Re: A Reflective Case Study in Family Medicine Advance Care Planning Conversations

To the Editor: Drs Tunzi and Ventres1 have addressed the importance of discussing advance care planning (ACP) with an integrative approach. ACP conversations should be broad yet flexible, and the surrogate’s inclusion in the discussion is critical. It is appropriate for family physicians to initiate an ACP dialog considering their usually close relationship with the family.

From our experience, the discussion should not be initiated based on an individual reaching 65 years of age. An ACP should be considered for all adults, and the discussion best initiated during an annual physical. We proposed several steps for a meaningful dialog in an office setting.2 The physician should carefully prepare the ACP discussion: make it specific to the patient, allow adequate time, and even rehearse the methodology in approaching the issue. Emphasis should be placed on the fact that an advance directive (AD) is a legal document. It provides an individual’s instructions regarding his/her future medical care and identifies the health care proxy agent. Furthermore, before the discussion, the physician should succinctly explain that ACP is a routine segment of an annual physical, and is relevant to adults of all ages.

Many factors may potentially arise during an ACP discussion.3 The physician may observe that the body language displayed demonstrates an uncomfortable attitude toward the topic. Barriers are often raised4 that may be related to cultural,5 racial,6 religious,7 and/or gender issues.8 Medical procedures acceptable to the physician, may be unacceptable to the party involved. Some patients suffering a chronic illness and/or a terminal illness may nevertheless choose not to institute an AD.9 When a person refuses to enact an AD, the physician must respect that decision.

A family member or a close trusted friend should be considered as the proxy agent and encouraged to attend a subsequent visit. The physician should emphasize that the surrogate is guided by the patient’s wishes which are clearly detailed in the AD document. The surrogate will act as the proxy agent only when a patient is incapacitated and furthermore, it is the duty of the proxy agent to ensure that the individual’s directives and wishes be fulfilled.

As mentioned previously, the physician’s predialog preparation is vitally important for a successful discourse. The ACP discussion both educates and explores the benefits of an AD, and further establishes that on signing the document, the individual can be confident that his/her wishes will be respected and conducted in the future.

Pascal J. de Caprariis, MD, FAAFP
Brooklyn, New York

To see this article online, please go to: http://jabfm.org/content/32/4/639.full.
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The above letter was referred to the author of the article in question, who offers the following reply.

Response: Re: A Reflective Case Study in Family Medicine Advance Care Planning Conversations

To the Editor: We appreciate Dr. de Caprariis’ thoughtful comments on our article. Indeed, we agree with nearly all them!

We do have 2 comments in return:

First, we suspect many practicing family physicians will find the suggestion of discussing Advance Care Planning (ACP) with all adults somewhat overwhelming. While we strongly encourage our colleagues to do that, we believe that targeting people over 65 years of age and patients of any age with serious acute or chronic illness is an alternative, pragmatic approach.

Second, although we absolutely support including ACP conversations as part of routine health care maintenance, we wonder about linking them to “annual physical” appointments. Many family physicians integrate preventive care longitudinally; the challenge, it seems to us, is to make the time to discuss document ACP some-
Re: Better Doctor-Patient Relationships Are Associated with Men Choosing More Active Depression Treatment

To the Editor: I commend David Kealy and colleagues1 on their work on the doctor-patient relationship and men’s choice of depression treatment. However, I do have a few concerns that would benefit from clarification.

First, the gender of the physician is an important covariate that should be accounted for in any doctor-patient relationship, as it affects this dynamic and its outcomes.2 Roter and colleagues3 showed that female physicians engaged the patient in more positive conversation, gave more information, and built more partnership compared with the male physician; similarly, when patients are with female physicians, patients engage in more positive discussion and partnership building compared with male physicians.5 This article highlights men’s choice regarding treatment and the relationship with their physician; controlling for the physician’s gender could help improve the understanding of this doctor-patient relationship.

Second, the questionnaire implied that primary care doctors would administer the hypothetical treatment, regardless of whether primary care physician or mental health specialist. Although gender matters, the nature of the doctor-patient relationship could help improve the understanding of this doctor-patient relationship.

Ayodeji Otufowora, MBBS, MPH, CPH
Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health and Health Professions and College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

Response: Re: Better Doctor-Patient Relationships Are Associated with Men Choosing More Active Depression Treatment

To the Editor: We thank Dr. Otufowora for commenting on our work regarding the doctor-patient relationship and men’s choice of depression treatment1 and for raising concerns about the potential role of the physician’s gender and the specialist status of the treatment provider.

Rather than address the abovementioned questions, our study focused on men’s overall experience of the quality of their relationship with their family physician. Although female physicians may be perceived as more caring with regard to depression management,2 some research suggests complex interactions between patient and physician gender and whether the physician adopts a patient-centered orientation.3 Thus, although gender matters, the nature of the doctor-patient communication is also important. We suspect similar interactions regarding the treatment context—whether primary care physician or mental health specialist—in that the quality of the relationship would remain influential. Indeed, research has shown considerable “provider effects” among psychiatrists, with some achieving superior outcomes prescribing placebo to others prescribing antidepressants.4

Considering the risks for suicide and atypical depression presentations among men,5 a better understanding of men’s treatment engagement—including
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