 1.79)	Eliminated	Eliminated
Ref       Ref         0.66 (0.50 - 0.88)       0.94 (0.70 - 1.27)         Ref       0.84 (0.63 - 1.13)       Eliminated         Ref       1.41 (1.12 - 1.78)       1.05 (0.81 - 1.37)	Employment				
$0.66 \ (0.50 - 0.88) \qquad 0.94 \ (0.70 - 1.27)$ $Ref \qquad 0.84 \ (0.63 - 1.13) \qquad Eliminated$ $Ref \qquad 1.41 \ (1.12 - 1.78) \qquad 1.05 \ (0.81 - 1.37)$	Unemployed		Ref	Ref	Ref
Ref 0.84 (0.63 – 1.13) Eliminated Ref 1.41 (1.12 – 1.78) 1.05 (0.81 –1.37)	Employed		0.66(0.50-0.88)	0.94 (0.70 –1.27)	0.94 (0.70 –1.27)
Ref 0.84 (0.63 – 1.13) Eliminated Ref 1.41 (1.12 – 1.78) 1.05 (0.81 – 1.37)	Marital status				
0.84 (0.63 – 1.13) Eliminated  Ref 1.41 (1.12 – 1.78) 1.05 (0.81 – 1.37)	Other**		Ref		
Ref 1.41 (1.12 – 1.78) 1.05 (0.81 –1.37)	Married		0.84(0.63 - 1.13)	Eliminated	Eliminated
Ref 1.41 (1.12 – 1.78) 1.05 (0.81 –1.37)	Food insecurity				
1.41 (1.12 - 1.78) $1.05 (0.81 - 1.37)$	No		Ref		
	Yes		1.41 (1.12 – 1.78)	1.05 (0.81 –1.37)	1.06 (0.81 –1.38)

	Model 1 (Controlled for Chronic Pain and Veteran Status)	Model 2 (Significant Factors from Model 1 + Sociodemographic Factors)	Model 3 (Significant Factors from Model 2 + Health Conditions, Health Care Utilization, and Drug Use)	Model 4 (Model 3 + Interaction Term Veteran Status*Chronic Pain)
Variable	Past 30-Day Sedative Use (vs no Use) aOR (95% CI)	Past 30-Day Sedative Use (vs no Use) aOR (95% CI)	Past 30-Day Sedative Use (vs no Use) aOR (95% CI)	Past 30-Day Sedative Use (vs no Use) aOR (95% CI)
Health, health care utilization, and drug use				
Health insurance				
No			Ref	Ref
Yes			1.39 (1.06 - 1.83)	1.40 (1.06 - 1.83)
Annual checkup				
$N_{o}$			Ref	Ref
Yes			1.77 (1.31 – 2.39)	1.76(1.31 - 2.38)
ED visits (at least one visit in past 6 months)				
No			Ref	Ref
Yes			1.40(1.09 - 1.81)	1.40(1.09 - 1.81)
Insomnia				
m No			Ref	Ref
Yes			1.41 (1.08 –1.83)	1.41 (1.08 - 1.83)
Anxiety				
$ m N_{o}$			Ref	Ref
Yes			4.65 (3.46 – 6.24)	4.65(3.46 - 6.24)
Depression				
$N_{O}$			Ref	Ref
Yes			1.94 (1.44 – 2.63)	1.95(1.44 - 2.63)
Prescription opioid use (past 30-day)				
$N_{O}$			Ref	Ref
Yes			3.46 (2.61 -4.59)	3.47 (2.62 –4.60)
High risk alcohol use (past 30-day)				
$N_0$			Ref	Ref
Yes			0.99 (0.75 –1.31)	0.99(0.75-1.31)
Marijuana use (past 30-day)				
$N_{0}$			Ref	Ref
Yes			1.02 (0.76 –1.38)	1.02 (0.76 –1.38)

