# ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Demographic Characteristics Associated With Utilization of Noninvasive Treatments for Chronic Low Back Pain and Related Clinical Outcomes During the COVID-19 Pandemic in the United States

John C. Licciardone, DO, MS, MBA

Introduction: This study was conducted to determine if limited access to health care during the COVID-19 pandemic impacted utilization of recommended nonpharmacological treatments, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and opioids by patients with chronic low back pain and affected clinical outcomes relating to pain intensity and disability.

Methods: Participants within the Pain Registry for Epidemiological, Clinical, and Interventional Studies and Innovation were eligible if they provided encounter data in the 3 months immediately before and after the national emergency proclamation date (NEPD).

Results: The mean age of the 528 study participants was 53.9 years and 74.1% were women. Utilization of exercise therapy, massage therapy, and spinal manipulation decreased during the pandemic. Increasing age was associated with decreased utilization of all nonpharmacological treatments except exercise therapy, and with increased opioid use during the pandemic. African American participants reported decreased utilization of yoga and spinal manipulation during the pandemic. Overall, mean change scores for pain intensity and disability before and after the NEPD were not significant. However, African American participants consistently reported worse pain intensity and disability outcomes during the pandemic. Marginally worse outcomes were observed less consistently for pain intensity with increasing age and for disability among women.

Discussion: Social distancing during the pandemic impacted the uptake of recommended nonpharmacological treatments for chronic low back pain that require visiting community-based facilities or interacting closely with providers.

Conclusions: The pandemic threatens to exacerbate the impact of chronic low back pain, particularly among African American patients and the older population, by impeding access to guidelineinformed noninvasive treatments. (J Am Board Fam Med 2021;34:S77–S84.)

Keywords: Access to Health Care, COVID-19, Exercise Therapy, Low Back Pain, Opioids, Pandemics, Registries

### Introduction

A proclamation of national emergency in the United States was issued on March 13, 2020, pursuant to the declaration by the World Health Organization of the pandemic of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) caused by the novel virus known as SARS-CoV-2.1 Nonessential commercial activities and discretionary health care services were subsequently "locked down" in many states following this proclamation. It is unclear how such restrictions may have impacted the management of chronic pain, particularly about noninvasive treatments that form the basis for major guideline recommendations developed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the American College of Physicians (ACP). The CDC guideline recommends that nonpharmacological and nonopioid pharmacological treatments are the preferred approaches for patients with chronic pain before

This article was externally peer reviewed.

Submitted 9 July 2020; revised 22 July 2020; accepted 23

From the University of North Texas, Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX 76107 USA (JCL).

Funding: No funding was required for this study. Conflicts of interest: None declared.

Corresponding author: John C. Licciardone, DO, MS, MBA, Regents Professor, University of North Texas Health Science Center, 3500 Camp Bowie Boulevard, Fort Worth, TX 76107 USA, Telephone: 817-735-2028 (Email: john. licciardone@unthsc.edu).

considering opioids and, that if opioids are used, the former treatments be combined with opioids when appropriate.<sup>2</sup> The ACP guideline, which is specific to low back pain, recommends that exercise therapy, yoga, spinal manipulation, acupuncture, or cognitive behavioral therapy be selected as initial treatments for patients with chronic low back pain.<sup>3</sup>

Nonpharmacological treatments for low back pain may be difficult to access during a pandemic when health care facilities are closed or their services are limited by social distancing recommendations and related mandates. Under such circumstances, chronic pain management may not be in accord with best practices. The Pain Registry for Epidemiological, Clinical, and Interventional Studies and Innovation (PRECISION Pain Research Registry) routinely collects data on both nonpharmacological and pharmacological treatments for chronic low back pain, as well as on pain and functioning outcomes. Thus, these aspects of the registry facilitate research to determine the demographic characteristics associated with utilization of such noninvasive treatments as exercise therapy, yoga, massage therapy, spinal manipulation, acupuncture, cognitive behavioral therapy, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and opioids for chronic low back pain and affected clinical outcomes relating to pain intensity and disability of participants during the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States.

