Underuse of Primary Care in China: The Scale, Causes, and Solutions
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Strengthening the primary care system and promoting utilization of primary care are the major targets of China’s ambitious health reforms to meet its people’s escalating health care needs. However, the changing trend of primary care utilization 4 years before and after 2009, when the health reforms started, is against the government’s stated goal. The percentage of outpatient visits in primary care significantly declined from 63% in 2005 to 59% in 2013 ($P = .002$). In Western China it went down from 66% in 2010 to 62% in 2013 ($P = .017$) and slightly dropped in Eastern and Central China. Causes are multiple and include major historic and institutional factors such as severe maldistribution of human resources and lack of primary care practitioners (PCPs), lack of a functional gate-keeping mechanism, the low educational attainment of PCPs, and the detrimental elements of health reforms. Immediate measures need to be taken to improve the situation. These include taking irrational hospital expansion under strict control through enhancing the government’s accountability for health care industry regulation, strategies to recruit and retain a quality primary care workforce, empowering PCPs as gatekeepers in the system, timely evaluation of the impact of health reforms on primary care, and modifying damaging policies. (J Am Board Fam Med 2016;29:240–247.)
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China, home to one fifth of the world’s population, has since 2000 had an escalating trend toward an aging society.¹ A noncommunicable disease (NCD) spectrum, where 80% of all deaths are caused by cerebro-/cardiovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and cancers,² challenges the country’s capacity to meet its soaring health care needs, compounded by worrying environmental pollution and its consequent health problems. To meet its healthcare needs efficiently, the nation cannot afford to ignore a good primary care system. Good primary care can improve population health outcomes, including reduced all-cause mortality, lower incidence of low birth weight, and lower rates of poor self-reported health and lifestyle risk factors (eg, obesity and smoking).³ In fact, it is China’s “barefoot doctor” system that has been a successful primary health care (PHC) model for other low- and middle-income countries and inspired the global primary care campaign of Alma Ata in 1978.⁴ In China, farmers in the rural sector with secondary education were trained for 3 to 6 months by urban physicians to meet the health needs of the sizable number of rural inhabitants, accounting for 80% of the whole population during the Cultural Revolution.⁵,⁶ Applying both Western and local remedies, barefoot doctors provided universal basic medical care and public health services. It remarkably improved population health at a very low cost.⁷,⁸

People’s Republic of China built a 3-tier health care system after its foundation in 1949. Primary-level facilities (including community health facilities in urban areas, township health centers, and village clinics in the rural areas) provide preventive
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and basic medical services, whereas secondary and tertiary hospitals provide specialized care. Nevertheless, the government was less financially supportive of health care industry and has held less accountability since the economic reform in the 1980s. The barefoot doctor model was gradually dismantled when a shift in funding from primary health care to hospital-based care occurred; this led to the proliferation of specialized care in hospitals. To ensure access to basic medical services for all, fees for services have been set lower than costs at all government-run facilities. The government subsidized only 10% of hospital revenue but allowed a markup of 15% on drugs and high-tech tests so facilities could survive. Medical practices became profit-driven entities, and hospitals competed for patients with primary care facilities. To make matters worse, hospitals tended to expand their own scale and services to attract more patients—and thereby more profits—without proper government regulations. A fragmented health system, rising medical costs, and wasteful health care delivery occurred, and they remain enormous challenges that the system is trying to tackle through ambitious health reforms launched in 2009. The recent reforms prioritize the development of good primary care based on the existing framework. All levels of government have poured resources into primary care infrastructure construction, personnel training, and other supporting programs, including salary reforms, a zero-profit drug policy (a limited number of essential medicines), and insurance schemes at the primary care level. However, the investment does not seem to be leading to a successful primary care system.

This article discusses the huge challenge the Chinese health care system is facing: the underuse of primary care. It first elaborates the scale of the problem nationally, with regional comparisons. The causes, including some historic and institutional factors, are then discussed. It concludes with some solutions for the healthy development of primary care in the country. Underutilization of primary care, the shortage of young primary care practitioners (PCPs), and difficulty recruiting and retaining doctors in primary care are similar challenges facing the United States. Primary care issues between the 2 countries are compared. As China’s barefoot doctor system provided a successful primary care model for other underdeveloped countries, this article is also relevant to many other parts of the world.

