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This issue epitomizes family medicine with a heavy emphasis on research to prevent illness and illness progression. Which patients will experience significant symptomatic knee osteoarthritis? Do the elderly use retail clinics, and what is the impact on care for chronic conditions? Does capitation payment enhance or decrease same-day access? How do primary care practices risk stratify to provide integrated care? Can risk screening and on-site providers enhance psychiatric care? What screening questions should we ask adolescents, to identify problematic drug use? A report on a practice intervention to improve opioid prescribing practices, and another on the significant level of depression in many patients taking opioid medications. Which works better for smoking cessation—e-cigarettes or nicotine gum? Reminders about teratogenic drugs and those that cause hyperpigmentation. Interdisciplinary care with pharmacists in the office does not help just the patients. We have several articles on diabetes—early diagnosis, and consideration of screening for prediabetes as a quality standard—with added commentaries about this possibility. (J Am Board Fam Med 2019;32:451–453.)

Interdisciplinary, Team Care

Interdisciplinary care, that is, shared care with different clinical disciplines, can enhance care. The assistance of trained pharmacists can be quite useful in the office, providing several benefits for the primary care physicians, plus the patients.1 Perhaps having pharmacists in the office would help prevent the issue detected by Panchal et al,2 that is, prescribing medications that are contraindicated in pregnancy to women of childbearing age but without documenting current contraception use. Certainly, this is a reminder to avoid known or potentially teratogenic prescriptions for women who are at risk of pregnancy. Further, Kroll et al3 found that patients, often the underserved, benefit from a psychiatric walk-in clinic in an integrated primary care practice. The Agency for Health care Research & Quality funded the multi-state intervention research reported by Hall et al.4 This study used practice support to improve cardiovascular care through patient-team partnership, and the authors report on patient-team partnership scores.

Diabetes and Sugar

Most family physicians in a single office setting thought that screening for prediabetes was important.5 See also the commentary from Dr. Mainous.6 Venkataramani et al7 remind us that if the parents are eligible for the National Diabetes Prevention Program, their children would often benefit as well, suggesting a need for family-oriented approaches. Pinon et al8 provide data from a rural clinic finding a higher rate of sugar-sweetened beverage consumption than the national average. They also note that the amount of sugar-sweetened beverage intake did not differ by body mass index (BMI), highlighting that these beverages are only 1 factor in the growing obesity trend.

Patient Priorities for Care

Patient-defined psychosocial previsit priorities are less likely to be addressed in primary care visits than patient-defined medical priorities,9 probably for multiple reasons. One patient priority—housing—was explored by Martin et al.10 Specifically, adults with housing insecurity forego health care, and often lack a usual source of care. This study was based on the >10% of adults who responded positively to 1 question on worry about paying rent or
mortgage over the past year. However, Betz et al. report that driving safety does not seem to be a priority for aging adults, their physicians, or their families.

Although uncommon, elderly people do visit retail clinics, highlighting a priority for convenience. Unfortunately, obtaining care in retail clinics is associated with reduced continuity of care, even for serious illnesses (such as congestive heart failure), which are known to benefit from care continuity. Greater availability of same-day access could help to improve continuity. In a comparison of alternative payment methodologies, Heintzman et al. found that the clinics with newly initiated capitation for care of Medicaid primary care patients had a greater increase in same-day visits compared with comparison offices. Several other hypothesized differences did not materialize, limiting the evidence for the benefits of alternative payment methodologies.

### Substance Use

Four articles address substance use. Lin et al. used the data from the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey to identify higher rates of narcotic prescriptions in patients with depression; this pain-depression association needs further exploration to help us know how to treat patients with pain and depression. Chavez et al. undertook analyses of data from a national household survey to identify questions to screen for tobacco dependence and drug use disorders in adolescents, similar to those in wide use for adults. The article provides validation of questions for adolescents, making the results quite useful! And, is it possible to improve opioid prescribing practices through use of a multicomponent educational intervention? Arizmendez et al. report a study of 2 clinics with a majority of patients seen by residents. Thankfully, the article includes specific handouts that can be used for provider training. In an article from Korean family physicians, Lee et al. undertook a randomized trial of e-cigarettes compared with nicotine gum to help people stop smoking. These data add another choice to the options for patients.

### Additional Topics

From the Netherlands, Landsmeer et al. attempt to determine who should receive additional interventions to prevent symptomatic knee osteoarthritis. In addition to widely known risk factors—age and BMI—the authors provide more specificity to symptoms (pain with climbing stairs and morning stiffness) and other history (postmenopausal and history of heavy work) that should encourage earlier intervention.

Primary care risk stratification may improve health outcomes and help practices initiate appropriate interventions. Wagner et al. report on the experiences of 15 primary care practices in different geographic locations. Some common methods were identified and reported.

Farford et al. used methods previously shown to prevent readmissions, and added some. The authors found that their attempts did not decrease readmissions further, but were sufficiently worthwhile to continue using. And, finally, Giménez García and Carrasco Molina provide a helpful overview of the very large number of drugs that can cause hyperpigmentation, with accompanying pictures to help identify common types.

To see this article online, please go to: http://jabfm.org/content/32/4/451.full.
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