Effects of a Couple Communication Program on Marital Adjustment
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Background: Couples are usually advised to improve their communication skills to increase harmony and avoid conflicts. However, studies aimed at increasing marital adjustment in primary care are limited.

Methods: A Couple Communication Program was announced at the Ondokuz Mayis University Permanent Education Center, and the Dyadic Adjustment Scale was administered to 67 couples who volunteered. Twenty-eight persons (14 couples) with the lowest test scores were randomized into study and control groups. At the end of the program (post-test), the scale was again administered to the study group. Afterward, the pretest and post-test scores of the study and control groups were compared. The study group's pretest, post-test, and follow-up test scores were also examined.

Results: No difference in marital adjustment was found between the study and control groups before the start of the program ($P > .05$). The post-test scores of the couples attending the Couple Communication Program proved to be higher in total than the scores of those who did not attend ($P < .001$). The post-test and follow-up scores of the study group were significantly higher than their pretest scores ($P < .001$). There was no significant difference between the post-test and follow-up scores of the study group ($P = 1.0$).

Conclusion: This program may have a positive effect on marital adjustment levels by improving communication skills and may lead to long-term behavioral modifications in couples. (J Am Board Fam Med 2007;20:36–44.)

Happiness and fulfillment springing from a harmonious marriage can play a crucial role in couples' lives, because these affect their physical and psychological health. Individuals typically make the primary care physician their first port of call for medical and psychosocial problems. Studies have shown that substantial numbers of divorced and married people turn to their physicians for help with personal problems, suggesting that physicians need to be prepared to help them appropriately. The biopsychosocial model and the family life circle theory provide frameworks for understanding the common stresses of marital life and also guide the family physician in recommending strategies to improve marital satisfaction. Many opportunities have been described that are available to the family physician for detecting distress in troubled marriages and in divorce, as well as for therapeutic intervention, including anticipatory guidance, counseling, family therapy, and, as needed, referral for more intensive therapy.

Difficult situations that require referral to a mental health specialist include suicidal or homicidal ideation, intent, or behavior; psychotic behavior; sexual, physical, or substance abuse; somatic fixation; severe marital and sexual problems; and problems resistant to change during primary care counseling. However, many marital or relationship problems can be handled by family physicians if they have adequate training. Although psychotherapy and counseling for different mental or behavioral problems occupy an important place in many family physicians' practices, other family physicians consider that family therapy is not part of their job. Busy practices, inadequate undergraduate or postgraduate training, or a failure to identify couples in trouble may cause lack of focus on family therapy.
One of the best ways to foster a well-adjusted marital relationship is to provide couples with training in communication skills, which will help them resolve future marital conflicts.\textsuperscript{11,12} Studies reveal a high correlation between the communication and conflict resolution skills of couples and marital adjustment and divorce rates.\textsuperscript{13–21} Many patients may prefer to acquire these skills via family therapy in the primary care setting, wishing to avoid the potential stigma attached to referral to outside professionals. A trusting, long-term relationship with their primary care physician may be particularly helpful to such couples.\textsuperscript{10} A co-led group with a clinical psychologist may be a solution to a physician’s lack of self-confidence and experience, and may avoid time-consuming office visits and financial problems.

This study analyzes the effects of the Couple Communication Program developed by the authors to improve the adjustment levels of married couples. The main questions of the analysis concern whether couples having adjustment problems benefit from a valid, reliable, and practical program, and if so, whether the beneficial effects of the program are maintained over time.

Methods
Study Framework and Pattern
The control group pre-post-test model was used as the study pattern. First, posters announced that a Couple Communication Program aimed at improving marital communication would be held at the Ondokuz Mayis University Permanent Education Center between March 1 and May 1, 2005. Sixty-seven couples (134 persons) wishing to solve their marital problems volunteered to participate in the program. The Dyadic Adjustment Scale was administered to each couple.

The study sample consisted of the 28 persons (14 couples) with the lowest test scores. Both the study and control groups consisted of 14 subjects (7 couples), randomly assigned. Before the study each participant received a questionnaire in a sealed envelope requesting demographic information. The study group attended a 10-week communication skills program, meeting weekly for 1½ hours. Each member of the study group agreed to attend all the sessions. None of the couples ceased attending the program. A final application of the Dyadic Adjustment Scale was administered to both groups at the end of the program. A follow-up test was given 3 months later to all the subjects participating in the Couple Communication Program.