_
$\overline{c}$
a
=
=
≔
•=
=
₹
0
3
_
તં
<u>e</u>
$\overline{}$
=
್ಡ

	Model 1 (Controlled for Chronic Pain and Veteran Status)	Model 2 (Significant Factors from Model 1 + Sociodemographic Factors)	Model 3 (Significant Factors from Model 2 + Health Conditions, Health Care Utilization, and Drug Use)	Model 4 (Model 3 + Interaction Term Veteran Status*Chronic Pain)
Variable	Past 30-Day Sedative Use (vs no Use) aOR (95% CI)	Past 30-Day Sedative Use (vs no Use) aOR (95% CI)	Past 30-Day Sedative Use (vs no Use) aOR (95% CI)	Past 30-Day Sedative Use (vs no Use) aOR (95% CI)
Illicit drug use (cocaine or heroin) (past 30-day)				
No			Ref	Ref
Yes			2.33 (1.42 – 3.83)	2.35 (1.42 – 3.86)
Interaction termveteran status * chronic pain				
Nonveteran and No chronic pain				Ref
Veteran and No chronic pain				1.52 (0.92 - 2.53)
Nonveteran and chronic pain				1.37 (0.98 - 1.91)
Veteran and chronic pain				2.08 (1.02 – 4.27)

Other\* = Asian, American Indian/Alaskan native, native Hawaiian/pacific islander.

Other\*\* = never married, separated, divorced, widowed.

Abbreviations: ED, emergency department; CI, confidence interval; aOR, adjusted odds ratio.

significantly related to sedative use, and both remained significant in model 2 when we controlled for sociodemographic factors. In addition, statistically significant in model 2 were race, employment status, and food insecurity. In model 3, after controlling for significant variables in model 2, veterans were 67% more likely to have used prescription sedatives in the past 30 days compared with nonveterans; individuals with chronic pain were 42% more likely to have used prescription sedative use in the past 30 days compared with those without chronic pain. In addition, compared with Whites, Blacks were 25% less likely to have used prescription sedatives in the past 30 days. Model 3 also showed that individuals who have health insurance (vs no health insurance), have annual checkup (vs no checkup), have visited the ED at least once in the past 6 months were 39%, 77%, and 40% respectively more likely used prescription sedatives in the past 30 days. Individuals with insomnia (vs no insomnia), anxiety (vs no anxiety), and depression (vs no depression) were respectively associated 41%, 365%, and 94% higher risk of past 30-day prescription sedative use. In addition, past 30-day prescription opioid use and illicit drug use were significant predictors for past 30-day prescription sedative use.

Further, in model 4, we tested for interaction between veteran status and chronic pain for predicting past 30-day prescription sedative use. We found a significant interaction between veteran status and chronic pain, meaning that in the presence of chronic pain, veterans were twice as likely to have used prescription sedatives in the past 30 days compared with nonveterans with no pain.

#### Discussion

This analysis examined past 30-day prescription sedative use among a community sample of military veterans and nonveterans. We hypothesized that in this population, veteran status in the presence of chronic pain would be associated with greater sedative use when compared with nonveteran status. This hypothesis was supported. We found that veterans (vs nonveterans) were 1.67 times as likely to have used prescription sedatives in the past 30 days. This result was supported by past literature that veterans have a high prevalence of sedative use. <sup>16,40–42</sup> The stress of regular deployments and exposure to combat are potential risk factors

for mental health problems<sup>26,43–45</sup> including anxiety, depression, insomnia, panic disorder, and PTSD. Veterans, faced with these diagnoses that often require sedative use, coupled with better access to health care and subsequent access to prescription sedatives, are at increased risk for sedative misuse.<sup>35</sup>

Further, we found a significant interaction between veteran status and chronic pain. The relationship between veteran status and sedative use may be moderated by chronic pain. When chronic pain is absent, veterans were no longer at increased risk of prescription sedative use compared with nonveterans. Chronic pain is a very common condition among veterans<sup>46</sup>; the presence of pain due to physical disability and high prevalence of coprescription of both opioids and sedatives 15-17,19,47 may explain the higher odds of past 30-day sedative use in military veterans compared with nonveterans. In addition, pain catastrophizing and perceived pain severity were higher in individuals using benzodiazepines compared with those who were not. 48,49 Prior studies 15,16 in the literature have also shown a high prevalence of coprescription of opioids and sedatives opioids. Our study showed that past 30day sedative users (vs nonusers) were also more likely to be past 30-day prescription opioid users.

