Persistence, Adherence, and Risk of Discontinuation Associated with Commonly Prescribed Antihypertensive Drug Monotherapies
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Objective: To assess 1-year persistence and adherence with monotherapy using the most commonly dispensed individual agent in 4 antihypertensive drug classes: hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ), amlodipine, lisinopril, or valsartan.

Design: Retrospective, longitudinal analysis of initial prescriptions during 2001 to 2002 from a nationwide administrative claims database representing 11 million covered lives in the United States.

Measurements: Drug utilization following initiation. Cox proportional hazards regression models controlled for demographics, case-mix, and concomitant treatments.

Results: Records for 60,685 subjects were included: HCTZ (n = 18,713), amlodipine (n = 11,520), lisinopril (n = 21,138), or valsartan (n = 9314). Over 1 year, 31% to 44% of subjects utilized no treatment for at least 60 days. Medication possession ratio (MPR) and adherence measures ranged from 73% to 90%. Valsartan was associated with significantly (P < .001) more favorable measures of persistence, length of therapy, time to discontinuation, MPR, and risk of discontinuation, compared with HCTZ, amlodipine, or lisinopril. The risk of discontinuation was 53%, 32%, and 14% greater for HCTZ, amlodipine, and lisinopril, respectively, versus valsartan (all comparisons P < .001).

Conclusion: Among antihypertensive agents studied, valsartan was associated with the most favorable utilization patterns. Health care providers and systems should evaluate the use of antihypertensive drugs within their populations to identify and manage treatment discontinuation. (J Am Board Fam Med 2007;20:72–80.)

Hypertension is a common and eminently treatable risk factor for major cardiovascular events, including coronary heart disease and stroke. Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in developed nations, and is expected to increase in worldwide importance during the next 20 to 50 years. The prevalence of hypertension seems to be increasing in both developed nations, where it currently affects approximately 1 in 3 adults, and in developing countries.

Meta-analyses and meta-regression analyses of clinical trials in hypertension have reported that pharmacologic drug therapy significantly reduces cardiovascular events, which is largely attributable...
to their blood pressure-lowering effects.\textsuperscript{6,7} As a result, current national and international guidelines focus on the importance of achieving blood pressure targets (<140/90 mm Hg for patients with uncomplicated hypertension, and <130/80 mm Hg for those with diabetes mellitus or chronic kidney disease).\textsuperscript{8} The majority of hypertensive patients in the United States\textsuperscript{8} and other countries\textsuperscript{9} do not reach these target blood pressure levels, however, partly because of poor adherence to prescribed drug therapy and lack of long-term persistence with antihypertensive therapy as assessed by pharmacy refill rates.\textsuperscript{9–11}

Although all the major antihypertensive drug classes demonstrate comparable efficacy in blood pressure lowering, differences have been observed among agents in adverse-event profiles and long-term tolerability characteristics. For example, clinical trial data\textsuperscript{12–17} and observational investigations\textsuperscript{18–24} indicate that angiotensin II type 1 receptor blockers (ARBs) have fewer adverse events, improved long-term tolerability profiles, and higher adherence rates relative to other classes of antihypertensive drugs, including angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, calcium channel blockers (CCBs), beta-blockers, and diuretics. Some of the previous observational studies of adherence to different antihypertensive drugs did not include ARBs,\textsuperscript{20} included much smaller number of patients treated with losartan (the first ARB) than with other drug classes,\textsuperscript{19,21} or demonstrated significant inhomogeneity across different ARBs.\textsuperscript{24} This study was designed to compare persistence and adherence using the most commonly dispensed agent in each of 4 drug classes, at a time when dispensing of ARBs was relatively common.

