Purpose: We examined overweight/obesity management in primary care in relation to body mass index (BMI), documentation of weight status, and comorbidities.

Methods: This analysis of baseline data from the Cholesterol Education and Research Trial included 2330 overweight and obese adult primary care patients from southeastern New England. Data were obtained via a telephone interview and abstraction of patients’ medical records. BMI (kg/m²) was calculated from measured height and weight. Management of overweight/obesity included advice to lose weight, physical activity recommendations, dietary recommendations, and referral for nutrition counseling.

Results: Documentation of weight status was more common with increasing BMI (13% of overweight patients, 39% of mildly obese patients, and 77% of moderately/severely obese patients). Documentation of overweight/obesity was associated with increased behavioral treatment; the biggest increase was seen for advice to lose weight (odds ratios were 7.2 for overweight patients, 3.3 for patients with mild obesity, and 4.0 for patients with moderate/severe obesity). Although weight-related comorbidities were associated with increased overweight/obesity management at all BMIs, the biggest increase in odds was for patients with moderate/severe obesity.

Conclusions: Documentation of weight management was more common among patients with documented overweight/obesity and with weight-related comorbidities. These insights may help in designing new interventions in primary care settings for overweight and obese patients. (J Am Board Fam Med 2009;22:544–552.)
weight/obesity management in primary care and to better translate evidence-based guidelines into clinical practice. In this study, we examined 2 factors that may influence overweight/obesity management in the primary care setting: (1) documentation of overweight/obesity and (2) the presence of weight-related comorbidities. Understanding current overweight/obesity management practices could inform future education efforts and interventions to promote successful treatment in the primary care setting.

Methods
This study uses baseline data from the Cholesterol Education and Research Trial, a randomized cluster quality improvement trial testing the effectiveness of translating the Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines into clinical practice. The Memorial Hospital of Rhode Island Institutional Review Board approved the study. Thirty representative, nonacademic primary care practices (15 internal medicine and 15 family practice; 15 group practices and 15 solo practices) were recruited from Rhode Island and Massachusetts. Patients eligible for the Cholesterol Education and Research Trial (aged 20–80 years; spoke English; had at least one physician visit during the past 2 years) received letters signed by their physicians inviting them to participate in the study. A random sample of 20 to 120 patients per practice was selected from patients who provided informed consent. Participants completed a short, computer-assisted telephone interview and research assistants abstracted medical records from May 25, 2004, through August 18, 2005. After excluding patients who were pregnant (n = 18), missing weight (n = 83) or height (n = 770), or who were underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²; n = 41) or normal weight (18.5 kg/m² ≤ BMI < 25 kg/m²; n = 1017), the present sample included 2330 overweight or obese primary care patients.

BMI was calculated from the most recent weight and height recorded in the medical record. Patients were classified as overweight (25 kg/m² ≤ BMI < 30 kg/m²), mildly obese (30 kg/m² ≤ BMI < 35 kg/m²), or moderately/severely obese (35 kg/m² ≤ BMI). Because primary care physicians may record overweight even when the patient is clinically obese, we considered documentation of either overweight or obesity. Documentation included information abstracted from the patient’s problem list and/or any notation indicating the patient’s weight status (eg, “patient is obese”). Research assistants reviewed medical records for advice to lose weight, physical activity and dietary recommendations, and referral for nutrition counseling within the previous 2 years. Evidence of physical activity or dietary recommendations included any notation of advice. Documentation of 4 common, weight-related comorbidities (diabetes, lipid disorders, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease) required the diagnosis or documentation on the problem list and was not based solely on medication use, laboratory data, or blood pressure readings. Diabetes included both type 1 and type 2 diabetes but excluded gestational diabetes. During the telephone interview, patients reported their date of birth, sex, marital status, education, and smoking status. Patients who reported smoking at least one cigarette during the past month were considered smokers.

We compared the characteristics of patients and measures of overweight/obesity management across degrees of overweight/obesity. We then compared patterns of overweight/obesity management in relation to documentation of overweight/obesity and presence of weight-related comorbidities, within each group (overweight, mild obesity, and moderate/severe obesity). Next, we estimated odds ratios using generalized linear mixed models with a logit link function to adjust for the clustering of patients within medical practices. Potential confounders that changed the estimate of association by at least 10% were retained in each model. Models were fit separately for each measure of overweight/obesity management within each weight category. Variables included in each model are indicated in table footnotes. Analyses were conducted with SAS software 9.1.3 for Windows (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

Results
Patients with higher BMIs were, on average, more likely to be women and less likely to be married or cohabitating (Table 1). Documentation of overweight/obesity was more common with increasing BMI. Overweight or obesity was documented in 13% of overweight patients’ charts, 39% of mildly obese patients’ charts, and 77% of moderately/severely obese patients’ charts (Table 1; P < .01 for
linear trend across BMI categories). The prevalence of each comorbidity increased with increasing BMI, as did the proportion of patients with at least one of these comorbid conditions (Table 1). All 4 measures of overweight/obesity management increased with increasing degree of overweight/obesity ($P < .01$ for linear trend for each measure).