# Methods

The PRECISION Pain Research Registry was established at the University of North Texas Health Science Center in 2016. The registry initially focused on building local research infrastructure relating to low back pain using in-person data collection methods through 2018.4 However, in response to an identified need for national registries in the Federal Pain Research Strategy,<sup>5</sup> the PRECISION Pain Research Registry implemented a digital research platform that eventually enabled it to extend its geographical reach to conduct research specifically on chronic low back pain in the 48 contiguous states and District of Columbia in 2020. On enrolling in the registry, all participants provide responses to a series of validated research instruments, including the Minimum Dataset recommended by the National Institutes of Health Task Force on Research Standards for Chronic Low Back Pain,<sup>6</sup> Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ), Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement

Information System with 29 items (PROMIS-29),<sup>8</sup> Pain Catastrophizing Scale,<sup>9</sup> and Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire.<sup>10</sup> Participants also provide data on their history of common comorbid medical conditions and current utilization of nonpharmacological and pharmacological treatments for chronic low back pain.

Participants with chronic low back pain enrolled in the PRECISION Pain Research Registry were included in this study if they provided quarterly encounter data relating to pain management and clinical outcomes during the intervals of 3 months immediately before (pre-COVID-19) and after (during COVID-19) the national emergency proclamation relating to the pandemic that was issued on March 13, 2020. Each participant was scheduled to provide ongoing registry data collection at intervals of 3 months  $\pm$  2 weeks. Thus, the time difference between pre-COVID-19 and during-COVID-19 responses for each participant was in the range of 10 to 14 weeks. The diagnosis of chronic low back pain was based on the case definition established by the National Institutes of Health Task Force on Research Standards for Chronic Low Back Pain, which requires that participants report having low back pain for at least the past 3 to 6 months and with a daily frequency of at least 1-half of the days in the past 6 months.<sup>6</sup> The current utilization of exercise therapy (at a facility outside the home), yoga, massage therapy, spinal manipulation, acupuncture, and cognitive behavioral therapy was measured before and after the national emergency proclamation date, as was the current use of NSAIDs and opioids for low back pain. Similarly, a numerical rating scale (NRS) for low back pain intensity (0-10) and the RMDQ for back-related disability were both administered before and after the national emergency proclamation date. Other clinical outcome measures were not analyzed in this study because they were not routinely collected at all quarterly registry encounters. This study was approved by the North Texas Regional Institutional Review Board and all participants provided written informed consent.

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize participant characteristics using the mean with standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and the number and percentage for categorical variables. The change in utilization of each nonpharmacological and pharmacological treatment before and after the national emergency proclamation date was analyzed using McNemar's test. The latter compared the frequency of discordant utilization

patterns pre-COVID-19 and during COVID-19 for each participant (ie, utilization of a given treatment pre-COVID-19 vs no utilization of the treatment during COVID-19, or vice versa). Multiple logistic regression was used to identify demographic factors associated with utilization of each nonpharmacological and pharmacological treatment during the pandemic. The mean change scores for paired measures of the NRS for low back pain intensity and RMDQ for back-related disability for each participant before and after the national emergency proclamation date were analyzed using the t-test. Linear regression was further used to measure the associations among age, sex, race, and ethnicity and low back pain intensity and backrelated disability during the pandemic. A series of regression models were used, including a simple linear regression model with each demographic characteristic as a predictor (model 1), a partially adjusted model that controlled for each demographic characteristic (model 2), and a fully adjusted model that adjusted for each demographic characteristic and utilization of each nonpharmacological treatment, NSAIDs, and opioids (model 3). The logistic and linear regression models excluded participants within the racial categories other than African American or White to avoid unstable estimates for these other categories because of small cell counts; however, there were sufficient numbers of Hispanic participants to include ethnicity in these models. Data management and statistical analyses were primarily performed with the IBM SPSS Statistics software package (Version 25). Two-sided tests and significance thresholds of  $P \le .05$  were used for all statistical analyses.

#### Results

A total of 528 registry participants with chronic low back pain, including 469 (88.8%) from Texas, provided paired data pre-COVID-19 and during COVID-19. The mean age of participants pre-COVID-19 was 53.9 years  $\pm$  13.0 years and 391 (74.1%) participants were women (Table 1). In the pre-COVID-19 period, about 1-half of participants currently reported depression and almost 1-fourth reported widespread pain. The pre-COVID-19 mean scores on the NRS for low back pain intensity and RMDQ for back-related disability were  $5.8 \pm 2.2$  and  $13.7 \pm 6.7$ , respectively. On enrolling in the registry, participants also reported poor

Table 1. Participant Characteristics During the pre-COVID-19 Pandemic Period (n = 528)\*