Further, the results showed that Black participants were less likely to report using prescription sedatives in the past 30 days compared with their White counterparts as other studies have shown. <sup>3,50–52</sup> Given that sedatives are often coprescribed with opioids <sup>15–17,19,47</sup> and because racial differences exist in the treatment of pain, <sup>53–56</sup> with Blacks more likely to be undertreated for pain than Whites, <sup>57</sup> it is certainly plausible that Blacks were less likely to be prescribed sedatives as well.

In this study we showed a positive relationship between anxiety/depression and past 30-day prescription sedative use. This was expected because anxiety, depression, and insomnia are all indications for sedative use. <sup>58-61</sup> Further, among veterans, studies have reported a high prevalence of mental health conditions <sup>29,62</sup> such as anxiety, <sup>31</sup> depression, <sup>63</sup> or sleep disorders <sup>64</sup> as independent diagnoses or as part of PTSD.

#### Strengths and Limitations

Our study has some limitations including the use of nonrandom study sample, which may account for overrepresentation of some racial-ethnic groups in our study population. In addition, although the data were gathered in 62 of Florida's 67 counties, the limitation of the extrinsic validity of a single state or site study does apply. We do however believe that the inclusion of a large population of a minority group such as African Americans who are often underrepresented in research<sup>65-67</sup> is a strength of this study.

In addition, one of the strengths of this study is the daily recruitment of veterans and nonveterans by Community Health Workers who are culturally sensitive and members of the same community as participants. This gives us confidence in the integrity of our data especially with survey items where social desirability bias is a possibility. Given the chronicity of pain, future research should include a longer evaluation period such as past 60-day sedative use.

#### **Conclusions**

Our study found both veteran status and chronic pain are significant predictors of past 30-day prescription sedative use. Particularly, we found that in the presence of chronic pain, veterans were twice as likely to use prescription sedatives in the past 30 days compared with nonveterans. However, when the pain was absent, veterans were no longer at increased risk of sedative use compared with their counterparts. Thus, although pharmacological treatment of pain is the mainstay of pain management, other options such as physical and occupational therapy, strength training, yoga, biofeedback therapy, interventions such as nerve root block injections, and newer technologies such as spinal nerve stimulation should be fully explored in this population.

#### Teaching Points of Significant Clinical Relevance

The results of this study highlight the need to pay close attention to the indicators of drug use and misuse during military personnel recruitments; to guide against the stress of military life triggering any underlying drug misuse problems. In addition, given the high prevalence of coprescription (and co-use) of opioids and sedatives, particularly in the emergency department (ED),<sup>17</sup> and the downstream public health crisis, the knowledge of these correlates may help guide physicians in the ED in the management of patients by helping identify patients with these risk factors and subsequently provide health education on the importance of complying with

physician's instructions on the appropriate use of medications with high addictive potential as sedatives.

To see this article online, please go to: http://jabfm.org/content/ 37/1/118.full.

#### References

- 1. Agarwal SD, Landon BE. Patterns in outpatient benzodiazepine prescribing in the United States. JAMA Netw Open 2019;2:e187399.
- 2. Lembke A, Papac J, Humphreys K. Our other prescription drug problem. N Engl J Med 2018;378:693-5.
- 3. Olfson M, King M, Schoenbaum M. Benzodiazepine use in the United States. JAMA Psychiatry 2015;72: 136-42.
- 4. Sonnenberg CM, Bierman EJM, Deeg DJH, Comijs HC, van Tilburg W, Beekman ATF. Tenyear trends in benzodiazepine use in the Dutch population. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2012;47:293-301.
- 5. Bachhuber MA, Hennessy S, Cunningham CO, Starrels JL. Increasing benzodiazepine prescriptions and overdose mortality in the United States, 1996-2013. Am J Public Health 2016; 106:686-8.
- 6. Caplan JP, Epstein LA, Quinn DK, Stevens JR, Stern TA. Neuropsychiatric effects of prescription drug abuse. Neuropsychol Rev 2007;17:363-80.
- 7. Goodwin RD, Hasin DS. Sedative use and misuse in the United States. Addiction 2002;97:555-62.
- 8. Montenegro M, Veiga H, Deslandes A, et al. Neuromodulatory effects of caffeine and bromazepam on visual event-related potential (P300): a comparative study. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2005;63:410-5.
- 9. Sloan JW, Wala EP. Pharmacology of sedatives, hypnotics, and anxiolytics. In: Handbook of substance abuse. Tarter RE, Ammerman RT, Ott PJ, eds. Boston, MA: Springer US; 1998:395-433.
- 10. Bertram G, Anthony J. T. Sedative-hypnotic drugs. In: Basic & clinical pharmacology. 13e Eds. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2015.10.
- 11. Vozoris NT, Leung RS. Sedative medication use: prevalence, risk factors, and associations with body mass index using population-level data. Sleep 2011;34:869-74.
- 12. US Department of Veterans Affairs. Veteran population. National Center for Veterans Analysis and Statistics. Available at: https://www.va.gov/vetdata/ veteran\_population.asp. Published August 3, 2023. Accessed February 25, 2020.
- 13. Gressler LE, Martin BC, Hudson TJ, Painter JT. Relationship between concomitant benzodiazepineopioid use and adverse outcomes among US veterans. Pain 2018;159:451-9.