Differential adherence to long-term therapy may have major implications for the effectiveness of antihypertensive medications in preventing cardiovascular events,\textsuperscript{15,25,26} as well as health care costs.\textsuperscript{27–29} In a Medicaid population, continuous use of antihypertensive medications in the first year after initiation was associated with significantly lower health care costs, mainly attributable to decreased hospital expenditures.\textsuperscript{30} We conducted a study in a large patient population to assess patient persistence, adherence, and treatment discontinuation over 1 year among patients treated with the most widely prescribed antihypertensive agent in each of 4 drug classes at the time the study was conducted: thiazide-type diuretics (hydrochlorothiazide, HCTZ); CCBs (amlodipine); ACE inhibitors (lisinopril); and ARBs (valsartan).\textsuperscript{31}

Methods

This retrospective longitudinal analysis investigated a cohort of adults who received a first (index) prescription for HCTZ, amiodipine, lisinopril, or valsartan between 2001 and 2003. Prescription and other data were drawn from a Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)-compliant, de-identified administrative claims database (Thomson MEDSTAT MarketScan Research Database, Ann Arbor, Michigan), which contains combined medical and pharmacy service-level claims data for approximately 11 million covered lives enrolled in more than 100 commercial, governmental, and public health plans. The database contains comprehensive inpatient, outpatient, emergency department, pharmacy claims, prescription drug utilization, enrollment, and eligibility information.

Subjects’ records were included in this analysis if they were 18 years of age or older; had continuous insurance eligibility for at least 24 months (12 months before and 12 months after the index date); had no prescription claim for a diuretic, CCB, ACE inhibitor, or ARB in the 12 months before the index date; and received a new prescription for either HCTZ, amiodipine, lisinopril, or valsartan monotherapy between 2001 and 2002. Given these criteria, the time frame for the overall analysis ranged from January 2000 to December 2003, including the pre- and post-index years. Individuals using fixed-dose combination products were excluded from the analysis. Institutional review board approval was granted from the University of Arizona Human Subjects Protection Program.

The following key variables were collected and calculated: (1) age at the index prescription date; (2) gender; (3) treatment group (ie, HCTZ, amiodipine, lisinopril, or valsartan); (4) Charlson Comorbidity Index,\textsuperscript{32} a validated measure of comorbid illness severity for each subject using International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9-CM) codes, adapting the Dartmouth-Manitoba algorithm and considering the revisions of Deyo et al\textsuperscript{33} and Romano et al,\textsuperscript{34} with the time period for computation including the 12 months preceding the index date; and (5) concomitant utilization of antidiyslipidemics, antiplatelet agents, beta-blockers, digoxin, nitrates, or warfarin.
Outcome measures included the following: (1) persistence, defined as remaining on the index therapy and not discontinuing before the end of the 12-month post-index date, noting that discontinuation was defined as ending therapy with the index agent and not receiving another prescription for it within 60 days of exhausting the drug supply for the prior prescription (typically 90 days after the last prescription), wherein the discontinuation date is defined as the date of last dispensing; (2) time to discontinuation, defined as the number of days from dispensing the index prescription to the discontinuation date; (3) length of therapy, defined as the last prescription date for the agent within the study period minus the index prescription dispensing date; (4) medication possession ratio (MPR), defined as the percentage of time that a subject has a supply of the drug available during the 12 months after dispensing of the index prescription and including at least 2 refills (ie, the sum of the days' supply for all prescriptions dispensed during the 12 months subsequent to the index prescription divided by 365 days); and (5) adherence, defined as the sum of the days' supply of all medication dispensed (excluding the days' supply of the final prescription dispensing) divided by the length of therapy, expressed as a percentage and including at least 2 refills.

Utilization analyses were performed relative to the index date with each subject contributing 12 months of data following the index prescription. Statistical procedures used in this study included unadjusted and adjusted methods to control for covariate effects (such that the determination of statistical differences between treatment groups was valid). Results of unadjusted means or proportions were reported as mean ± standard deviation or as sample size and percentage, as appropriate. Adjusted means were computed to yield the average values for MPR and adherence, controlling for the effects of age, gender, and Charlson Comorbidity Index scores; results were reported as the adjusted mean ± SE or 95% CI.

Kaplan-Meier curves were constructed to graphically present crude survival estimates, with a log-rank test for equality of survivor functions used to assess group differences. A Cox proportional hazards regression model with the Efron approximation was used to evaluate the risk of discontinuation across treatment groups, controlling for covariates including age, gender, Charlson Comorbidity Index, and concomitant medication use. The reference case used to gauge hazard ratios or relative risk across treatment groups was valsartan. Both graphic and statistical procedures were used to assess model diagnostics. An α level of 0.05 was established a priori for statistical significance testing. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.1 (Cary, NC), STATA version 9.0 (College Station, TX), and SPSS version 14.0 (Chicago, IL).