All 4 measures of overweight/obesity management were more common among those with higher BMIs (Figure 1). Although physical activity and diet recommendations were common among all patients, for only patients with moderate/severe obesity that was documented by their physician was the prevalence of advice to lose weight more than 50%; less than a quarter of overweight and obese patients received referrals for nutrition counseling (Figure 1). We found increased odds of overweight/obesity management in relation to documentation of overweight/obesity within each BMI category; odds ratios ranged from 1.9 to 7.2, depending on the measure of management and BMI category (Table 2). Documentation of overweight/obesity in the progress note or problem list had a much greater impact on advice to lose weight among overweight patients compared with those with mild or moderate/severe obesity (odds ratios, 7.2 vs 3.3 and 4.0; Table 2).

A similar pattern of overweight/obesity management practices was observed for overweight and obese primary care patients in relation to the presence of weight-related comorbidities (Figure 2). We found increased odds of overweight/obesity management in relation to the presence of weight-related comorbidities within each BMI category; odds ratios ranged from 1.2 to 4.8, depending on the measure of management and BMI category (Table 3). Although weight-related comorbidities were associated with a similar increase in odds of referrals for nutrition counseling for patients with differing levels of overweight/obesity, weight-related comorbidities had a greater impact on advice to lose weight, physical activity recommendations, and diet recommendations among patients with moderate/severe obesity (Table 3).

**Discussion**

In this study of overweight and obese primary care patients, we found that documentation of weight

| Table 1. Characteristics of Overweight or Obese Primary Care Patients |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                             | Overweight* (n = 1236) | Mild Obesity† (n = 661) | Moderate or Severe Obesity‡ (n = 433) |
| Female (%)                  | 51                      | 54                      | 66                      |
| Age (mean years [SE])       | 54.5 (0.8)              | 53.9 (0.9)              | 54.7 (0.9)              |
| Marital status (%)          |                          |                          |                          |
| Married/cohabitating        | 74                      | 72                      | 62                      |
| Single                      | 11                      | 13                      | 16                      |
| Widowed/divorced/separated  | 14                      | 14                      | 21                      |
| Non-Hispanic white (%)      | 94                      | 94                      | 93                      |
| Education (%)               |                          |                          |                          |
| ≤ High school               | 33                      | 34                      | 39                      |
| Technical/junior/some college | 21                  | 26                      | 24                      |
| College graduate            | 27                      | 24                      | 24                      |
| Postgraduate/professional degree | 19           | 17                      | 13                      |
| Current smoker (%)          | 13                      | 16                      | 15                      |
| Overweight or obesity documented (%) | 13            | 39                      | 77                      |
| Comorbidities (%)           |                          |                          |                          |
| Diabetes                    | 8                       | 14                      | 26                      |
| Lipid disorder              | 61                      | 63                      | 68                      |
| Hypertension                | 42                      | 56                      | 69                      |
| Cardiovascular disease      | 10                      | 11                      | 15                      |
| At least one comorbidity (%)| 71                      | 78                      | 88                      |
status and behavioral interventions were more common among patients with increasing BMIs and that overweight/obesity management occurred more frequently among patients with documented weight status and those with weight-related comorbidities.

Our study confirms previous findings that overweight/obesity management occurs more frequently with increasing BMI\textsuperscript{10,12,16–19} and the rates of physical activity and dietary recommendations in our population were similar to those found in previous studies.\textsuperscript{13,19,26} In the present study, referral for nutrition counseling was the least common treatment strategy. Patients with moderate/severe obesity and weight-related comorbidities (24%) were the most likely to receive a referral for nutrition counseling.

Behavioral interventions were more frequent among patients with documented overweight or obesity.\textsuperscript{10,11,14,16,18,27} Documentation of overweight/obesity was associated with similarly increased odds of physical activity and dietary recommendations and referrals for nutrition counseling across degrees of overweight/obesity. However,
documentation of overweight/obesity was associated with higher increased odds of advice to lose weight among overweight patients compared with patients with mild or moderate/severe obesity. Because modest weight loss could shift overweight patients into a healthy weight category, perhaps physicians may be more likely to both document overweight and provide weight-loss advice for the subset of overweight patients they believe may successfully achieve weight loss. Alternatively, some overweight patients may initiate conversations about weight with their physicians, leading to both documentation of their weight status and a physician recommendation of weight loss. Although there are multiple potential explanations, this finding suggests that increasing documentation of overweight might lead to increased management activities.

Although those with comorbid conditions may be more likely to receive advice to lose weight, studies have found that only about half of obese patients with comorbidities received advice from their physician to lose weight. We found that, at all levels of overweight/obesity, those with comorbidities were more likely to have documented behavioral interventions for overweight/obesity. The increase in odds associated with the presence of weight-related comorbidities was greatest for advice to lose weight and physical activity and dietary recommendations. Frequency of lifestyle advice in relation to weight-related comorbidities has not been investigated in such a way by previous studies.