- 14. The Opioid Therapy for Chronic Pain Work Group. VA/DoD Clinical practice guideline for opioid therapy for chronic pain. Department of Veterans Affairs Department of Defense; 2017.
- Sun EC, Dixit A, Humphreys K, Darnall BD, Baker LC, Mackey S. Association between concurrent use of prescription opioids and benzodiazepines and overdose: retrospective analysis. BMJ 2017;356;j760.
- Park TW, Saitz R, Ganoczy D, Ilgen MA, Bohnert ASB. Benzodiazepine prescribing patterns and deaths from drug overdose among US veterans receiving opioid analgesics: case-cohort study. BMJ 2015;350: h2698.
- 17. Kim HS, McCarthy DM, Mark Courtney D, Lank PM, Lambert BL. Benzodiazepine-opioid co-prescribing in a national probability sample of ED encounters. Am J Emerg Med 2017;35:458–64.
- Jeffery MM, Hooten WM, Jena AB, Ross JS, Shah ND, Karaca-Mandic P. Rates of physician co-prescribing of opioids and benzodiazepines after the release of the centers for disease control and prevention guidelines in 2016. JAMA Netw Open 2019;2:e198325.
- 19. Karaca-Mandic P, Meara E, Morden NE. The growing problem of co-treatment with opioids and benzodiazepines. BMJ 2017;356:j1224.
- 20. Larochelle MR, Zhang F, Ross-Degnan D, Wharam JF. Trends in opioid prescribing and co-prescribing of sedative hypnotics for acute and chronic musculoskeletal pain: 2001-2010. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2015;24:885–92.
- 21. Blanco C, Han B, Jones CM, Johnson K, Compton WM. Prevalence and correlates of benzodiazepine use, misuse, and use disorders among adults in the united states. J Clin Psychiatry 2018;79:
- Li C, Santaella-Tenorio J, Mauro PM, Martins SS. Past-year use of prescription opioids and/or benzodiazepines among adults in the United States: estimating medical and nonmedical use in 2015-2016. Drug Alcohol Depend 2019;204:107458.
- Yarborough BJH, Stumbo SP, Stoneburner A, et al. Correlates of benzodiazepine use and adverse outcomes among patients with chronic pain prescribed long-term opioid therapy. Pain Med 2019;20:1148–55.
- Calhoun PS, Elter JR, Jones ER, Kudler H, Straits-Tröster K. Hazardous alcohol use and receipt of risk-reduction counseling among U.S. veterans of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. J Clin Psychiatry 2008;69:1686–93.
- 25. Bradley K, Williams E, Achtmeyer C, Volpp B, Collins B, Kivlahan D. Implementation of evidence-based screening in the Veterans Health Administration. Am J Manag Care 2006;12:597–606.
- 26. Hoge CW, Auchterlonie JL, Milliken CS. Mental health problems, use of mental health services, and attrition from military service after returning from

- deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan. JAMA 2006; 295:1023-32.
- Bray RM, Pemberton MR, Lane ME, Hourani LL, Mattiko MJ, Babeu LA. Substance use and mental health trends among U.S. military active duty personnel: key findings from the 2008 DoD Health Behavior Survey. Mil Med 2010;175:390–9.
- 28. Bray RM, Hourani LL. Substance use trends among active duty military personnel: findings from the United States Department of Defense Health Related Behavior Surveys, 1980-2005. Addiction 2007;102:1092–101.
- Seal KH, Bertenthal D, Maguen S, Gima K, Chu A, Marmar CR. Getting beyond "Don't ask; don't tell": an evaluation of US Veterans Administration post-deployment mental health screening of veterans returning from Iraq and Afghanistan. Am J Public Health 2008;98:714–20.
- Randall M, Kilpatrick KE, Pendergast JF, Jones KR. Differences in patient characteristics between Veterans Administration and community hospitals: implications for VA planning. JSTOR 1987;25: Available at: https://www.jstor.org/stable/3765398. Accessed August 21, 2019.
- Spelman JF, Hunt SC, Seal KH, Burgo-Black AL. Post-deployment care for returning combat veterans. J Gen Intern Med 2012;27:1200-9.
- 32. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. VA Health Care Utilization by Recent Veterans. Public Health. Available at: https://www.publichealth.va.gov/epidemiology/reports/oefoifond/health-care-utilization/. Published August 5 2020. Accessed August 26, 2023.
- 33. Rogers WH, Kazis LE, Miller DR, et al. Comparing the health status of VA and non-VA ambulatory patients: the veterans' health and medical outcomes studies. J Ambul Care Manage 2004; 27:249–62.
- 34. Washington DL, Farmer MM, Mor SS, Canning M, Yano EM. Assessment of the healthcare needs and barriers to VA use experienced by women veterans findings from the National Survey of Women Veterans. Med Care 2014;53:S23–S31.
- 35. Hynes DM, Koelling K, Stroupe K, et al. Veterans' access to and use of Medicare and Veterans Affairs health care. Med Care 2007;45:214–23.
- 36. Nahin RL. Severe pain in veterans: the effect of age and sex, and comparisons with the general population. J Pain 2017;18:247–54.
- 37. Teachman J. Are veterans healthier? Military service and health at age 40 in the all-volunteer era. Soc Sci Res 2011;40:326–35.
- 38. Barrett DH, Boehmer TK, Boothe VL, Flanders WD, Barrett DH. Health-related quality of life of U.S. military personnel: a population-based study. Mil Med 2003;168:941–7.

- 39. Cottler LB, Striley CW, O'Leary CC, Ruktanonchai CW, Wilhelm KA. Engaging the community in research with the HealthStreet model: national and international perspectives. In: Translational Medicine - What, Why and How: An International Perspective. Alving B, Dai K, Chan SHH, eds. Vol 3. Translational research in biomedicine. Basel: S. Karger AG; 2012:98-109.
- 40. Kelley ML, Bravo AJ, Votaw VR, Stein E, Redman JC, Witkiewitz K. Opioid and sedative misuse among veterans wounded in combat. Addict Behav 2019;92:168-72.
- 41. Hawkins EJ, Malte CA, Imel ZE, Saxon AJ, Kivlahan DR. Prevalence and trends of benzodiazepine use among Veterans Affairs patients with post-traumatic stress disorder, 2003-2010. Drug Alcohol Depend 2012:124:154-61.
- 42. Golub A, Bennett AS. Substance use over the military-veteran life course: an analysis of a sample of OEF/OIF veterans returning to low-income predominately minority communities. Addict Behav 2014;39:449-54.
- 43. Hoge CW, Castro CA, Messer SC, McGurk D, Cotting DI, Koffman RL. Combat duty in Iraq and Afghanistan, mental health problems, and barriers to care. N Engl J Med 2004;351:13-22.
- 44. Stimpson NJ, Thomas HV, Weightman AL, Dunstan F, Lewis G. Psychiatric disorder in veterans of the Persian Gulf War of 1991. Br J Psychiatry 2003;182:391-403.
- 45. Dobkin C, Shabani R. The health effects of military service: evidence from the Vietnam draft. Econ Inq 2009;47:69-80.
- 46. Gironda RJ, Clark ME, Massengale JP, Walker RL. Pain among veterans of operations enduring freedom and Iraqi freedom. academic.oup.com 2006;7: 339-43. Available at: https://academic.oup.com/ painmedicine/article-abstract/7/4/339/1937835. Accessed August 22, 2019.
- 47. Hwang CS, Kang EM, Kornegay CJ, Staffa JA, Jones CM, McAninch JK. Trends in the concomitant prescribing of opioids and benzodiazepines, 2002-2014. Am J Prev Med 2016;51: 151-60.
- 48. Cunningham JL, Craner JR, Evans MM, Hooten WM. Benzodiazepine use in patients with chronic pain in an interdisciplinary pain rehabilitation program. J Pain Res 2017;10:311-7.
- 49. Otufowora A. Re: "Predicting risk for opioid misuse in chronic pain with a single-item measure of catastrophic thinking". J Am Board Fam Med 2018;31:490.
- 50. Maust DT, Kales HC, Wiechers IR, Blow FC, Olfson M. No end in sight: benzodiazepine use in older adults in the United States. J Am Geriatr Soc 2016;64:2546-53.
- 51. Cook B, Creedon T, Wang Y, et al. Examining racial/ethnic differences in patterns of benzodiazepine

