Incorporation of neprilysin inhibition into heart failure pharmacotherapy regimens has recently been recommended by U.S. guidelines, based on results from the PARADIGM-HF trial comparing sacubitril/valsartan to enalapril. While most of the discussion has focused on efficacy, a closer examination of the safety results, particularly the incidence of angioedema during the run-in and double-blind periods, is also warranted. Although no major safety concerns were identified, an angioedema risk comparable to enalapril was found, primarily in the black population. Therefore, despite combination with an angiotensin receptor blocker, which historically has a lower incidence of angioedema, the addition of neprilysin inhibition yields an angioedema risk profile comparable to angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors. Clinicians should recognize this safety risk when prescribing sacubitril/valsartan and remain vigilant in counseling patients regarding the signs and symptoms of angioedema. As recommended by the guidelines, avoiding sacubitril/valsartan use concurrently or within 36 hours of the last dose of an ACE inhibitor or in patients with a history of angioedema is also crucial to minimize angioedema risk and prevent patient harm. (J Am Board Fam Med 2017;30:556–557.)
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Heart failure management is embarking on a new era of pharmacotherapy with the discovery of neprilysin inhibition and subsequent approval of sacubitril/valsartan, a neutral endopeptidase inhibitor and an angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB), respectively. Inhibition of neprilysin, a neutral endopeptidase, increases levels of endogenous vasoactive peptides, such as bradykinin, which in turn can blunt the secondary effects of neurohormonal overactivation in heart failure. Given the reduced risk of death and heart failure–related hospitalizations in its landmark trial, Prospective Comparison of ARNI [Angiotensin Receptor Neprilysin Inhibitor] with ACEI [Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitor] to Determine Impact on Global Mortality and Morbidity in Heart Failure Trial (PARADIGM-HF), much of the spotlight has been placed on the efficacy results of sacubitril/valsartan. However, an emphasis on safety results, particularly risk of angioedema, should be of equal importance to clinicians, as vasoactive peptides have also been implicated in the occurrence of angioedema.

Safety concerns with neprilysin inhibition first arose during early trials of omapatrilat, a combination angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor and neprilysin inhibitor. A significant increase in angioedema was noted with omapatrilat compared with enalapril monotherapy, which ultimately led to termination of omapatrilat’s development secondary to safety concerns. Investigators subsequently combined neprilysin inhibition with ARB therapy, given the decreased incidence of angioedema with ARBs compared with ACE inhibitors. While early phase II studies examining sacu-
enalapril group.8 Regarding severity, 1 of the 3 experienced angioedema, compared with none in the patients (5.6%) treated with sacubitril/valsartan during the treatment period (P = .13).1 Approximately 50% of the cases were managed during the treatment period with no treatment or with the use of antihistamines only. Ultimately, permanent discontinuation due to angioedema was required in 7 patients taking sacubitril/valsartan and 4 patients taking enalapril, whereas a temporary interruption with a negative rechallenge was experienced in five and two patients respectively.8 It is also important to note that an additional 25 angioedema cases (10 patients taking sacubitril/valsartan and 15 patients taking enalapril) occurred during the run-in period, which led to drug discontinuation in 8 of the sacubitril/valsartan patients and all 15 enalapril patients.8

Results for the black population are of particular interest when examining angioedema subanalysis results. Overall, 5 of the 213 black patients (2.3%) taking sacubitril/valsartan compared with 1 of the 214 black patients (0.5%) taking enalapril experienced angioedema; 1 of the patients in the sacubitril/valsartan group required subsequent hospitalization.8 Assessing specifically the US black population in PARADIGM-HF, 3 of the 54 patients who experienced angioedema with sacubitril/valsartan and 15 patients taking enalapril during the study period were black.8 Overall, sacubitril/valsartan therapy exhibits a risk of angioedema similar to that observed with ACE inhibitors. Clinicians should not be misled by its combination with valsartan, given that ARBs historically display a low angioedema incidence, as the addition of neprilysin inhibition raises the angioedema risk to a level similar to that of other ACE inhibitors.5 Heart failure guideline safety recommendations, such as avoiding administration of an Angiotensin Receptor Neprilysin Inhibitor (ARNI) concomitantly or within 36 hours of the last dose of an ACE inhibitor and avoiding its use in patients with a history of angioedema, should be followed closely to prevent potential patient harm.9 Clinicians should remain vigilant in monitoring and counseling patients on the signs and symptoms of angioedema throughout sacubitril/valsartan therapy, especially black patients, as they seem to be at highest risk and may have been underrepresented as a whole in PARADIGM-HF.
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