

Correspondence

Re: Pregnancy Care: An Apprenticeship for Palliative Care?

To the Editor: Thank you for an insightful piece¹ on the beginning and end of life—one that helps draw comparisons between fields where few would find any similarities to exist. The table included at the end of the article could be applied across many fields and is one I will use in teaching often. One of the clearest similarities between pregnancy and care at the end of life is the unpredictable timing of the event. It can bring comfort to families to understand that things happen in their own time. I also compare how death is one of the many challenges we face in life, much like becoming a parent is an important challenge that changes who we are.

And to the *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, thank you for making this important piece free to the public. I also signed up to receive your RSS feed online. What a great tool for accessing your journal!

Christian T. Sinclair, MD
 Kansas City Hospice and Palliative Care
 Kansas City, MO
csinclair@kchospice.org

Reference

1. Clark WD. Pregnancy care: an apprenticeship for palliative care? *J Am Board Fam Med* 2008;21:63–5.

The above letter was referred to the author of the article in question, who offers the following reply.

Response: Re: Pregnancy Care: An Apprenticeship for Palliative Care?

To the Editor: I appreciate the kind words of Dr. Christian Sinclair regarding my article “Pregnancy Care: An Apprenticeship for Palliative Care?” published in the *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine* in January 2008.¹ In the months after the publication of the article, I received e-mails from physicians and nurses both within and outside the United States expressing appreciation for its content. Respondents included a nurse mid-wife educator from Israel who told me she planned to use the article to teach her mid-wife students. Her expressed intentions seem to give credence to Dr. Sinclair’s observation that the article’s content has broad applicability within health care education.

Although the practice of medicine has innumerable opportunities for meaningful communication, it is “these two sacred spaces”² of birth and death that deserve and

demand the best of what we can give as compassionate and empathic physicians.

William Clark, MD
 Mercy Medical Center-North Iowa
 Mason City, IA
clarkw@mercyhealth.com

References

1. Clark WD. Pregnancy care: an apprenticeship for palliative care? *J Am Board Fam Med* 2008;21:63–65.
2. Osborn JS. Easter Sunday in the ladies’ room. Rapid Response, *J Am Board Fam Med*, January 8, 2008. Available at <http://www.jabfm.org/cgi/eletters/21/1/63>. Accessed August 29, 2008.

Re: Improving Performance in Prevention

To the Editor: Dr. Newton’s commentary “Improving Performance in Prevention”¹ deserves clarification. I believe he means disease detection and misused the term “prevention.” Mammograms detect hopefully early enough to effect a curative treatment. Mammograms don’t “prevent.” Prevention is more of a population concept and thus, physicians have difficulty with the application to individuals regardless of interest. Prevention has a long history of success when governments become involved (sanitation, etc), and less success on an individual basis (prevention is hard to study on individual patients). This struggle brings to mind a long-standing battle in the history of medicine. The history of medicine has many caveats for today. For instance the Caduceus is not the appropriate symbol of medicine. The Caduceus is actually the symbol of Mercury in mythology and the Caduceus has been used as a symbol of commerce not medicine. The correct symbol is the Staff of Aesculapius (Asclepius). In addition, mythical medicine struggles continue today. The daughters of Aesculapius, (the god of healing), Hygeia (goddess of health), and Panacea (goddess of healing) were always at odds. Hygeia promoted hygiene and prevention, but panacea promoted treatment when ill. Physicians are much better trained to detect and treat than prevent. We should expect preventive medicine to be accomplished by governments and other population influences. I encourage all physicians to continue to emphasize prevention in our education of the individual patients, but we should concentrate on our skills in detection and treatment. Early disease detection is not prevention.

David O. Parrish, MD
 Bayfront Family Medicine Residency
 St. Petersburg, FL
david.parrish@bayfront.org

Reference

1. Newton WP. Improving performance in prevention. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2008;21:258–60.

The above letter was referred to the author of the article in question, who offers the following reply.

Response: Re: Improving Performance in Prevention

To the Editor: Definitions are critical. I have used the distinction the World Health Organization and many other authors use. Primary prevention is directed at avoiding the development of disease by targeting risk factors such as smoking, diet, or number of sexual partners. Secondary preventive care such as Papanicolaou smears and colonoscopy is aimed at early disease detection, with the goal of preventing progression of the disease. Tertiary prevention reduces the adverse effects of an already established disease: clinical examples include retinal screening for diabetics or β blockers after myocardial infarction.

With these definitions in mind, I would argue that family physicians are involved at all levels of prevention. Almost all family physicians counsel about exercise and lipids, take Papanicolaou smears and blood pressures, and give diabetics statins and eye exams. Although there is

obviously a major role for traditional public health—not just in sanitation but in promotion of healthy lifestyles—we should acknowledge and celebrate the key role that family physicians and other primary care providers play in prevention. This is particularly true in postindustrial society in which chronic disease represents an increasing component of our health challenges. What family physicians do has a substantial impact on public health. Is prevention in clinical care easy? No. Is it well reimbursed? Not really, but much better than it used to be. Can we organize our practices to be more effective in prevention? That is the focus of the Oklahoma study, and why it is important.

Finally, about Aesclepius' tortured family: as always, the Greeks have much to teach us. The author's concerns regarding commercial influence in medicine and comment regarding the tension between prevention and treatment are well taken. I would argue, however, that the history has another very important lesson: the mythical father of medicine encompasses not only prevention (Hygeia) and curing (Panacea), but also healing (Iaso) and surgery (Aesclepius' sons, Podaleinius and Machaon). All are important.

Warren Newton, MD, MPH
University of North Carolina School of Medicine
Chapel Hill, NC
Warren_Newton@med.unc.edu