Couple Communication Program
One of the researchers (TFK) possessed wide experience and knowledge of the field. The other researcher, a family physician (BMY), had a basic knowledge of the field, experience in family counseling, and the skills needed to administer the program (giving constructive feedback, active listening, managing conflict, etc).\textsuperscript{9,22} The authors co-designed the Couple Communication Program, the independent variable in this study. In designing the program the authors took an eclectic approach, referred to many sources, and took cultural factors into consideration.\textsuperscript{23–42} The program has an educative and time-limited structure based on group experience (behavioral modeling). The main aim of the program is to provide couples with basic communication and conflict resolution skills.

The Couple Communication Program consisted of 1½-hour sessions on 10 consecutive weekends and was administered jointly by the 2 authors. The sessions were designed as 2 45-min segments, separated by a coffee break. Sessions included such techniques as providing skill-related information, role-playing scenarios based on real-life experience, and homework. Sessions 2 through 10 began with a warm-up activity where couples shared their experience with the previous week’s homework. Participants were assessed after each session and assigned tasks to perform at home to encourage them to apply what they had learned. The Couple Communication Program agenda is presented in the Appendix.

Data Collection Tool
Dyadic Adjustment Scale
Spanier\textsuperscript{43} adopted the Dyadic Adjustment Scale (referred to hereafter as “the scale”), designed to assess the adjustment levels of married couples or those living together. The scale, widely used in clinical work and research on marriage and family therapy, consists of 32 questions/themes distributed among 4 sub-inventories, using a Likert scale. The 4 subscales are affectional expression, dyadic cohesion, dyadic consensus, and dyadic satisfaction.

Scale scores range from 0 to 151, with 0 indicating the lowest level of marital adjustment. In their own reliability study, Sher and Baucom\textsuperscript{44}
maintained that a score below 101 is a reliable and critical cutoff point for the assessment of marital adjustment. Spanier calculated the Cronbach \(\alpha\) coefficient for the whole scale as 0.96, whereas in replication studies conducted in 1982 these values were 0.91 and 0.96, respectively. The reliability coefficients obtained for the subscales vary between 0.94 and 0.73. The expert opinion approach was used for the assessment of content validity, and the scale’s simultaneous validity was calculated as a correlation of 0.86 for married couples and 0.88 for divorcees.

Criterion validity analyses have revealed that the scale can differentiate between married and divorced couples, as well as between couples with problems and those without. Demir and Fisiloglu\(^45\) conducted validity and reliability assessments of the scale in Turkey. Internal consistency analyses have shown the Cronbach \(\alpha\) coefficient of the scale to be 0.95, whereas its split-half reliability is 0.90. The subscale \(\alpha\) coefficients have been calculated between 0.76 and 0.91. The General Functioning subscale of the Family Assessment Device has been used to determine the scale’s structural validity, and the correlation value for the Dyadic Adjustment Scale was determined to be \(-0.78\), whereas the correlation values for the subscales were between \(-0.65\) and \(-0.75\).

**Statistical Analyses**

The numeric results of the Dyadic Adjustment Scale were dependent variables in this study. The difference between the pretest, post-test, and follow-up test results of the study group was examined using analysis of covariance (one-factor ANCOVA), and Bonferroni’s test was used to investigate the relationship between the pair results. The Mann-Whitney \(U\) Test was used to investigate the relation between the pretest and post-test results of the study and control groups. A value of \(P < .05\) was regarded as significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 13.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).

**Results**

The demographic characteristics of both groups are presented in Table 1. The results of the initial Dyadic Adjustment Scale applied to the control and study groups revealed no significant difference in marital adjustment (pretest study group = 82.00 ± 7.76, pretest control group = 82.42 ± 8.03, \(P > .05\)). Post-test scores (at the end of the program) for those attending the Couple Communication Program proved to be higher in total than the scores of those couples who did not attend (post-test study group = 106.07 ± 12.21, post-test control group = 82.28 ± 7.9, \(U = 6.500, P < .001\)).

The results of the Mann-Whitney \(U\) Tests comparing the pretest and post-test scores of both groups are shown in Table 2. There was not a significant difference between the pretest and post-test scores of the control group during the 10-week period (\(P = .852\)). The post-test and follow-up test scores of the study group were significantly higher than their pretest scores (study group follow-up scores = 106.21 ± 12.86, \(F = 118.260, P < .001\)). There was no significant difference between the post-test and follow-up scores of the study group in pair-wise comparison (Bonferroni) (\(P = 1.0\)).

**Discussion**

The Couple Communication Program designed by the authors may have an effect on marital adjustment levels. Not only did the couples attending the program develop better skills compared with the couples in the control group, they also reported an improved feeling of harmony and cooperation.

