What Words Should We Use When Discussing Excess Weight?
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Background: There is limited research on how patients prefer physicians to communicate about the topic of obesity, and there is even less understanding of which terms physicians most commonly use.

Methods: In this cross-sectional, nonrandom sampling study, patients who were seeking treatment for weight loss rated the desirability of 12 terms to describe excess weight, and physicians rated the likelihood with which they would use those terms during clinical encounters. Participants rated terms on a 5-point scale, with −2 representing “very undesirable” or “definitely would not use” and +2 representing “very desirable” or “definitely would use.”

Results: Patients (n = 143; mean age, 46.8 years; mean body mass index, 36.9 kg/m²) rated “weight” (mean ± SD) as the most desirable term (1.13 ± 1.10), although it did not significantly differ from 5 other terms provided. They rated “fatness” (−1.30 ± 1.22) as the most undesirable term, although this rating did not differ significantly from 4 other terms. Physicians affiliated with a community-based medical school (n = 108; mean age, 48.8 years; 79.6% primary care specialty) were most likely to use “weight” (1.42 ± 0.89), which was significantly different from ratings for all other terms. They were least likely to use “fatness” (−1.74 ± 0.59), although this rating did not differ significantly from 3 other terms.

Conclusion: Physicians generally reported that they use terminology that patients had rated more favorably, and they tend to avoid terms that patients may find undesirable. Understanding the preferences and terminology used by patients and physicians is an important initial step to ensure that communications related to obesity and weight loss are efficient and effective. (J Am Board Fam Med 2010; 23:606–613.)
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The provision of weight loss counseling by physicians in primary care settings offers a potentially effective approach to combating the problem of overweight and obesity. However, physicians often neglect broaching the topics of obesity and weight loss during primary care encounters. Barriers that may hinder physicians’ provision of weight-loss counseling include time constraints, lack of reimbursement, and perceptions that treatment will be ineffective. In addition, physicians may feel ill-equipped to address these issues. Despite these barriers, overweight and obese patients may desire greater involvement of their primary care physician in weight-loss counseling and treatment efforts. Until recently, however, few studies have examined how patients would prefer their physicians to discuss the topic of obesity. In one study, obese patients rated the term “weight” as significantly more desirable than a variety of terms physicians could use to describe excess weight; they rated “obesity,” “excess fat,” and “fatness” as the most undesirable terms physicians could use. The parents of pediatric patients overwhelmingly preferred that physicians use the phrase “gaining too much weight” as compared with other options, including “overweight,” when discussing their child’s weight.
minology that is agreeable and understandable for both patients and physicians is important for ensuring that effective communication occurs during the clinical encounter.

Although previous work suggests that patients prefer some terms used by physicians over others, there is less information available regarding what terms physicians use during clinical encounters. Among a small sample (n = 19) of general practitioners, Tailor and Ogden found that physicians were more likely to use a euphemism with patients (eg, “Your weight may be damaging your health.”) than the term “obesity.” Understanding what terms physicians use may be particularly helpful because these word choices may influence patients’ responses. For instance, patients with a body mass index (BMI) <30 kg/m² found physicians’ use of the term “obesity” more emotionally distressing, whereas obese patients (ie, BMI >30 kg/m²) found the euphemism “your weight may be damaging your health” more distressing.

In addition, research indicates that physicians are not immune to the negative, implicit biases held against overweight and obese individuals. Also, physicians may spend less time with obese patients than with normal weight patients. Given these findings, it is worthwhile to explore whether physicians explicitly endorse the use of potentially derogatory terms to describe excess weight. Furthermore, previous research on patient preferences only included obese and severely obese individuals; there is a lack of information about the preferences of overweight patients (ie, those with a BMI between 25 and 29.9 kg/m²). There is also limited research about physician preferences for communication about excess weight. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare which terms overweight and obese patients prefer to describe excess weight. We also investigated the reported practices of primary care physicians when addressing this topic with patients.

Methods
Participants
The patients who were recruited to participate in this study were members of a managed care organization (MCO) who were seeking treatment for weight loss. The MCO provides clinical services for approximately 110,000 members who receive health benefits and coverage through numerous employer groups and Medicare. The MCO offers group-based behavioral weight loss treatment for interested members, and these members were targeted for study recruitment. Inclusion criteria consisted of (1) attendance to an initial informational session regarding the weight-loss program, and (2) being overweight or obese (ie, BMI >25 kg/m²). Participants’ data were excluded if their BMI was <25 kg/m² or if they did not provide adequate information needed to calculate BMI.

