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Background: Complications of labor epidural anesthesia include a post-dural puncture headache (PDPH). A 2003 meta-analysis described the onset of PDPH as occurring from 1 to 7 days after the procedure. Presented here is the first published case of a PDPH occurring 12 days postpartum.

Methods: Twelve days after an uncomplicated labor epidural a patient was awakened by a “crushing” postural headache. The initial diagnosis was “possible subarachnoid hemorrhage.” Lumbar puncture and computed tomography angiogram were normal. Despite medications a severe postural headache persisted and she was referred for an epidural blood patch. Consultants felt the headache onset after 7 days made PDPH impossible. Ultimately a delayed EBP was performed with immediate resolution of her headache.

Discussion: Meta-analyses describe that parturients have a 1.5% risk of accidental dural puncture during epidural placement. Onset of the headache occurs as early as 1 or as late as 7 days after the procedure. Epidural blood patch is the most effective treatment for PDPH and a rapid response is diagnostic.

Conclusion: Described is the first reported case of a PDPH occurring well outside the normal range of onset 1 to 7 days after epidural anesthesia. The delayed diagnosis and treatment of PDPH in this patient illustrates the limitations of over-rigorous application of pooled analyses to the care of individual patients. (J Am Board Fam Med 2009;22:202–205.)
was noted to be markedly worse with sitting or standing. The patient denied neck stiffness, visual changes, or any other neurologic symptoms. She presented to the emergency department; the initial diagnostic impression was “possible subarachnoid hemorrhage.” Normal vital signs were recorded. A noncontrast head computed tomography scan was interpreted as normal. A lumbar puncture was performed. Tube 1 had clear spinal fluid with normal chemistries, 4 white blood cells, and 93 red blood cells. Tube 4 contained no white blood cells or organisms and 58 red blood cells. Although the emergency physician felt a traumatic tap was the likely source of the blood, he elected to perform a computed tomography angiogram given the clinical symptoms. The angiogram was evaluated as normal. The patient was treated with intravenous fluids, ketorolac, morphine, and metoclopramide and discharged in the care of her husband. The emergency department discharge diagnosis was “headache.”

The patient returned to the emergency department the next day with a continued severe postural headache that increased from 1 of 10 to 10 of 10 in severity with any attempt to sit or stand. Her husband reported that she was having difficulty breastfeeding and providing care for the newborn. She was treated with intravenous caffeine and referred for an epidural blood patch (EBP) to treat a possible atypical PDPH. The consulting anesthesiologist, referencing a 2003 meta-analysis, declined to do an EBP and felt that a PDPH was not possible given that the onset of the headache had been more than 7 days after the procedure. He referred the patient to the neurology department; they concurred that the late onset of the headache made PDPH unlikely and prescribed sumatriptan and oral magnesium for a diagnosis of migraine headache.

Later that same day the patient’s husband called to report that the patient could still not sit upright because of a severe headache and was prostrate in bed. A second opinion was arranged with a different neurologist who, citing the patient’s “classic postural symptoms,” diagnosed a PDPH and referred her for an immediate EBP. The EBP was performed and her headache immediately improved from 10 of 10 in intensity to 2 of 10, and to 0 of 10 the following morning. The headache never recurred and the patient went on to have a normal postpartum clinical course.

### Table 1. Symptoms of Post-Dural Puncture Headache

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positional or postural headache</th>
<th>Severe headache</th>
<th>Neck and shoulder stiffness</th>
<th>Photophobia</th>
<th>Nausea</th>
<th>Difficulty in visual accommodation</th>
<th>Diplopia</th>
<th>Dizziness</th>
<th>Tinnitus</th>
<th>Hearing loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Discussion

A PubMed search using the keywords “epidural,” “complications,” and “post-dural puncture headache” yielded 338 citations that were reviewed for applicability to the case described. Meta-analyses described that parturients have a 1.5% risk of accidental dural puncture with epidural anesthesia.2,3 A large retrospective study of over 65,348 anesthetic interventions, from the National Obstetric Anesthetic Database in the United Kingdom, cited the incidence of PDPH as ranging from 1.1% to 1.9%.4 Of patients who have an accidental dural puncture, 52.1% will develop a PDPH.2 Risk for PDPH is reduced by using smaller diameter (25- or 27-gauge) atraumatic needles and by having an experienced operator.1,4

PDPH can occur as early as 1 day and as late as 7 days after the procedure.2,4 No cases have previously been reported beyond 7 days postpartum. The cardinal features of PDPH are a postural or positional headache that is worsened by sitting or standing and improved by laying supine (80% of patients), a “severe” headache that limits activity (75% of patients), and neck/shoulder stiffness (43% of patients); photophobia and nausea are also common with PDPH.2,4 Difficulty in accommodation, diplopia, dizziness, tinnitus, and hearing loss are less common, but possible symptoms (Table 1).

The symptoms of PDPH seem to result from the loss of cerebrospinal fluid volume that triggers secondary cerebral vasodilatation and traction on pain sensitive structures of the cerebral contents when assuming an upright position. The differential diagnosis of a PDPH includes nonspecific headache, migraine, cerebral vein thrombosis, sinusitis, meningitis, caffeine withdrawal headache, drug-induced headache, eclampsia, and intracerebral bleeding (Table 2).
Evidence from the literature supports EBP with 15 to 25 mL of the patient’s own blood as the most effective treatment for PDPH. A rapid response to an EBP is both diagnostic and therapeutic. One study reported that, although immediate relief occurred in almost all patients, long-term pain relief was achieved in only 61% of patients. It is not entirely clear how an EBP works. An increase in cerebrospinal pressure or reflex cerebral vasoconstriction are likely explanations. Other forms of treatment include bed rest, intravenous fluids, intravenous caffeine, theophylline, sumatriptan, adrenocorticotropic hormone, gabapentin, and analgesics. Studies support that a recumbent position, intravenous fluids, analgesics, and intravenous caffeine provide some symptom relief for most patients. At present, theophylline, sumatriptan, adrenocorticotropic hormone, and gabapentin have more limited data to support their use. All of these treatments are less effective than EBP.

This case is significant for 2 reasons. First, the delayed diagnosis and treatment of PDPH in this patient illustrates the limitations of over-rigorous application of pooled analyses to the care of individual patients. Although helpful in assisting clinical decision making, meta-analyses can sometimes cause physicians to exclude diagnoses even in the face of compelling individual patient-oriented data.

Conclusions
Described here is the first reported case of a PDPH occurring 12 days after epidural anesthesia. Because PDPH can occur well after hospital discharge it is important that patients and community physicians are knowledgeable of the signs, symptoms, and optimum treatment modalities for PDPH. This will minimize a delay in treatment during the critical early postpartum period, when breastfeeding is established and mother–child bonding is initiated. Early referral for an EBP will also reduce the severe pain and discomfort experienced by the new mother.

The delayed diagnosis and treatment of PDPH in this patient also illustrates the limitations of over-rigorous application of pooled analyses to the care of individual patients. Although helpful in assisting clinical decision making, meta-analyses can sometimes cause physicians to exclude diagnoses even in the face of compelling individual patient-oriented data.
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Table 2. Differential Diagnosis of Post-Dural Puncture Headache

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Caffeine withdrawal headache</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral vein thrombosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-induced headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eclampsia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracerebral bleed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migraine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-specific headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-dural puncture headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