Results
The demographic characteristics of study subjects are presented in Table 1. Overall, 60,685 records met the inclusion criteria. Mean age of the sample was 57.7 ± 12.9 years, and 48.2% were male. The proportion of subjects using HCTZ, amloidpine, lisinopril, and valsartan were 30.8%, 19.0%, 34.8%, and 15.4%, respectively. At baseline, there were significant differences across drugs in age (by analysis of variance) and in gender (by χ²). Significantly more males than females received an initial prescription for lisinopril (males = 59.1%, P < .001) and valsartan (males = 53.9%, P < .001), whereas more females than males received an initial prescription for HCTZ (males = 31.3%, P < .001); no significant difference was noted for amloidpine according to gender. Individuals receiving amloidpine and lisinopril had higher Charlson Comorbidity Index scores than those prescribed HCTZ or valsartan, which was generally due to higher proportions of concomitant medication use (eg, antiplatelet agents, digoxin, nitroglycerin, warfarin). The number of initial prescriptions increased substantially by year, as 28% of the sample population received their initial prescription in 2001 vs 72% in 2002.

Figure 1 shows the unadjusted 12-month persistence with the initial antihypertensive monotherapy prescription; drug utilization characteristics are reported in Table 2. Statistically significant differences in persistence across agents were observed over the duration of follow-up in the Kaplan-Meier survival curves (P < .001 overall). The unadjusted 1-year discontinuation rates were: valsartan (30.6%), followed by lisinopril (35.2%), amloidpine (39.7%), and HCTZ (44.2%). The unadjusted length of therapy was significantly longer for valsartan (298.7 ± 81.0 days), compared with lisinopril (282.0 ± 101.0 days), amloidpine (277.8 ± 104.9 days), or HCTZ (265.8 ± 109.5 days).
days) \( (P < .001 \) for each comparison versus valsartan). For those who discontinued treatment, the mean time to discontinuation also was significantly longer \( (P < .001 \) with valsartan \( 177.5 \pm 113.8 \) days) than with either amlodipine or lisinopril \( (141.6 \pm 112.9 \) and \( 141.9 \pm 110.2 \) days, respectively) or HCTZ \( (116.2 \pm 110.0 \) days). After controlling for age, gender, year of initial prescription, and Charlson Comorbidity Index scores across groups, the adjusted mean MPR was highest for valsartan \( (89.5 \pm 0.4\%) \), followed by lisinopril \( (84.7 \pm 0.2\%) \), amlodipine \( (78.3 \pm 0.3\%) \), and HCTZ \( (73.2 \pm 0.3\%) \) \( (P < .001 \) for each comparison versus valsartan). Adjusted adherence rates were significantly higher \( (P < .001 \) for valsartan \( 90.1 \pm 0.5\%) \) and lisinopril \( 89.9 \pm 0.4\%) \), compared with either amlodipine \( (85.2 \pm 0.5\%) \) or HCTZ \( (78.6 \pm 0.4\%) \).

![Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier estimates of the cumulative probability of discontinuation of initial antihypertensive monotherapies. There was a statistically significant difference between groups at the \( P < .001 \) level (log-rank test for equality of survivor functions).](http://www.jabfm.org/)
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Table 3 shows results of the Cox proportional hazards regression model using treatment discontinuation as the outcome variable, and controlling for age, gender, year of initial prescription, and Charlson Comorbidity Index scores. Relative to valsartan, individuals who received HCTZ, amlodipine, or lisinopril were 53%, 32%, and 14% more likely to discontinue therapy, respectively (all \( P < .001 \)). Using HCTZ as the standard, amlodipine, lisinopril, and valsartan were 14%, 26%, and 35% less likely to discontinue therapy, respectively (all \( P < .001 \)). Women (\( P < .008 \)), older persons (\( P < .001 \)), and those with higher Charlson Comorbidity Index scores (\( P < .001 \)) also were significantly more likely to discontinue treatment. After controlling for other covariates, first starts in the year 2002 were associated with a slightly (but statistically significantly) lower risk of discontinuation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>HCTZ (n = 18,713)</th>
<th>Amlodipine (n = 11,520)</th>
<th>Lisinopril (n = 21,138)</th>
<th>Valsartan (n = 9,314)</th>
<th>Overall (n = 60,685)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persistence, %</td>
<td>55.8*</td>
<td>60.3*</td>
<td>64.8*</td>
<td>69.4*</td>
<td>61.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuation, %</td>
<td>44.2*</td>
<td>39.7*</td>
<td>35.2*</td>
<td>30.6*</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of therapy, days</td>
<td>[Mean (95% CI)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(264.2–267.3)</td>
<td>(175.8–279.7)</td>
<td>(280.7–283.4)</td>
<td>(297.1–300.4)</td>
<td>(277.9–279.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to discontinuation, days†</td>
<td>[Mean (95% CI)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(113.9–118.6)</td>
<td>(138.3–144.9)</td>
<td>(139.4–144.4)</td>
<td>(173.4–181.5)</td>
<td>(135.6–138.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPR, adjusted %</td>
<td>73.2‡</td>
<td>78.3‡</td>
<td>84.7‡</td>
<td>89.5‡</td>
<td>81.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherence, adjusted %</td>
<td>78.6‡</td>
<td>85.2‡</td>
<td>89.9‡</td>
<td>90.1‡</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* \( P \leq .001 \) between groups (one-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni post-hoc for multiple comparisons or \( \chi^2 \) as appropriate).
† Computed for subjects discontinuing therapy.
‡ Overall model statistically significant at \( P < .001 \); adjustment included baseline age, gender, year of initial prescription, and Charlson comorbidity score.

HCTZ, hydrochlorothiazide; CI, confidence interval; MPR, medication possession ratio.
(relative to 2001, \(P < .001\)). Similar results were obtained in an extended model that controlled for concomitant medication use. However, utilization of antiplatelet agents, digoxin, and nitroglycerin was associated with a higher risk of discontinuation, whereas use of either antidysslipidemic agents or beta-blockers was associated with a significantly lower risk of discontinuation. A post hoc Cox regression analysis for individuals 65 years of age or older (n = 15,172) indicated that the relative risk of discontinuation for HCTZ, amlodipine, or lisinopril was 50%, 24%, or 20% higher, respectively, than for valsartan (all comparisons \(P < .001\)). In this analysis, neither gender nor concomitant treatment was significantly associated with discontinuation of the 4 medications investigated.

### Discussion

This large-scale analysis of drug utilization patterns within the United States found that 31% to 44% of treatment-naive subjects initiating therapy with commonly prescribed antihypertensive monotherapies actually utilized no therapy for at least 2 months during the first year of treatment. Despite this considerable gap, other measures of drug utilization, including MPR and adherence, seemed to be more favorable, ranging from 73% to 90%. The patterns of drug utilization differed by drug class. The ARB, valsartan, was associated with significantly improved measures of persistence, length of therapy, time to discontinuation, MPR, and risk of discontinuation, compared with representatives of the thiazide diuretic (HCTZ), CCB (amlodipine), or ACE inhibitor (lisinopril) drug classes. Adherence was highest for valsartan and lisinopril, compared with HCTZ and amlodipine.

Prior research has examined nonadherence to drug treatment regimens over a range of medical conditions. It has been reported that 20% to 60% of individuals improperly cease taking medication and that 20% to 80% fail to take their medications appropriately. In the most recent National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2001 to 2002), 71% of hypertensive Americans were aware of their diagnosis, but only 61% reported taking antihypertensive medication. Furthermore, only approximately one-third of all hypertensive persons achieved a blood pressure goal below 140/90 mm Hg. In a nationally representative population sample during 1996, physician compliance with prescribing guidelines of the Fifth Joint National Committee on Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC V) was only 37% for first-line antihypertensive drugs and 67% for second-line drugs.18

The findings of this study are consistent with prior research suggesting that ARBs are associated with significantly higher persistence rates than other commonly used antihypertensive drug classes.19–24 This conclusion seems to be consistent across a wide range of settings and patient populations: in managed care organizations, and general clinical pharmacy practice, in the United States, Canada, and Europe, in newly diagnosed hypertensive patients naive to drug therapy, and in those who have other conditions, including previously treated hypertension, whether observed over the short (1 year) or longer term (up to 4 years).21,23