The physicians who were recruited to participate in this study were family medicine and internal medicine faculty of a community-based medical school. Because of the medical school’s organizational structure, the sample was made up of physicians who were primarily engaged in direct provision of outpatient clinical services in the community. Although some clinical faculty members were providers within the managed care network, physician recruitment was not limited to this organization. Inclusion criteria consisted of having a clinical faculty appointment with the medical school and having an affiliation with the family medicine or internal medicine clerkships.

Procedure
The study protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of the participating organizations.

Patients
The MCO provides monthly informational sessions about the group-based weight-loss intervention to members who are interested in the program, and members attending these monthly sessions were targeted for study recruitment. Recruitment occurred during an 8-month period. Patients completed the survey in the clinic before the initial weight-loss session.

Physicians
Physicians received an email invitation that included an electronic link to the survey. A reminder email that also included the survey link was sent to physicians approximately 2 to 3 weeks after the initial request. Physicians completed the survey online.

Measures
Both patients and physicians completed anonymous, self-report surveys that had been modified
from validated questionnaires used in previous studies. Surveys included demographic information, the Weight Preferences Questionnaire, and measurements of height and weight.

Demographic and Background Information
Background information collected from physicians included age, sex, clinical specialty, primary clinical setting (inpatient or outpatient), and years in practice. Demographic characteristics requested from patients included age, sex, ethnicity, marital status, and level of education.

Weight Preferences Questionnaire
All participants completed the Weight Preferences Questionnaire, which was developed and validated in previous research with obese patients. This survey provided respondents with a hypothetical clinical scenario of a patient who was visiting his or her physician, with the topic of weight introduced during the visit. Using a 5-point Likert scale, patients were asked to rate the desirability of 12 terms the physician could use to describe weight (1 = very desirable; 5 = very undesirable).

The response format for physicians was modified slightly. Using a 5-point Likert scale, physicians were asked to rate the likelihood that he or she would use each of the 12 descriptors with a patient (1 = definitely not use; 5 = definitely use). The terms included (1) weight, (2) heaviness, (3) obesity, (4) BMI, (5) excess weight, (6) fatness, (7) excess fat, (8) large size, (9) unhealthy body weight, (10) weight problem, (11) unhealthy BMI, and (12) overweight status. The first 11 terms were identical to the ones used in the initial version of this measure, although “overweight status” was added to have at least one term that incorporated “overweight” into the descriptor. To facilitate ease of comparisons between the ratings of patients and physicians, values were transformed to consistent scales ranging from −2 (“very undesirable” or “definitely not use”) to +2 (“very desirable” or “definitely use”).

Height and Weight
Patients and physicians were asked to provide their height (in feet and inches) and current weight (in pounds). Self-reports of these anthropometric measures have been included in previous surveys related to weight loss and have been shown to be a valid and reliable approach that corresponds with objective, documented measurements.

Statistical Analyses
For physicians and patients, separate multivariate analysis of variance was used to assess significant differences in the mean scores of the 12 weight terms. Multivariate analyses of variance for the physician and patient datasets were both significant (P < .0001). After these significant omnibus tests, Tukey’s multiple comparison procedure was applied separately to both datasets to rank and compare the 12 terms for each group. In other words, simultaneous 95% CIs based on Tukey’s method were calculated for physicians and patients to generate an overall significance level of .05 for each family of comparisons and thereby account for multiple comparisons within each group.

In addition, physicians were categorized by their weight status (ie, BMI <25.0 kg/m² or BMI ≥25.0 kg/m²) to enable comparison of each word’s mean ratings between normal-weight and overweight/obese physicians. For this purpose, 12 analysis of variance models were used; each model’s significance level was set to be .004 to control for multiple comparisons. Similarly, analyses of patient subgroups were conducted by comparing overweight and obese patients’ ratings. African-American and white patients mean scores for each term were also compared. The significance level of each individual analysis of variance model in these patient comparisons was .004 to account for multiple comparisons.

Results
Sample Characteristics
Recruitment and retention for both samples (physicians and patients) are summarized in Figure 1. The survey response rate among patients was 98%. The response rate among physicians was 24.4%. The assessed demographic characteristics for patients and physicians are summarized in Table 1.