---

**Table 1. Demographic Features of the Study and Control Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Study Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>36 ± 0.1 year</td>
<td>35 ± 0.3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(min. 32, max. 44)</td>
<td>(min. 31, max. 45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship status</td>
<td>Married = 14</td>
<td>Married = 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of marriage (years)</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(min. 0.2, max. 0.7)</td>
<td>(min. 0.2, max. 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education status (mean years spent in education)</td>
<td>11.34 ± 0.8</td>
<td>11.25 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(min. 0.8, max. 0.14)</td>
<td>(min. 0.7, max. 0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of children</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although the program ran successfully and smoothly, there were some unforeseen problems in which the researchers had to intervene. In general, the male partners had significant problems expressing their emotions during sessions, in particular with giving verbal responses and acceptance messages. Although this had not been planned, the participants were asked to make a list of the emotional and physical features of their spouse that they liked best. Here the male spouses’ responses were very basic and superficial, whereas the female spouses’ responses were very detailed and emotional. The female spouses held in common the view that they had very deep, basic communication problems with their spouses, even in maintaining a simple conversation. This confirmed our observation that the male spouses often used unhealthy listening modes (superficial, selective, defensive, and trapping) in role play. The male spouses also had difficulty giving feedback to their spouses and using “I” language.

Most of the women had jobs and also carried heavy responsibilities with regard to housework; they felt that they received insufficient help from their spouses. The empathic skills of both sexes were determined to be highly inadequate; they seemed to prefer acting in an egocentric manner, which they particularly disliked when it was displayed by their spouse. The researchers used several empathy-building techniques in a variety of scenarios to improve the couples’ skills.

The female spouses claimed that they lost their tempers very often and easily, displaying strong emotions, cursing, taking too long to calm down, sulking, and breaking off communication. Male spouses exhibited more rigid, authoritarian, and judgmental behavior, blaming their wives for problems. The female spouses concluded that during conflicts their husbands acted dominantly and often used threats and commands, which hurt them and made them feel despised. After observing that win/lose rather than win/win strategies were being used by the couples (and that it was usually the female spouses who lost), role playing was used extensively to give participants practice in structuring win/win situations.

Other couple communication and marriage improvement programs have also resulted in positive effects on marital adjustment levels. Earlier programs contain very similar approaches in their themes, such as active listening skills, conflict resolution skills, establishing empathy between spouses, defining and strengthening the objectives of the marriage, and sharing responsibilities.

However, our program differs from other improvement programs in that it focuses on improving many unique communication skills. Some of these unique skills, not used in other programs, are the use of ego-strengthening language, anger management (sharing his/her anger with the spouse through body language and the use of humor and relaxation), and the use of “I” language. In addition, we used a variety of different techniques to modify spouses’ behavior and employed a wide range of activities, including role play, discussion, demonstrations, sharing feelings with the group, group experiences, homework, behavioral modeling, behavioral negotiation, etc.

The fact that the study group’s adjustment skills remained better than those of the control group even after 3 months may be more significant than their post-test results. Most of the previous studies depended on post-test scores after group therapy to determine behavioral modification. There are 2 studies in the field that extended the investigation over a longer period of time: L’Abate et al administered the follow-up test 3 and 6 months after the program; Butler et al administered follow-up tests 3, 6, and 12 months afterward.

Table 2. Mann-Whitney U Test Results Comparing the Total Scores of Both Groups’ Dyadic Adjustment Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Row Average</th>
<th>Row Total</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Scores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretest study</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>198.50</td>
<td>93.500</td>
<td>0.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretest control</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>207.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scores at the termination of the program*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test study</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21.04</td>
<td>294.50</td>
<td>6.500</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test control</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>111.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Dyadic Adjustment Scale was applied to both groups 10 weeks later.
seems to be consensus that 3 months should be an adequate period.\textsuperscript{25,27} Hence, it may be anticipated that our program will lead to permanent behavioral changes.