In all these studies, as in the current analysis, the rank order of antihypertensive drugs regarding long-term persistence (from most to least favorable) was ARB > ACE inhibitor ≥ CCB > diuretic. For example, approximately two-thirds of patients in managed care and usual-care settings continued on ARB therapy over 1 year, compared with 50% to 58% of patients taking an ACE inhibitor, 50% to 53% with a CCB, and only 38% with a diuretic.19,39 Over a 4-year period, during which time adherence to antihypertensive therapy declines, persistence remained at approximately 51% with an ARB, versus 47% with an ACE inhibitor, 41% with a CCB, and only 16% with a diuretic.21 Examining comparative persistence, adherence, and discontinuation rates within real-world settings, the current analyses are consistent with clinical trial findings that ARBs are associated with the fewest adverse effects among the most commonly utilized antihypertensive drug classes. In addition to the potential for improved cardiovascular and renal outcomes, persistence or continuous use of antihypertensive therapy has been associated with lower overall health care costs.30

The data in the current study differ somewhat from those used in other reports. The database queried in the current investigation contains many more unique subject records than previous databases, thus increasing the statistical power of the analyses. The database contains extensive information regarding other diagnoses with which a Charlson Comorbidity Index score was calculated. This
risk adjustment measure was used to control statistically for various case-mix across treatment groups. The more extensive information available in this database also allowed us to conduct a secondary analysis that controlled for concomitant medication use (as a marker of disease severity), which further standardized the groups regarding case-mix differences. The methods used in this investigation and the consistency of our results and those from prior studies support the validity of these findings.

Our analyses have a number of limitations, particularly given the retrospective design and the potential for confounding by indication bias. The large sample size could have generated statistically significant results that may have little clinical or practical importance. No information regarding drug-related adverse events and other potential factors that may influence the selection of an individual antihypertensive agent was available within the administrative claims database. Characteristics of the health care provider prescribing the drug, possible differences in copayment by patients across therapies, and availability of agents on specific formularies, factors that may influence both prescribing practices and copayments, were unavailable. No information exists in the database about individuals who may have received an initial sample of antihypertensive agent in a clinic setting, but who never filled a prescription for the sample drug. This study population included only subjects with medical and pharmacy benefit coverage, and thus did not capture the approximately one third of Americans who used pharmacy services but had no pharmacy benefit plan in 2001 to 2002. Therefore, these findings may not be generalizable to all health care systems or pharmacy payment structures. Consistent with all studies of prescription databases, refill history is a proxy for persistence and adherence, as there is a lack of assurance that a filled prescription was taken as directed. Empiric evidence suggests, however, a strong correlation between pharmacy claims and drug exposure.

Overall, this investigation of real-world patterns of drug utilization found a relatively high degree of treatment gaps and differences in adherence measures among the four antihypertensive agents studied. Based on these findings, health care providers and organizations should consider evaluating their specific populations to identify and rectify patterns of suboptimal drug utilization. Although assessing the causes or effects of poor adherence was not part of this investigation, further research is needed to examine factors and outcomes associated with treatment discontinuation such as cost, access, adverse effects, treatment failures, and social and behavioral components. Further analyses concerning physician compliance with clinical practice guidelines such as JNC 7 are also recommended.

Conclusion

This investigation of real-world drug utilization patterns among 60,685 treatment-naive subjects within the United States indicates that approximately one third to one half of individuals discontinued use of commonly prescribed antihypertensive agents for 60 days or more within 1 year after treatment initiation. Among the agents evaluated, valsartan had higher persistence and adherence patterns, compared with HCTZ, amlodipine, or lisinopril. The observed differences in risk of discontinuation among medications studied may be illustrative of broader relationships among effectiveness, tolerability of medications, subjects’ drug utilization behavior, and the selection of a specific antihypertensive drug. Higher pharmacy refill rates may correlate with better blood pressure control, which has been linked directly to a reduced long-term risk of cardiovascular events. The public health concerns surrounding suboptimal patient adherence to antihypertensive therapy places increased responsibility on clinicians and health care systems to evaluate drug utilization patterns to identify patterns of poor persistence and a higher risk of discontinuation within their respective populations.
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