Weight Terminology Preferences
Patients
There was considerable overlap in patients’ ratings of the desirability of different words. “Weight” (mean ± SD) was rated by patients as the most desirable choice for physicians to use (1.13 ± 1.10) and was the only word rated in the range of “desirable” to “very desirable.” However, it did not
differ significantly from 5 other words that were rated in the range of “desirable” to “neutral,” including “BMI” (0.70 ± 1.26), “unhealthy body weight” (0.46 ± 1.35), “unhealthy BMI” (0.42 ± 1.30), “weight problem” (0.28 ± 1.33), and “excess weight” (0.20 ± 1.31). The term “fatness” (-1.30 ± 1.22) was rated by patients as least desirable, although this rating was not significantly different from patients’ ratings of “excess fat” (-1.06 ± 1.28), “large size” (-0.99 ± 1.28), “obesity” (-0.85 ± 1.35), or “heaviness” (-0.69 ± 1.24). Comparisons of patient ratings for all 12 terms are summarized in Figure 2. In the figure, terms associated with the same letter (a, b, c, etc) do not differ from each other, whereas different letters represent significantly different ratings for those terms.

Approximately 80% of patients met criteria for obesity (ie, BMI ≥30 kg/m²), whereas 20% were overweight (ie, BMI = 25.0 to 29.9 kg/m²). Overweight and obese patients’ preferences for different words were compared, and there was no difference in patients’ ratings of desirability for the 12 terms. The ratings of white and African-American patients were compared as well. White patients rated the term “obesity” as significantly more undesirable (-1.08 ± 1.22) than did African-American patients (-0.31 ± 1.52) (P < .003). There were no other differences in the preferences of white and African-American patients.

**Table 1. Characteristics of Patient and Physician Samples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients (n = 143) (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Physicians (n = 108) (Mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>99.3 ± 22.4</td>
<td>68.0 ± 16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>118.3 ± 27.0</td>
<td>82.6 ± 14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>36.9 ± 7.4</td>
<td>25.4 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>46.8 ± 12.5</td>
<td>48.8 ± 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years in practice</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>18.3 ± 9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>128 (89.5)</td>
<td>26 (24.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>88 (62.4)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>91 (64.5)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>76 (58.9)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College graduate</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>82 (76.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family or internal medicine</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>94 (87.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index.

**Physicians**

Physicians reported that they would “probably” or “definitely” use the term “weight” with patients during clinical encounters. The likelihood of using “weight” (1.42 ± 0.89) was significantly greater than the reported likelihood of the use of all other terms provided, according to Tukey’s simultaneous 95% CIs. Other terms potentially used by physi-
cians (rated as terms they would “maybe” or “probably” use) included “excess weight” (0.60 ± 1.10), “unhealthy body weight” (0.52 ± 1.21), “BMI” (0.24 ± 1.21), and “weight problem” (0.22 ± 1.22). “Fatness” was least likely to be used by physicians (−1.74 ± 0.59), although the rating for this term did not significantly differ from “excess fat” (−1.49 ± 0.88), “heaviness” (−1.12 ± 0.99), or “large size” (−1.02 ± 1.05). On average, physicians reported that they would “probably not” or “definitely not” use any of these 4 terms. Comparisons of physician ratings for all 12 terms are summarized in Figure 3.

The physician sample was relatively evenly split in terms of their weight status, with 53% having a BMI in the nonoverweight range and 47% having a BMI indicative of overweight or obesity. Thus, the likelihood of physicians using each of the 12 terms was compared based on physicians’ weight status. There was no difference in normal-weight or overweight/obese physicians’ use of any of these weight-related terms with patients.

Discussion
The current study is the first to assess the preferences of patients’ and physicians’ use of weight-related terms while using the same set of terminology for both groups. Patients rated “weight” as the most desirable term for their physician to use to describe overweight or obesity, whereas “fatness” was the least desirable term rated by patients. However, there was notable overlap in patients’ ratings of the desirability of the 12 terms provided. Although the pattern/ranking of patients’ terminology preferences are consistent with previous research with obese and severely obese patients,13 overweight and obese patients in the current sample accepted more terms that could be used by their physicians to describe excess weight. Although “weight” was the only term rated as “desirable” or “very desirable,” 5 other terms (including “BMI,” “unhealthy body weight,” “unhealthy BMI,” “weight problem,” and “excess weight”) were rated favorably by patients (mean ratings between “neutral” and “desirable”).

