POLICY BRIEF

Increasing Graduate Medical Education (GME) in Critical Access Hospitals (CAH) Could Enhance Physician Recruitment and Retention in Rural America
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Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs) are essential to a functioning health care safety net and are a potential partner of rural Graduate Medical Education (GME) which is associated with greater likelihood of service in rural and underserved areas. Currently very little Medicare funding supports GME in the CAH setting, highlighting a missed opportunity to improve access to care in rural America. (J Am Board Fam Med 2012;25:7–8.)

Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs) are geographically isolated, small rural hospitals that are typically the sole source of care for their community, providing not only acute care but a broad spectrum of basic health services. There was a robust increase of CAH designations from 50 in 1998 to 1,310 in 2009.

Rural communities struggle to recruit and retain health care providers. In 2008, 81% of rural counties were or contained areas designated as Primary Care Health Professional Shortage Areas.1 Encouraging evidence shows that residents trained in a rural setting are much more likely to continue to serve in rural or underserved settings.2,3 Analysis of Medicare hospital cost report data suggests that very few CAHs ever have reported intern and resident training (see Figure 1). As rural hospitals and as hospitals without prior graduate medical education (GME) programs, CAHs are eligible for starting or becoming funded members of GME training programs.

Increasing the capacity for CAHs to create and expand training programs could improve access to care in rural communities and strengthen existing rural training programs, many of which are threatened or closing. Recent policies promoting

Figure 1. Number of Critical Access Hospitals reporting intern and resident full-time equivalents.
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primary care training, such as the teaching health center program, also mean opportunity for CAHs to play an important role in GME expansion. Though this role for CAHs requires no legislative changes, CAHs will face additional hurdles related to accreditation and staffing.
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