Reports of Envenomation by Brown Recluse Spiders Exceed Verified Specimens of *Loxosceles* Spiders in South Carolina
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**Purpose:** To determine whether the number of brown recluse spider bites diagnosed by South Carolina physicians coincides with evidence of brown recluse spiders found in the state.

**Methods:** Brown recluse spider bite diagnosis data were extracted from 1990 and 2004 surveys of South Carolina physicians. This was compared with the known historical evidence of brown recluse spiders collected in South Carolina and derived from various sources, including state agencies, arachnologists, and museum specimens.

**Results:** South Carolina physicians diagnosed 478 brown recluse spider bites in 1990 and 738 in 2004. Dating to 1953, 44 brown recluse spider specimens have been verified from 6 locations in South Carolina.

**Discussion:** The number of brown recluse bites reportedly diagnosed in South Carolina greatly outnumbers the verified brown recluse specimens that have been collected in the state. The pattern of bite diagnoses outnumbering verified brown recluse specimens has been reported in other areas outside of this spider’s known endemic range. (J Am Board Fam Med 2007;20:483–488.)

The range of the brown recluse spider, *Loxosceles reclusa*, is restricted to a specific portion of the southeastern and central United States, which potentially includes the westernmost tip of South Carolina† (Figure 1). Despite this limited distribution, necrotic skin lesions have been attributed to loxoscelism (bites by *Loxosceles* spiders) throughout the continental United States, Canada, and Alaska.** The range of the brown recluse spider, *Loxosceles reclusa*, is restricted to a specific portion of the southeastern and central United States, which potentially includes the westernmost tip of South Carolina† (Figure 1). Despite this limited distribution, necrotic skin lesions have been attributed to loxoscelism (bites by *Loxosceles* spiders) throughout the continental United States, Canada, and Alaska.** The range of the brown recluse spider, *Loxosceles reclusa*, is restricted to a specific portion of the southeastern and central United States, which potentially includes the westernmost tip of South Carolina† (Figure 1). Despite this limited distribution, necrotic skin lesions have been attributed to loxoscelism (bites by *Loxosceles* spiders) throughout the continental United States, Canada, and Alaska.** The range of the brown recluse spider, *Loxosceles reclusa*, is restricted to a specific portion of the southeastern and central United States, which potentially includes the westernmost tip of South Carolina† (Figure 1). Despite this limited distribution, necrotic skin lesions have been attributed to loxoscelism (bites by *Loxosceles* spiders) throughout the continental United States, Canada, and Alaska.** The range of the brown recluse spider, *Loxosceles reclusa*, is restricted to a specific portion of the southeastern and central United States, which potentially includes the westernmost tip of South Carolina† (Figure 1). Despite this limited distribution, necrotic skin lesions have been attributed to loxoscelism (bites by *Loxosceles* spiders) throughout the continental United States, Canada, and Alaska.** The range of the brown recluse spider, *Loxosceles reclusa*, is restricted to a specific portion of the southeastern and central United States, which potentially includes the westernmost tip of South Carolina† (Figure 1). Despite this limited distribution, necrotic skin lesions have been attributed to loxoscelism (bites by *Loxosceles* spiders) throughout the continental United States, Canada, and Alaska.** The range of the brown recluse spider, *Loxosceles reclusa*, is restricted to a specific portion of the southeastern and central United States, which potentially includes the westernmost tip of South Carolina† (Figure 1). Despite this limited distribution, necrotic skin lesions have been attributed to loxoscelism (bites by *Loxosceles* spiders) throughout the continental United States, Canada, and Alaska.** The range of the brown recluse spider, *Loxosceles reclusa*, is restricted to a specific portion of the southeastern and central United States, which potentially includes the westernmost tip of South Carolina† (Figure 1). Despite this limited distribution, necrotic skin lesions have been attributed to loxoscelism (bites by *Loxosceles* spiders) throughout the continental United States, Canada, and Alaska.

There are many alternate causes of skin lesions that have been mistaken for brown recluse spider bites, including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). MRSA is a rapidly emerging infectious disease threat in many parts of the United States. A recent study of patients with skin and soft-tissue infections presenting to 11 different emergency departments noted that MRSA was isolated from 59% of patients. This led to the conclusion that MRSA is now the most common cause of skin and soft tissue infections in the cities studied. The prevalence of MRSA was noted to be 68% in Charlotte, North Carolina, and 72% in Atlanta, Georgia, during August 2004. Studies have shown that patients with MRSA infections frequently present to their physicians with a complaint of spider bite. The severe pain often experienced by patients with MRSA skin infections is...
probably the reason they think they have been bitten by a spider. Therefore, in areas where brown recluse bites are commonly diagnosed but brown recluse spiders are rare, it is likely that MRSA infections are being missed. This would be especially true where MRSA prevalence is known to be high, making it important to examine spider bite data in these areas.