Physicians were most likely to use the term “weight” in discussions with overweight or obese patients, and this term was clearly preferred by physicians when compared with all of the other words offered. In contrast, “fatness,” “excess fat,” “heaviness,” and “large size” were least likely to be used by physicians during clinical encounters. It is encouraging to note that physicians’ use of the term “weight” was consistent with patient preferences, and the avoidance of terms such as “excess fat” and “fatness” was also congruent with

---

Figure 2. Patients’ rated desirability of weight-related terms (scores range from −2 [very undesirable] to + 2 [very desirable]). Different letters indicate significant differences between terms using Tukey’s multiple comparison procedure to maintain an overall family-wise significance level of .05. Terms with common letters are not significantly different from each other. BMI, body mass index.
Figure 3. Physicians’ reported likelihood of using weight-related terms (scores range from –2 [definitely not use] to +2 [definitely use]). Different letters indicate significant differences between terms using Tukey’s multiple comparison procedure to maintain an overall family-wise significance level of .05. Terms with common letters are not significantly different from each other. BMI, body mass index.

Patients’ negative reactions to these terms. Similar to comparisons of overweight and obese patients, the weight status of physicians did not influence their reported likelihood of using different weight-related terms with patients.

Few studies have examined the preferences of patients and the parents of pediatric patients regarding how they would like physicians to broach the topic of obesity. This study expands on this limited research by including a large sample that included both overweight and obese patients who were receiving care in a community-based managed care setting. When comparing patients’ preferences based on weight status, overweight and obese patients did not differ in their ratings of any of the terms provided. In fact, the only between-group difference was observed between African-American and white patients’ preference for the term “obesity”; white patients found this term more undesirable than African Americans.

Although it is important to explore what terms overweight and obese patients find most appropriate when discussing this topic, it is equally important to understand how physicians actually approach this discussion with their patients. Only one study was identified that examined this issue specifically. Given several terms and phrases to describe the problem of obesity, physicians most preferred the phrase, “Your weight may be damaging your health.” However, this previous study included a very small sample of physicians (n = 19), and they were provided with only 8 terms from which to choose.

This topic is particularly worthwhile because physicians can play an important role in the prevention and treatment of obesity, and patients report a desire for greater involvement of their physician in their weight loss efforts. However, this collaborative approach to treatment can only take place after an initiation of the conversation about the patient’s need and desire for weight loss. The use of common terminology in patient-provider communications is important to ensure that an effective dialogue occurs. It facilitates the efficient and accurate sharing of information, which is more likely to lead to appropriate diagnoses and treatment plans. Furthermore, excess weight and related concerns about body image can be an emotionally laden topic, and physicians should acknowledge and deal with patients’ emotional responses by effectively communicating respect, empathy, and legitimation. Physicians’ word choices may be an important component of fostering an environment and relationship of mutual respect. Understanding the
expectations and reactions of both patients and physicians is important to maximize the likelihood of efficient, effective, and satisfying communications between patients and providers about this topic. In fact, preliminary research indicates that the manner in which physicians broach this potentially sensitive topic may influence how patients react emotionally and cognitively to the discussion and advice.16

Several limitations to the current study as well as suggestions for future investigation are worth noting. Because the 2 groups responded using a different metric (ie, patients were asked about the desirability of terms whereas physicians were asked how likely they were to use each term), it is not feasible to conduct direct statistical comparisons of physician and patient ratings for each term. Although this study addresses a limitation of previous research by expanding the sample to include overweight individuals,13 the proportion of overweight patients was relatively small, so comparisons of overweight and obese patients’ preferences should be replicated in future research. Also, the patient sample included only individuals seeking treatment for weight loss, the majority of patients were women, and the sample was relatively well educated. These sample characteristics limit generalizability of the findings, particularly because individuals seeking treatment may have different preferences and comfort levels when discussing their weight status as compared with patients who are not engaged in treatment. Assessing physician behaviors was also novel, although the response rate of physicians was modest. However, the current response rate compared favorably to other studies that included physician surveys.10,19 Also, regarding the physician sample, participants were recruited from among clinicians who were affiliated with a medical school. Although the physicians represented community-based practitioners, this may represent a unique sample, with limited generalizability to other physician populations.

All of the analyses were based on self-reported data rather than observation of recorded clinical encounters. In future study, the use of direct observation or surveys of physicians and patients after an actual office visit may provide more accurate and more detailed information about how this topic is discussed during an encounter. Future research should also adopt a common metric for the assessment of physician and patient preferences and the use of terms to facilitate direct statistical comparisons between the 2 groups. In addition to the terminology used to describe excess weight, future research should examine other patient and physician attitudes and practices related to weight-loss counseling, such as nonverbal behaviors exhibited during the encounter and the amount of detail and time devoted to these discussions. Finally, one of the most useful extensions and applications of this research will be to examine if the terminology used by physicians and other physician behaviors influence patient outcomes, such as patients’ satisfaction with their medical care, their intention to follow their physician’s advice, and the success of any weight-loss strategies that have been adopted.
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