Characteristic	%
Sociodemographic	
Age, y (mean $\pm$ SD)	$53.9 \pm 13.0$
Sex (women)	74.1
Race (non-White)	25.0
Ethnicity (Hispanic)	11.7
Education (college degree or higher)	38.4
Ever had work loss $\geq 1$ month due to LBP	42.6
Ever received disability or workers' compensation benefits due to LBP	23.1
Ever involved in a legal claim for LBP	11.6
Comorbidity history	
Herniated disc	34.7
Sciatica	40.9
Osteoarthritis	39.0
Hypertension	40.5
Diabetes mellitus	19.3
Asthma	27.5
Depression	49.8
Clinical	
Current smoker	14.2
Current widespread pain	24.2
Ever had LBP surgery	18.8
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup> (mean $\pm$ SD)	$32.5 \pm 8.2$
Pain catastrophizing (PCS) (mean $\pm$ SD) <sup>†</sup>	$19.0 \pm 13.8$
Pain self-efficacy (PSEQ) (mean ± SD) <sup>†</sup>	$34.5 \pm 15.0$
LBP intensity, NRS (mean $\pm$ SD)	$5.8 \pm 2.2$
Back-related disability, RMDQ (mean $\pm$ SD)	$13.7 \pm 6.7$
Quality of life (PROMIS-29) <sup>†‡</sup>	
Physical function (mean ± SD)	$38.2 \pm 6.8$
Anxiety (mean $\pm$ SD)	$54.1 \pm 10.5$
Depression (mean $\pm$ SD)	$52.3 \pm 9.6$
Low energy/fatigue (mean ± SD)	$57.9 \pm 10.4$
Sleep disturbance (mean ± SD)	$56.5 \pm 8.5$
Participation in social roles and activities (mean ± SD)	$44.0 \pm 8.8$
Pain interference with activities (mean ± SD)	$62.8 \pm 7.4$

<sup>\*</sup>Table entries are percentages unless otherwise indicated. LBP denotes low back pain; NRS, numerical rating scale; PCS, Pain Catastrophizing Scale; PROMIS-29, Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System with 29 items; PSEQ, Pain Self-Efficacy Questionnaire; RMDQ, Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Data were collected at the time of registry enrollment.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ddagger}$ Scale scores are normed to the United States general population, with mean  $\pm$  SD =  $50 \pm 10$ . Higher scores represent worse quality of life on all scales except physical function and participation in social roles and activities.

SD, standard deviation.

quality of life on all PROMIS-29 scales, including substantial deficits (>1 SD worse than the mean of the United States general population) in scores for physical function (38.2  $\pm$  6.8) and pain interference with activities (62.8  $\pm$  7.4).

The utilization of exercise therapy (odds ratio [OR]=0.42; 95% CI, 0.27-0.65), massage therapy (OR=0.42; 95% CI, 0.25-0.71), and spinal manipulation (OR=0.30; 95% CI, 0.15-0.57) for chronic low back pain each decreased during the pandemic (Table 2). However, there was no significant change in the utilization of NSAIDs or opioids for low back pain during the pandemic. After controlling for sex, race, and ethnicity, increasing age was associated with decreased utilization of all nonpharmacological treatments except exercise therapy during the pandemic (Table 3). However, increasing age was associated with increased use of opioids during the pandemic (multivariate OR = 1.23; 95% CI, 1.05-1.44). African American participants reported decreased utilization of yoga (multivariate OR = 0.40; 95% CI, 0.16-0.97) and spinal manipulation (multivariate OR = 0.37; 95% CI, 0.16-0.85) during the pandemic. Sex and ethnicity were not associated with utilization of any nonpharmacological or pharmacological treatment during the pandemic.

The mean change scores from before to after the national emergency proclamation date were -0.08(95% CI, -0.21 to 0.06) on the NRS for low back pain intensity and -0.01 (95% CI, -0.29 to 0.27) on the RMDQ for back-related disability. Although significant overall changes in low back pain

intensity and back-related disability were not observed during the pandemic, African American participants consistently reported worse pain and functioning outcomes in each linear regression model (Table 4). Marginally worse outcomes were observed less consistently for low back pain intensity with increasing age and for back-related disability among women.