**Underuse of Primary Care**

Promoting primary care is a major target of the latest health reforms initiated in China in 2009. Central and local health authorities have invested many resources. There was a dramatic increase (by 33%) in outpatient throughput from 2009 to 2013, with varying degrees of increase in the number of patients visiting all types of facilities. There is, however, no convincing evidence of a shift of patient flow from higher-level hospitals to primary care facilities. Figure 1 shows the changing trend of outpatient visits (by percentage) in different types of health facilities between 2005 and 2013. The underuse of primary care services was staggering before the reforms, and remains so after. Unexpectedly, there was even a statistically significant decrease ($P = .002$) in the percentage of visits to primary care, from 63% (2.59 billion of 4.10 billion total visits) to 59% (4.32 billion of 7.31 billion total visits), whereas hospital visits increased from 34% to 37% ($P = .003$). The use of primary care is far from the ≥80% recommended by the World Health Organization but is close to the rate of 51% in the United States, where the most expensive medical care exists but not the best population health.

Changing trends in patient visits to different levels of facilities by region from 2009 to 2013 are shown in Table 1. China is geographically divided into the eastern, central, and western parts, according to China Statistics Yearbooks. Primary care utilization experienced a slight reduction in Eastern and Central China, but the differences are not significant. In Western China utilization went down from 66% in 2010 to 62% in 2013 ($P = .017$). Visits to hospitals increased significantly in both Eastern (from 39% to 42%; $P = .016$) and Western China (from 31% to 35%; $P = .01$). Central China saw a 1% increase in hospital visits, which is not a significant difference. A few studies also identified the problem from the perspective of service users. In a 2013 study in Fuzhou, in Eastern China, hospitals were rated highest in first-contact utilization by patients, against community health facilities, echoing an earlier study that >76% of 5229 patients with NCDs were diagnosed by secondary care.
or tertiary health care facilities, and 53% preferred higher-level facilities for follow-up management. These trends are simply against the goal of promoting the use of primary care. During the same period, all-cause mortality, disparity in health, and health expenditures were worsening. All-cause mortality increased from 0.651% in 2005 to 0.716% in 2013. Infant mortality rate in rural areas was more than 2-fold that in urban cities in 2005 and went up and down afterward. Total health expenditure per capita has significantly increased from RMB662 to 2327 (US$101-354), with a significant increase in copayments as well. Affordability for the poorer rural households is getting worse, compared with their urban counterparts.

Causes
The underutilization of primary care is dramatic all over the country, and in general it got worse after the health reforms. Causes are multiple and include major historic and institutional factors such as severe maldistribution of quality human resources, low educational attainment of PCPs and the public’s lack of trust in the quality of primary care, lack of a gatekeeping function by PCPs, and the detrimental elements of health

Table 1. Outpatient Visits to Three Types of Facility, by Region, from 2009 to 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Type of Facility</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastern China</td>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>1064 (39)</td>
<td>1148 (39)</td>
<td>1285 (41)</td>
<td>1438 (41)</td>
<td>1547 (42)</td>
<td>.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary care</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1663 (57)</td>
<td>1754 (56)</td>
<td>1914 (55)</td>
<td>2036 (55)</td>
<td>.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>96 (3)</td>
<td>109 (3)</td>
<td>125 (4)</td>
<td>128 (3)</td>
<td>.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central China</td>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>439 (31)</td>
<td>455 (30)</td>
<td>494 (30)</td>
<td>558 (31)</td>
<td>600 (32)</td>
<td>.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary care</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1021 (67)</td>
<td>1095 (67)</td>
<td>1173 (66)</td>
<td>1226 (65)</td>
<td>.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>45 (3)</td>
<td>49 (3)</td>
<td>57 (3)</td>
<td>59 (3)</td>
<td>.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western China</td>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>419 (31)</td>
<td>436 (31)</td>
<td>480 (32)</td>
<td>546 (34)</td>
<td>595 (35)</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary care</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>927 (66)</td>
<td>957 (64)</td>
<td>1022 (63)</td>
<td>1062 (62)</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>46 (3)</td>
<td>49 (3)</td>
<td>56 (3)</td>
<td>61 (4)</td>
<td>.225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are million visits (%).
Source: China Health Statistics Yearbooks 2010 to 2014.
reform policies. These 5 factors do not operate independently, yet they are complexly related to each other. Boosts to the economy and the fast development of the public transportation system in the country are also contributing to patient flow between different areas. These are taken into consideration as the contextual background for the discussion of the above-mentioned factors.

**Maldistribution of Human Resources and Lack of PCPs**

A salient maldistribution of human resources among different levels of health facilities and the lack of PCPs are the most important contributors. Since the inception of health reforms, the unreasonable expansion of secondary and tertiary hospitals has not been controlled. For example, an aircraft carrier–sized hospital in Henan Province is currently under construction. This hospital is equipped with 5000 hospital beds and will expand to ~8000 beds in 2016.24 Such an example is only the tip of the iceberg. Among 23,170 hospitals, over 10% had >500 hospital beds in 2012, with 4.5% equipped with >800 beds.17 This draws quality health workers away from primary care in a system where most medical professionals consider working in hospitals as a better career path with better pay and higher social status.25,26 Less than 30% of medical graduates are willing to choose primary care.27–29 Currently, only 38% of licensed doctors and 21% of registered nurses are working in primary care17 (Table 2).