This study has several strengths and limitations. We differentiated between mild and moderate/severe obesity and included overweight individuals, which resulted in greater understanding of overweight/obesity management. We were unable to differentiate between moderate and severe obesity because of limited numbers of patients in these weight categories. Although BMI does not differentiate between lean and fat mass, BMI is highly correlated with waist circumference, is similarly related to total and abdominal fat, and is recommended for the classification of overweight and obesity in adults by national evidence-based clinical guidelines. The primary care providers in this study included general internists and family physicians from a spectrum of practice types. Although many previous studies have assessed management of overweight and obesity from care provided during a single office visit or patient self-reports of care, we reviewed 2 years of documented care, which better reflects the longitudinal nature of primary care. The design of the chart abstraction limited our ability to establish a temporal relationship between weight status and documentation and management of overweight/obesity. However, in this sample, on average, patients’ weight classification did not change over the course of 12 to 18 months (unpublished data). Because this sample comes from southeastern New England and was predominantly non-Hispanic white, our findings

Table 2. Management of Overweight/Obesity among Primary Care Patients by Documentation of Overweight/Obesity and Degree of Overweight/Obesity*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Documentation of Overweight and/or Obesity</th>
<th>Overweight</th>
<th>Mild Obesity</th>
<th>Moderate or Severe Obesity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advise to lose weight</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7.2 (4.8–10.6)†</td>
<td>3.3 (2.3–4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Referent)</td>
<td>(Referent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity recommendations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.3 (1.5–3.4)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.3–2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Referent)</td>
<td>(Referent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet recommendations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.9 (1.8–4.7)</td>
<td>3.2 (2.1–4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Referent)</td>
<td>(Referent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral for nutrition counseling</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3.3 (2.1–5.4)‡</td>
<td>2.5 (1.6–4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Referent)</td>
<td>(Referent)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Overweight: 25 kg/m² ≤ body mass index (BMI) < 30 kg/m²; mildly obese: 30 kg/m² ≤ BMI < 35 kg/m²; moderately/severely obese: 35 kg/m² ≤ BMI. All data shown as adjusted odds ratio (95% CI).
†Adjusted for sex.
‡Adjusted for age.
§Adjusted for education.
may not be generalizable to primary care patients from other regions of the country or of other races/ethnicities.

A common limitation of data obtained from chart reviews is the potential discrepancy between conversations and the documentation of those conversations. Although documentation of weight status indicates recognition, a lack of documentation does not necessarily imply a lack of recognition. Therefore, it is possible that physicians discussed weight with their patients or made behavioral recommendations but did not document these conversations in the patient’s chart. It is unknown whether this likely underestimate occurred differentially across BMI categories. Because practice guidelines suggest lifestyle modifications for the weight-related comorbidities studied, we were unable to determine whether behavioral recommendations were made to manage these health conditions or for weight control. In addition, we were not able to assess whether conversations were initiated by physicians or patients. This is a problem common to

Figure 2. Management of overweight/obesity by presence of at least one weight-related comorbidity and degree of overweight/obesity. The light gray bars represent patients without selected weight-related comorbidities (diabetes, lipid disorders, hypertension, or cardiovascular disease); the dark gray bars represent patients with at least one of these weight-related comorbidities.
many studies exploring the management of overweight/obesity in primary care, and there is evidence that both patients and clinicians initiate conversations about weight control.

Practice guidelines recommend more intensive counseling than may be feasible in the context of a primary care visit. Reported challenges in effectively treating obesity in the primary care setting include lack of time, inadequate training on how to counsel patients, lack of insurance reimbursement, patient noncompliance, inadequate teaching materials, and low confidence. Although some physicians may feel that patients would not want to discuss their weight, many overweight and obese patients feel that their excess weight is unhealthy and would feel comfortable discussing weight with their physicians.

Because primary care providers’ advice can have an impact on patients’ behavior and on patients’ confidence and motivation to change their behavior, there is a need to devise approaches that may help primary care clinicians balance visit constraints and the need for intensive lifestyle counseling to treat overweight and obesity in their patients. Electronic medical records offer the potential for the automatic calculation of BMI at the point of service, which has been found to increase documentation of weight status and treatment in obese patients’ charts. Web sites can provide patients with tailored and interactive health information. Some research has found patients to have positive experiences and attitudes with electronic health records and electronic communication with their providers about their care. Group medical visits facilitated by physicians and other primary care professionals may provide a venue for increased patient education and understanding about their health. Newly implemented group medical visit programs have had positive responses from patients. However, many team-focused approaches may require reformed payment approaches to primary care.

In our study, documentation of weight management was more common for patients with documented overweight/obesity and among patients with weight-related comorbidities. Advice to lose weight was documented for a minority of overweight and mildly obese patients, yet these patients may have the greatest chance of obtaining a healthy weight. Triggers or office system approaches may be helpful in the management of overweight/obesity in the primary care setting. Research is needed to explore strategies to help primary care physicians balance time constraints and competing demands to provide the more intensive behavioral interventions needed to combat the obesity epidemic.

We would like to thank the participating practices and the research assistants who conducted the medical record abstractions.
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