### **Discussion**

The use of the PRECISION Pain Research Registry facilitated this short-term assessment of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the management of chronic low back pain because it routinely collects data from participants at quarterly encounters using digital technology that does not require in-person visits. Thus, the registry was able to efficiently acquire data on the processes and outcomes of chronic low back pain management that may not have been possible using conventional clinical research methods during a pandemic. The study findings indicate that utilization of first-line nonpharmacological treatments recommended for chronic low back pain (ie, exercise therapy and spinal manipulation) in the ACP guideline decreased during the first 3 months of the pandemic. Use of massage therapy, which is consistent with the CDC guideline recommendation for use of first-line nonpharmacological treatments for chronic pain, also decreased during the pandemic. Overall, there

Table 2. Utilization of Noninvasive Treatments for Chronic Low Back Pain Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic (n = 528)\*

Treatment	Pre-COVID-19 Pandemic (%)	During COVID-19 Pandemic (%)	OR	(95% CI)	P Value
Nonpharmacological				,	
Exercise therapy	18.0	9.8	0.42	(0.27, 0.65)	<.001
Yoga	9.7	10.2	1.13	(0.63, 2.04)	.78
Massage therapy	16.3	10.6	0.42	(0.25, 0.71)	<.001
Spinal manipulation	18.0	12.3	0.30	(0.15, 0.57)	<.001
Acupuncture	2.3	1.9	0.78	(0.25, 2.35)	.80
Cognitive behavioral therapy	5.7	3.6	0.52	(0.24, 1.09)	.09
Pharmacological					
Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	61.2	58.0	0.70	(0.46, 1.07)	.10
Opioids	29.9	30.1	1.03	(0.60, 1.79)	.99

<sup>\*</sup>The utilization of each treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic relative to the pre-COVID-19 pandemic period was measured by the odds ratio (OR), based on McNemar's test.

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

*
13
2
II
nic (
nden
$\mathbb{Z}$
-19
À
9
ت
Ę
<u>s</u>
urin
Pain D
Ë
2
ack
⊗ ⊗
ĭ
Chroni
Ħ
for
S
ents
me
eatu
Ė
Ve
asiv
Š
ij
0
Ž
ot
tion
_
Ξ
Za
Za
tiliza
e Utiliza
the Utiliza
e Utiliza
s of the Utiliza
tors of the Utiliza
tors of the Utiliza
edictors of the Utiliza
tors of the Utiliza
c Predictors of the Utiliza
ohic Predictors of the Utiliza
c Predictors of the Utiliza
aphic Predictors of the Utiliza
ographic Predictors of the Utiliza
emographic Predictors of the Utiliza
mographic Predictors of the Utiliza
3. Demographic Predictors of the Utiliza
3. Demographic Predictors of the Utiliza
able 3. Demographic Predictors of the Utiliza
3. Demographic Predictors of the Utiliza

		Exercise Tilerapy	У		Yoga			Massage Therapy	y		Spinal Manipulation	on
	OR	95% CI	P Value	OR	95% CI	P Value	OR	95% CI	P Value	OR	95% CI	P Value
Age Increasing decades	0.85	(0.68, 1.06)	15	89 0	(0.55.0.85)	001	92.0	(0.61, 0.94)	01	0.72	(88 0 65 0)	001
Sex			1					( ) ( )		1	(200)	
Men	1			_			_			_		
Women	0.63	(0.34, 1.18)	.15	0.91	(0.46, 1.80)	.80	0.93	(0.48, 1.78)	.82	1.05	(0.56, 1.98)	.87
Race												
White	_			_			_			_		
African American	0.87	(0.43, 1.78)	.71	0.40	(0.16, 0.97)	.04	09.0	(0.22, 1.59)	.34	0.37	(0.16, 0.85)	.02
Ethnicity												
Non-Hispanic	_			1			1			1		
Hispanic	0.88	(0.35, 2.22)	.79	0.71	(0.28, 1.79)	.47	09.0	(0.22, 1.59)	.30	0.95	(0.43, 2.08)	68.
		Acupuncture			CBT			NSAIDs			Opioids	
	1			4			6		,	1		,
	OK	95% CI	P Value	OK	95% CI	P Value	OK	95% CI	P Value	OK	95% CI	P Value
Age												
Increasing decades	0.46	(0.28, 0.77)	.003	0.58	(0.41, 0.83)	.003	86.0	(0.85, 1.12)	0.77	1.23	(1.05, 1.44)	600.
Sex												
Men	_											
Women	0.63	(0.15, 2.60)	.53	0.95	(0.33, 2.75)	.92	1.08	(0.72, 1.62)	0.71	1.01	(0.65, 1.56)	86.
Race*												
White	1									-		
African American	0.37	(0.05, 3.02)	.35	1.38	(0.47, 4.04)	.56	0.80	(0.53, 1.22)	0.30	1.53	(0.99, 2.39)	90.
Ethnicity												
Non-Hispanic	1			1			1			1		
Hispanic	0.46	(0.05, 3.96)	.48	1.66	(0.50, 5.45)	0.41	1.74	(0.96, 3.15)	0.07	0.91	(0.48, 1.72)	.77