- prescription and misuse. Drug Alcohol Depend 2018; 187:29-34.
- 52. Maust DT, Lin LA, Blow FC. Benzodiazepine use and misuse among adults in the United States. Psychiatr Serv 2019;70:97–106.
- 53. Meghani SH, Keane A. Preference for analgesic treatment for cancer pain among African Americans. J Pain Symptom Manage 2007;34:136–47.
- 54. Meghani SH, Byun E, Gallagher RM. Time to take stock: a meta-analysis and systematic review of analgesic treatment disparities for pain in the United States. Pain Med 2012;13:150-74.
- 55. Todd KH, Samaroo N, Hoffman JR. Ethnicity as a risk factor for inadequate emergency department analgesia. JAMA 1993;269:1537-9.
- 56. Motov SM, Khan AN. Problems and barriers of pain management in the emergency department: Are we ever going to get better? JPR 2008;2: 5-11.
- 57. Pletcher MJ, Kertesz SG, Kohn MA, Gonzales R. Trends in opioid prescribing by race/ethnicity for patients seeking care in US emergency departments. JAMA 2008;299:70-8.
- 58. Drugs.com. Zolpidem FDA prescribing information, side effects and uses. drugs.com. Available at: https://www.drugs.com/pro/zolpidem.html. Published February 2019;27. Accessed February 28, 2020.
- 59. Xanax: uses, dosage, side effects & warnings. drugs. com. Available at: https://www.drugs.com/xanax. html. Published March 2019;4:Drugscom. Accessed March 5, 2020.
- 60. Drugs.com. Valium (diazepam): uses, dosage, side effects. Drugs.com. Available at: https://www.drugs. com/valium.html. Published February 2019;3. Accessed March 2, 2020.
- 61. Whiteside LK, Bohnert AS, Walton MA, Blow FC, Zimmerman M, Cunningham RM. Prevalence and correlates of nonmedical prescription opiate and nonmedical prescription sedative use among a group of adolescents and young adults with current drug use in an urban emergency department. Drug Alcohol Depend 2014;140: e242-e243.
- 62. Thomas JL, Wilk JE, Riviere LA, McGurk D, Castro CA, Hoge CW. Prevalence of mental health problems and functional impairment among active component and National Guard soldiers 3 and 12 months following combat in Iraq. JAMA Psychiatry 2010:67:614-23.
- 63. Yoon G, Petrakis IL, Rosenheck RA. Correlates of major depressive disorder with and without comorbid alcohol use disorder nationally in the Veterans Health Administration. Am J Addict 2015;24:419–26.
- 64. Seelig AD, Jacobson IG, Smith B, Millennium Cohort Study Team, et al. Sleep patterns before,

- during, and after deployment to Iraq and Afghanistan. Sleep. 2010;33:1615-22.
- 65. McCaskill-Stevens W, Pinto H, Marcus AC, et al. Recruiting minority cancer patients into cancer clinical trials: a pilot project involving the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group and the National Medical Association. J Clin Oncol 1999;17:1029-39.
- 66. Crawley LM. African-American participation in clinical trials: situating trust and trustworthiness. J Natl Med Assoc 2001;93:14S-7S.
- 67. Heller C, Balls-Berry JE, Nery JD, et al. Strategies addressing barriers to clinical trial enrollment of under-represented populations: a systematic review. Contemp Clin Trials 2014;39:169-82.