This rather grim picture was not painted overnight. Since the economic reform in the 1980s, the so-called public facilities have been profit-driven, and resources are centered in hospitals.30,31 This contributed to the shortage of quality workforce at the primary level. A better trained type of PCP is called a general practitioner (GP). According to the national directive released in 2011, 300,000 GPs will be needed to meet its stated goal of providing 2 to 3 GPs for every 10,000 population by 2020.32 In 2013, however, the average number of GPs per 10,000 population was 1.07, with the lower-income parts of China having >1.17 So, there is a shortage of at least 130,000 GPs, and nearly 650 million Chinese do not have access to proper GPs. The United States faces similar challenges.16 The US health system is specialist-driven, with 70% of practitioners being specialists. Of Americans, 20% do not have adequate access to primary care because of a shortage of practitioners, and only 7% of medical graduates choose a career in primary care.16,33 Both nations lag behind other developed countries in the ratio of PCPs to specialists, which is approximately 50% in Canada and Western Europe.32

**Inadequate Formal Medical Education of PCPs**

PCPs are regarded as being poorly medically educated overall and therefore providing an inferior quality of care.34 In China, various medical education programs are in place to train medical practitioners to meet its sizable population’s increasing health demands.35 Three-year (postsecondary diploma) and 5-year (bachelor) programs are the most common forms.

Table 3 shows the educational level of PCPs in China in 2013.17 Formal medical educational level of PCPs varied greatly. Over 76% of PCPs at community health facilities in urban areas obtained a postsecondary diploma or a higher degree, compared with 55% in township health centers in rural towns. Rural village clinics were equipped with the poorest workforce, with only 20% being licensed doctors serving half of the country’s population.17 The vast majority of practitioners practicing in rural clinics were converted from barefoot doctors without any formal medical education. Up to 95% of village practitioners received secondary vocational education or less, compared with 41% at township health centers in rural towns and 20% at community health facilities in urban cities. This compares to only 10% in higher-level facilities.17 Lack of trust in PCPs is a major reason for the public not favoring them as first contacts for care for undiagnosed illnesses.22

**Lack of a Gatekeeping Function by PCPs**

The gatekeeping role of GPs has emerged in many countries.36 Gatekeeping is more cost-effective in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Facility</th>
<th>Doctors</th>
<th>Nurses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals</td>
<td>1,503,184 (54)</td>
<td>2,041,367 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary care</td>
<td>1,050,067 (38)</td>
<td>576,630 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public health/others</td>
<td>241,503 (8)</td>
<td>165,124 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,794,754 (100)</td>
<td>2,783,121 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are n (%).

Source: China Health Statistics Yearbook 2014.
delivering ambulatory care than nongatekeeping systems in Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development countries.\textsuperscript{37,38} It ensures equity by rationing resource allocation and facilitates the provision of more comprehensive coordinated care, like in the United Kingdom.\textsuperscript{38,39} In China, the popular statement among the general public that “it is difficult and expensive to see a doctor” creates a mainstream but distorted understanding of the health care system. Fundamentally, the absence of primary care gatekeeping, weak coordinated care, and a lack of an effective referral system\textsuperscript{40} are challenges for establishing a strong primary care system and tackling the problem of wasteful health care delivery in China.\textsuperscript{41} Primary care facilities do not have control over access to higher-level care. In a fragmented system, many patients self-refer themselves to specialists, who are often in tertiary hospitals, which are deemed to be equipped with better resources and have better quality of care even for common, simple conditions.\textsuperscript{10} A 2012 study found up to 83% of doctors said patients bypassed primary care to higher-level facilities for minor conditions.\textsuperscript{10} Some cities are experimenting with financial incentives, such as higher reimbursement rates at the primary level, or introducing a contract approach on a voluntary basis, but the long-term effects remain to be seen. Rising public demand for quality care further complicates the picture, contributed to by economic growth, environmental problems, an aging population, and better affordability of care after universal insurance coverage. Interestingly, the gatekeeping role of PCPs in the United States was short-lived during the 1990s and has been in decline since.\textsuperscript{42–44}

Table 3. Education Level of Primary Care Practitioners by Type of Health Facility in China in 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education level</th>
<th>Licensed Doctors or Assistant Doctors</th>
<th>Village Practitioners (Village Clinics)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THCs</td>
<td>CHCs and CHSs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree or higher</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postsecondary diploma</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary vocational school</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are percentages.  
Source: China Health Statistics Yearbook 2014.