\*CBT denotes cognitive behavioral therapy; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. Fifteen participants in other racial categories were excluded from the analyses to avoid unstable estimates for these categories because of small cell counts.

Table 4. Demographic Predictors of Low Back Pain Intensity and Back-Related Disability During the COVID-19 Pandemic (n = 513)\*

	Low Back Pain Intensity (Numerical Rating Scale Score)				Back-Related Disability (Roland-Morris Disability Score)				
				Model 1 (	unadjusted)				
Characteristic	β	SE	t	P Value	β	SE	t	P Value	
Age (increasing decades)	0.148	0.416	1.98	.049	0.201	0.231	0.87	.39	
Sex (women)	-0.322	0.222	-1.45	.15	0.986	0.686	1.44	.15	
Race (African American)	1.619	0.220	7.37	<.001	4.135	0.690	5.99	<.001	
Ethnicity (Hispanic)	0.043	0.304	0.14	.89	-1.065	0.938	-1.14	.26	
	Model 2 (partially adjusted)								
Characteristic	$\beta$	SE	t	P Value	$\beta$	SE	t	P Value	
Age (increasing decades)	0.161	0.072	2.24	.03	0.209	0.226	0.92	.36	
Sex (women)	-0.127	0.212	-0.60	.55	1.440	0.668	2.16	.03	
Race (African American)	1.636	0.222	7.38	<.001	4.278	0.697	6.14	<.001	
Ethnicity (Hispanic)	0.350	0.293	1.20	.23	-0.246	0.923	-0.27	.79	
				Model 3 (fu	ılly adjusted)				
Characteristic	$\beta$	SE	t	P Value	$\beta$	SE	t	P Value	
Age (increasing decades)	0.124	0.072	1.73	.08	0.007	0.217	0.03	.98	
Sex (women)	-0.130	0.205	-0.63	.53	1.427	0.621	2.30	.02	
Race (African American)	1.543	0.217	7.12	<.001	3.665	0.656	5.59	<.001	
Ethnicity (Hispanic)	0.312	0.285	1.09	.27	-0.415	0.861	-0.48	.63	

<sup>\*</sup>Table entries are for the demographic characteristics in the parentheses. Positive  $\beta$  coefficients represent greater low back pain intensity or back-related disability. Predictors were analyzed using linear regression. Model 1 involved simple linear regression. Model 2 controlled for each of the other demographic characteristics in the table. Model 3 controlled for each of the other demographic characteristics and current utilization of exercise therapy, yoga, massage therapy, spinal manipulation, acupuncture, cognitive behavioral therapy, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and opioids. Fifteen participants in other racial categories were excluded from the analyses to avoid unstable estimates for these categories because of small cell counts.

SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval.

was no evidence of increased use of NSAIDs or opioids during the pandemic to compensate for decreased utilization of nonpharmacological treatments. However, it is important to note that older participants more often reported using opioids during the pandemic and there was also a trend toward more frequent opioid use among African American participants.

The findings indicate that social distancing during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic significantly impacted the uptake of recommended non-pharmacological treatments for chronic low back pain that require visiting community-based facilities or interacting closely with providers. A variety of remotely supported services for chronic pain management during the pandemic are now being considered for rapid deployment. These include such modalities as telemedicine, electronic health information, mobile health applications, and virtual and augmented reality technology. The degree to which remote interventions may be adopted to help manage

chronic low back pain during the ongoing pandemic remains unclear. However, in a recent randomized controlled trial, Internet-delivered cognitive behavioral therapy has shown moderate to large treatment effects for pain intensity over 3 months. 12 The application of technology and smartphone apps for chronic pain management also shows promise in reducing the use of opioids.<sup>13</sup> Examples of such interventions include electronic pain diary smartphone apps, internet-based patient educational tools emphasizing self-management, internet-delivered cognitive behavioral therapy, and combined treatment regimens using technology to provide education, support, documentation, and feedback. Remote technology may also potentially be used to facilitate utilization of such nonpharmacological treatments as exercise therapy and yoga in a home environment. However, patients previously treated with massage therapy, spinal manipulation, or acupuncture may experience difficulty in continuing to access these services during the pandemic and may be candidates for

remote delivery of other nonpharmacological treatments for chronic low back pain.