Although there are no published reports indicating the prevalence of MRSA in South Carolina, based on the numbers noted above from neighboring states it is likely that MRSA infections are common in South Carolina. Another reason for studying this issue is that the westernmost tip of South Carolina is on or just beyond the natural range of the brown recluse spider. In addition, data concerning the number of physician-diagnosed brown recluse bites is available from surveys of South Carolina physicians that were conducted in 1990 and 2004. Finally, there are several available sources for determining the distribution of brown recluse spiders in South Carolina, including accessible collections from national and state museums and information obtained from Clemson University entomologists. The objective of this study was therefore to determine whether the known distribution of brown recluse spiders in South Carolina corresponds to statewide reports of loxoscelism. Information compiled here would be useful for physicians anywhere outside of the endemic brown recluse range.

Methods

Physician Surveys

To determine the extent of physician-diagnosed brown recluse spider bites in South Carolina, data were tabulated from 2 statewide surveys of physicians. The most recent survey reported the number of bites diagnosed by primary care physicians during 2004. This survey was mailed to 2789 South Carolina physicians identified as family practitioners, internists, and pediatricians from the Directory of the State Board of Medical Examiners. All full-time medical school faculty members were excluded. The surveys were sent in February 2005 and returned via facsimile or mail between March and July 2005. Physicians were asked to report the total number of injuries from various arthropods (spiders, ticks, and fire ants) they had diagnosed.
during 2004 and asked to include information about brown recluse bites. Further details of this survey, including the full survey instrument, have been previously published.\textsuperscript{13}

Data on diagnoses of brown recluse bites were also extracted from a previously published 1990 study. This study was similar to the one described above, with the exception that it was not limited to primary care physicians. Instead it was mailed to 2366 physicians in practices identified in the Directory of the State Board of Medical Examiners as family practice, internal medicine, pediatrics, general practice, emergency medicine, and occupational medicine. In early 1991 physicians were sent a survey asking them to tabulate the total number of patients they had seen in 1990 with a diagnosis of a brown recluse bite. Further details of this study have been previously published.\textsuperscript{14}

\textbf{Arachnological Evidence}

To determine the numbers and locations of brown recluse spiders that are known to have been collected in South Carolina, information was obtained from various state agencies. Private citizens, pest control operators, and physicians from South Carolina submit spiders to Clemson University, the South Carolina Forestry Commission, and the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control for expert identification, often under the suspicion that the specimens may be brown recluses. Officials at each of these agencies were contacted to determine whether there are either written accounts or personal recollections of brown recluse submissions from any source. Additional information was provided by communication with arachnologists and entomologists who had personal experience in the state.

For over 80 years, the Clemson University Arthropod Collection has been a major repository for spiders and other arthropods collected from across South Carolina; this resource was examined for brown recluse specimens. In addition, several major American museums contain depositories of spiders collected and submitted from various sources throughout North America, including South Carolina. \textit{Loxosceles} specimens collected from South Carolina were requested from the American Museum of Natural History (New York, NY); the National Museum of Natural History (Smithsonian, Washington DC); the Field Museum of Natural History (Chicago, IL); the California Academy of Sciences (San Francisco, CA); the Denver Museum of Nature and Science (Denver, CO); the Florida State Collection of Arthropods (Gainesville, FL); the Burke Museum of Natural History (Seattle, WA); and the Clemson University Arthropod Museum (Clemson, SC).

\textit{Loxosceles} spiders were examined from any of these museums that were found to contain specimens collected in South Carolina. An additional source of arachnological evidence was an annotated checklist providing information on common South Carolina spiders; this included the names of species found in the state and the locations where specimens have been collected.\textsuperscript{15}

\textbf{Results}

\textbf{Physician Surveys}

For the 2004 survey, there were 514 total responses (overall response rate, 19\%). At least one brown recluse bite diagnosis was reported by 44\% (n = 226) of respondents during 2004; a total of 738 bites were reported. The mean number of bites reported per provider who diagnosed at least 1 case of loxoscelism in 2004 was 3.3.\textsuperscript{13} The number of bites reported by county is included as Figure 2.

For the 1990 survey, there were 940 responses (overall response rate, 42\%). At least one brown recluse bite diagnosis was reported by 23\% (n = 217) of respondents during 1990; a total of 478 bites were reported. The mean number of bites reported per provider who diagnosed at least 1 case of loxoscelism in 1990 was 2.2.\textsuperscript{14}

\textbf{Arachnological Evidence}

A total of 44 brown recluse spiders have been documented or reported in 6 of South Carolina’s 46
cluse bites in nonendemic areas. However, in- encouraged skepticism when diagnosing brown re- celism. In 2003 a regional medical journal article only national data available on reported loxos- cels. However, the American Association of physicians were more than was reported to the of brown recluse bites reported by South Carolina. None of the other museums re- ported any brown recluse specimens from South Carolina in their collections. An annotated publi- cation on South Carolina spiders lists 23 brown recluses collected from 3 different locations in the state. No officials from the state agencies that routinely receive spider specimens for identifi- cation could recall a brown recluse submission and no written records of brown recluse specimens were noted. None of these specimens were known to be involved in envenomations.