Chinese patients do not have a regular physician and frequently shop around. Doctor shopping behaviors jeopardize continuity of care. The shopping process incurs iatrogenic health risks and compromised quality of care.\textsuperscript{33} Seeing multiple doctors contributes to a higher likelihood of unnecessary diagnostic tests, medical interventions, adverse events, worse outcomes, and higher medical costs.\textsuperscript{45} Lack of public awareness of the potential risks and the importance of continuity of coordinated care may be another important attribute.\textsuperscript{3,46–48} In other words, to promote primary care utilization, we cannot ignore educating the public about the potential risks of doctor shopping and the significance of primary care.

Detrimental Elements of Health Reforms

The government introduced fixed salaries for primary care facilities, which guaranteed a basic income for PCPs. The zero-profit drug policy forbade any profits from drugs, and only a limited number of cheaper but equally effective drugs were permitted for use by PCPs.\textsuperscript{15} It seemingly removed perverse incentives to overprescribe. Universal insurance coverage also improved the affordability of health services and increased utilization rates of health services. However, evidence demonstrates detrimental effects of some reform policies on the primary care workforce and primary care utilization.\textsuperscript{45} A fixed income was generally popular among health workers in relatively poor areas because, before the reforms, the salary was lower and irregular, but this caused disincentives in better-off places because of the loss of drug profits. However, with increased workload caused by more patient visits, the salary levels set by the government have been regarded as unfair by many PCPs.\textsuperscript{45} The consequent disillusionment has led to a “brain
drain” from primary care facilities, even in rural areas despite the income being considered secure there. In addition, because the salary is guaranteed and seeing more patients does not necessarily increase the income, some PCPs lose the motivation to see more patients and shuffle patients to higher-level facilities. If they are insured and affected by the unavailability of certain drugs, patients may be more likely to bypass primary care to hospitals.

**Conclusion**

Immediate actions need to be taken to improve the stagnant situation of primary care in China. Taking a laissez-faire hospital expansion under strict control is imperative to break the vicious circle. Lack of governmental accountability and the absence of its leading role in regulating the health industry on a macro level have been criticized. The government has realized this and has started to take initiative. An urgent directive on the fast expansion of public hospitals was issued in 2014, calling for a stop to irrational expansion. The National Health and Family Planning Commission (previously called the Ministry of Health) also drafted in 2014 a national health care system planning outline aiming to balance resources between different facilities and locations.

While implementing massive GP training programs, related authorities should consider how to retain these quality workforces in primary care. In some places many GP trainees do not stay in primary care but leave the program halfway through or end up being subspecialty specialists instead. Addressing unreasonably low pay, perceived low social status and little respect from patients, and a lack of opportunities to update new knowledge and get promoted may provide remedies. What is more important is that primary care education should be placed as a core component of the overall medical curriculum, serving to promote the prospectus of working as a PCP among medical professionals and students.

Empowering PCPs as gatekeepers and imposing a certain level of community control over the point of entry into the health care system are worth consideration. The Central Government has proposed that PCPs be gatekeepers since the reforms in 2009, and various cities—for example, Beijing and Shanghai—are trying to implement this policy. Nevertheless, the impact seems to be minimal. Obviously, these massive health reforms affecting providers in the past few years generally do not alter patients’ health-seeking behaviors. Reasons have been found to be related to the severity of disease as perceived by the patient, a lack of trust in PCPs, low awareness of self-care and the presence of community health services, concerns about medical equipment, and the status of medical insurance coverage. The public’s utilization pattern has a strong relationship with their own health beliefs. To form an efficient gatekeeping mechanism and a referral system, establishing a strong primary care workforce that can provide high-quality professional services and proper health education campaigns to the general public are essential for such reforms to be readily acceptable. Particular attention can be paid to self-care for simple conditions, the awareness of hazards incurred with doctor shopping, aggressive tests or medical interventions, and the importance of continuous and coordinated care.

Reform policies should be tailored to context rather than one-size-fits-all strategies for a country with huge regional socioeconomic differences. Although there is still inadequate evidence of the impact of comprehensive reform on primary care, some negative consequences have started to occur in some places. Promptly refining health reform policies based on scientific evaluation of the program according to local conditions is recommended. Essentially, as the old Chinese proverb “more haste, less speed” indicates, the system should allow more time for these to proceed, because systemic improvements will inevitably take long time and are unlikely to be achieved overnight. Evidence-based policy decisions are the key to success.
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