Despite the significant decrease in utilization of several nonpharmacological treatments for chronic low back pain observed during COVID-19, an overall impact on low back pain intensity or backrelated disability outcomes was not observed during the short-term follow-up. However, increasing age and being African American were both important demographic factors that predicted lower utilization of various nonpharmacological treatments. African American participants also consistently reported worse pain and functioning outcomes during the pandemic. These racial disparities remained after adjusting for other demographic characteristics and use of each of the nonpharmacological and pharmacological treatments studied herein. Beyond age and race, high levels of depression and widespread pain and substantial quality-of-life deficits in physical function and pain interference with activities suggest that many study participants may be at high risk of poor outcomes if the pandemic further limits long-term access to appropriate medical care for chronic low back pain that is in alignment with current clinical practice guidelines.<sup>2,3</sup>

In light of the study findings, cultural adaptations for chronic pain management in African American patients may be beneficial. Use of African American facilitators, salient cultural values, self-empowerment, story-telling, culturally-relevant terminology and resources, and community-sanctioned locations have been recommended by focus group participants.<sup>14</sup> Literacy and cultural adaptations for cognitive behavioral therapy in a chronic pain population in the Black Belt of Alabama have been developed. 15 These include adapting a patient workbook to reduce cognitive demand by eliminating distractors, using repetition, limiting the amount of information, using teach-back methods to confirm understanding, and teaching to goal to assure learning mastery. In a subsequent randomized controlled trial involving cognitive behavioral therapy for chronic pain in a predominantly African American population in western Alabama, patient workbooks were supplemented with CDs that provided instructions for skills practice and a relaxation exercise. 16 The cognitive behavioral therapy group reported improved pain, physical function, and depression outcomes over 6 months compared with usual care. It is possible that such literacy- and culturally-adapted workbooks and CDs for cognitive behavioral therapy may be

targeted for African American patients during the pandemic.

Although there were advantages in using the PRECISION Pain Research Registry to conduct this study, there were also several limitations that should be noted. First, at present, only short-term data over 3 months were available on the use of noninvasive treatments for chronic low back pain and related clinical outcomes during the pandemic. The utilization of such treatments and clinical outcomes may change over time as the pandemic continues. The registry is well-positioned to regularly measure longitudinal changes in pain intensity and disability at quarterly intervals and to also measure changes in health-related quality of life at longer intervals during the pandemic. Second, it was not feasible to analyze other clinical outcomes (eg, quality-of-life measures) or potential mediating factors (eg, pain catastrophizing and pain self-efficacy) immediately before and after the national emergency proclamation date because data on such variables are not collected at all consecutive registry encounters. Third, it was not possible to determine if nonpharmacological treatments reportedly used during COVID-19 were acquired via telemedicine or other remotely supported technologies. Finally, the study findings primarily reflected statewide trends in Texas regarding the utilization of noninvasive treatments for chronic low back pain before and after the national emergency proclamation date. However, study participants may have resided in municipalities with differing mandates on social distancing and varying dates of implementation within Texas or in other states (each potentially occurring before or after the national emergency proclamation date) in response to local rates of SARS-CoV-2 infection, hospitalization, or mortality. It was not feasible to conduct subgroup analyses to identify geographical differences in utilization of noninvasive treatments for chronic low back pain during the pandemic.

A decade ago, the Institute of Medicine report on Relieving Pain in America indicated that chronic pain is a biopsychosocial condition that often requires integrated, multimodal, and interdisciplinary treatment.<sup>17</sup> Coordinated approaches to reduce the burden of pain in the United States involved both the National Pain Strategy<sup>18</sup> and the Federal Pain Research Strategy.<sup>5</sup> The CDC and ACP guidelines cited herein further emphasized the paramount role of first-line nonpharmacological treatments and the

secondary role of pharmacological treatments for chronic low back pain. Nevertheless, about 50 million adults in the United States continue to suffer from chronic pain, including 20 million with pain that often interferes with work or life. <sup>19</sup> The COVID-19 pandemic now threatens to further exacerbate the impact of chronic low back pain, particularly in African American patients and the older population, by impeding access to guideline-informed noninvasive treatments.