Discussion

The numbers of physicians responding to both the 1990 and 2004 surveys represent only a fraction of the physicians practicing in South Carolina; there- fore it is likely that many more cases of loxoscelism are diagnosed there. Yet in both years, the numbers of brown recluse bites reported by South Carolina physicians were more than was reported to the American Association of Poison Control Centers for the entire country during the same time peri- ods. However, the American Association of Poison Control Centers data includes more than just physician-diagnosed bites and data on spider bites should be cautiously interpreted, it is the only national data available on reported loxos- celism. In 2003 a regional medical journal article encouraged skepticism when diagnosing brown recluse bites in nonendemic areas. Increases were noted from 1990 to 2004 in both the percentage and the number of South Carolina ph- ysicians reporting loxoscelism. The average number of brown recluse bites reported by physicians diagnosing at least 1 case also increased from 1990 to 2004.

The number of verified South Carolina speci- mens of L. reclusa spiders is minimal when com- pared with the population sizes found within their endemic range. In the central portion of the known habitat range of the brown recluse (ie, Kansas, Oklahoma, Missouri, Arkansas), multiple speci- mens have been easily collected from single locations. For example, researchers documented over 2000 brown recluse spiders in a single Kansas home over a 6 month period. An additional study in Kansas showed that 22 of 25 homes had brown recluses; traps set out captured a mean of 83.5 ± 114.9 per house (range, 1–526). Because no similar discoveries have been reported in South Carolina, it is unlikely that the brown recluse exists here in high numbers. It is also noteworthy that, in their endemic range, brown recluses can be collected from both natural habitats (eg, rock outcrops) and from man-made structures like homes and build- ings. In contrast, brown recluses in South Carolina have been collected exclusively from man-made structures. This observation supports the claim that brown recluse populations in South Carolina are the result of introductions; therefore these popula- tions are localized and unlikely to spread by dis- persal through natural habitats. The lack of brown recluse spider evidence is consistent with a 1944 study where no Loxosceles specimens were noted among 350 species of spiders collected from Geor- gia and South Carolina and identified by arach- nologists. However, a limitation of our study is that all spider species located in a state are not necessarily included in museum collections.

It is possible that private citizens have found brown recluse spiders in South Carolina that were not submitted to state agencies for identification and that collections of spiders in museums may not accurately reflect the actual population range of the brown recluse spider on the southeastern margin of its distribution. However, the arachnological evi- dence from the various sources presented here is the best estimate of the population distribution that can be assembled; these museum collections and publications represent the collecting efforts of many scientists and the general public over de- cades. It is highly unlikely that an additional exten- sive statewide collection effort would significantly alter the arachnological picture presented here. An- other limitation of this study is that the physician diagnosis data are based on recall, which is known to be unreliable on an individual basis; however, recall can be an efficient means of obtaining popu- lation level data. Despite these limitations, the findings of this study should lead physicians to be cautious in making a presumptive diagnosis of brown recluse bite in South Carolina.
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Several authors have noted broad differential diagnoses to be considered in cases of suspected loxoscelism including several conditions commonly seen in South Carolina, such as Rocky Mountain spotted fever, Lyme disease, herpes zoster, and diabetic ulcers. However, considering the recent emergence of MRSA as a skin and soft tissue pathogen, MRSA infections may represent a larger portion of suspected brown recluse bites in South Carolina than previously suspected. Physicians should maintain a high level of suspicion for MRSA in any patient with a skin or soft tissue infection, but especially if the patient presents with a complaint of spider bite. A common misconception is that brown recluse spiders can be found anywhere in North America because they could be inadvertently transported during commerce, but the arachnological evidence shows that *Loxosceles* spiders are rare outside endemic areas. Because brown recluse bites are rare even in endemic areas, short-term travel to such regions should likewise not cause consideration of loxoscelism diagnoses without sufficient incriminating evidence. In nonendemic *Loxosceles* areas, such as South Carolina, physicians should only diagnose brown recluse spider bites if recluse spider involvement can be definitively proven. Spider specimens should be obtained from patients whenever possible and submitted for expert identification by arachnologists (information on how to submit a sample for identification is included below).

**Conclusions**

This study adds South Carolina to the list of locations where loxoscelism reports outnumber historically verified specimens of *Loxosceles* spiders. The erroneous diagnosis of loxoscelism in South Carolina and other areas outside of this spider’s known range may delay treatment of other conditions such as MRSA infection. Further research into this issue is necessary, including prospective studies designed to determine the true etiology of suspected spider bites. Isbister summarized the issue well by stating that, “The myth of necrotic arachnidism must be debunked by accurately defining the effects of definite spider bites and simultaneously investigating necrotic ulcers to determine a cause.”

**Spider Submission**

Submit spiders for identification to: Richard S. Vetter, MS, Department of Entomology, 3401 Watkins Drive, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, or Ian C. Stocks, MS, Department of Entomology, Soils, and Plant Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634

Live or dead spiders can be sent in an unbreakable container with a tightly secured lid. A small amount of crumpled paper towels placed in the container and secured will prevent excessive damage to the spider during shipping. It is not necessary to provide food, water, or air holes for live spiders.
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