To see this article online, please go to: http://jabfm.org/content/34/Supplement/S77.full.

#### References

- The White House. Proclamation on Declaring a National Emergency Concerning the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Outbreak. March 13, 2020. Available at: https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidentialactions/proclamation-declaring-national-emergencyconcerning-novel-coronavirus-disease-covid-19outbreak/#:~:text=Proclamation%20on%20Declaring %20a%20National%20Emergency%20Concerning% 20the,Disease%20(COVID%2D19)%20Outb. Accessed July 8, 2020.
- 2. Dowell D, Haegerich TM, Chou R. CDC guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain United States, 2016. MMWR Recomm Rep 2016;65(No. RR-1):1–49.
- Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, Forciea MA, Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Noninvasive treatments for acute, subacute, and chronic low back pain: a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med 2017;166:514–30.
- Licciardone JC, Gatchel RJ, Phillips N, Aryal S. The Pain Registry for Epidemiological, Clinical, and Interventional Studies and Innovation (PRECISION): registry overview and protocol for a propensity scorematched study of opioid prescribing in patients with low back pain. J Pain Res 2018;11:1751–60.
- Interagency Pain Research Coordinating Committee. Federal Pain Research Strategy. National Institutes of Health. 2017. Available at: https://iprcc.nih.gov/sites/ default/files/FPRS\_Research\_Recommendations\_ Final\_508C.pdf. Accessed July 8, 2020.
- Deyo RA, Dworkin SF, Amtmann D, et al. Report of the NIH Task Force on Research Standards for Chronic Low Back Pain. J Pain 2014;15:569–85.

- 7. Roland M, Morris R. A study of the natural history of back pain. Part I: development of a reliable and sensitive measure of disability in low-back pain. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 1983;8:141–4.
- 8. PROMIS Adult Profile Instruments. Evanston, IL: Northwestern University; 2015.
- Sullivan MJ. The Pain Catastrophizing Scale: User Manual. Montreal, QC: McGill University; 2009.
- 10. Nicholas MK. The pain self-efficacy questionnaire: taking pain into account. Eur J Pain 2007;11:153–63.
- 11. Eccleston C, Blyth FM, Dear BF, et al. Managing patients with chronic pain during the COVID-19 outbreak: considerations for the rapid introduction of remotely supported (eHealth) pain management services. Pain 2020;161:889–93.
- 12. Burke D, Lennon O, Blake C, et al. An internet-delivered cognitive behavioural therapy pain management programme for spinal cord injury pain: a randomized controlled trial. Eur J Pain 2019;23:1264–82.
- 13. Eckard C, Asbury C, Bolduc B, et al. The integration of technology into treatment programs to aid in the reduction of chronic pain. J Pain Manag Med 2016;2:188.
- 14. Watson-Singleton NN, Black AR, Spivey BN. Recommendations for a culturally-responsive mindfulness-based intervention for African Americans. Complement Ther Clin Pract 2019;34:132–8.
- 15. Kuhajda MC, Thorn BE, Gaskins SW, Day MA, Cabbil CM. Literacy and cultural adaptations for cognitive behavioral therapy in a rural pain population. Transl Behav Med 2011;1:216–23.
- Thorn BE, Eyer JC, Van Dyke BP, et al. Literacyadapted cognitive behavioral therapy versus education for chronic pain at low-income clinics: a randomized controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 2018;168:471–80.
- 17. Institute of Medicine. Relieving Pain in America: A Blueprint for Transforming Prevention, Care, Education, and Research. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2011.
- 18. Interagency Pain Research Coordinating Committee. National Pain Strategy: A Comprehensive Population Health-Level Strategy for Pain. US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health. 2016. Available at: https://www.iprcc.nih.gov/sites/default/files/HHSNational\_Pain\_Strategy\_508C.pdf. Published Accessed July 8, 2020.
- Dahlhamer J, Lucas J, Zelaya C, et al. Prevalence of chronic pain and high-impact chronic pain among adults - United States, 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2018;67:1001–6.