This study reveals the opportunities that primary care physicians, in cooperation with clinical psychologists, can provide for patients with family or marital conflict. With adequate training in the behavioral sciences, many family physicians can include marital counseling skills in their clinical repertoires.\textsuperscript{10,48–50} An understanding of the basic techniques of the behavioral sciences can help physicians be more alert to patients' marital problems and better allow them to make appropriate recommendations for more intensive therapy.\textsuperscript{6,7,10,50} With the skills required to support troubled marriages, the family physician of the future may be able to make a significant contribution to the preservation of the nuclear family.\textsuperscript{51}

Efforts have been made to integrate the behavioral sciences with medical sciences in residency or postgraduate programs to meet patients' psychosocial needs.\textsuperscript{52,53} However, many studies show that family medicine residency or postgraduate training in psychological therapy needs to be improved.\textsuperscript{54–56} Because family physicians often have to provide psychological therapy or counseling in their daily activities, their training should reflect this need.\textsuperscript{53–56} One of the proposed solutions for couples who have requested marital therapy is short-term group therapy co-led by a resident and a behavioral scientist.\textsuperscript{57} Experience of this kind during residency training can make family physicians more comfortable with marital counseling.

Our study had a number of limitations. Although the participants with the lowest test scores participated in the study, their scores did not imply very severe or complicated marital maladjustments. Therefore our results cannot be generalized to be effective in solving these kinds of problems, including sexual or physical abuse. All the couples in our study were so highly motivated and eager to resolve their family conflicts that none of them stopped attending the program. However, if a spouse is resistant to therapy or fails to attend, this poses a difficult situation for the family physician.\textsuperscript{9} Furthermore, to administer this program family physicians would require basic knowledge and skills in the behavioral sciences and family counseling. It is essential for physicians to have experience in family therapy to help couples overcome difficult situations.

In conclusion, the Couple Communication Program may be a valid, reliable, and practical program for increasing marital adjustment between couples in conflict. The couples attending the program may have acquired new and functional communication skills. This study may help physicians in many ways. First, announcing this type of program via posters may attract couples previously unaware that their physician might help with marital maladjustments, or who may have difficulty sharing their marital problems with the family physician because of personal or cultural factors. This study may also motivate some physicians to run similar programs for their patients. It may be cost effective to run therapy groups for couples who have similar marital problems and who may benefit from the same therapies. And finally, we used very new techniques (ego-shattering language, relaxation, and humor for anger management, etc) to improve communication skills. Physicians may modify programs they run to reflect their own approach. Our results now need to be verified in undergraduate and postgraduate training by long-term studies.

**Appendix: The Couple Communication Program Agenda**

**Session 1**

**Aim**

1) To introduce the participants to each other, to inform them of the attendance policy and their responsibilities; and 2) To discuss the importance of communication and conflict resolution skills in solving marital problems.

**Activities**

To discuss the small group study process and the responsibilities of the participants.

To discuss with the group problems within the marital process and how these relate to communication skills.

To discuss communication problems with the group.

**Session 2**

**Aim**

1) To communicate with messages conveying acceptance, tolerance, respect, and value; and 2) To
achieve the ability to reflect respect and understanding in the couple's communication.

Activities
Exercises
To give messages showing their admiration for their spouse's emotional, social, and physical features.
To perceive, decode, and return these messages with positive feedback.
Homework involving these exercises.

Session 3
Aim
To give spouses the ability to use “ego-strengthening terms” instead of “ego-lowering terms” with each other.

Activities
To use both ego-lowering and ego-strengthening terms to convey the spouse’s emotional, social, and physical characteristics. For example:

- “My spouse has curves” instead of “My spouse is fat.”
- “My spouse is delicate and willowy” instead of “My spouse is thin.”
- “My spouse is careful with money” instead of “My spouse is a miser.”
- “My spouse has firm beliefs” rather than “My spouse is obstinate.”

To share feelings resulting from these messages and to compare ego-lowering and ego-strengthening terms with group members.

Homework involving these exercises.

Session 4
Aim
To gain the ability to use healthy listening methods and to recognize unhealthy modes of listening.

Activities
A demonstration of ineffective and unhealthy listening modes (superficial, selective, defensive, and trapping).
To practice unhealthy listening modes with the group.
A demonstration of active listening.
To practice effective and active listening modes, such as turning the face and body toward the spouse while listening, making eye contact, nodding, responding verbally, etc.
To compare feelings resulting from healthy and unhealthy listening modes and to share these with the group.
Homework involving healthy listening.

Session 5
Aim
1) To focus on the difference between “I” language and “You” language; and 2) To gain the ability to use “I” language.

Activities
A demonstration of “I” language and “You” language.
Practice using “I” and “You” messages, where spouses choose the most irritating examples of “You” messages they have experienced in real life.
Examples:
- “Your screaming at me when others were around made me very unhappy” vs. “If you scream at me like that again, I will make you rue the day.”
- “I’m really troubled by the fact that you don’t clean up the bathroom after you shave” vs. “You are the sloppiest, messiest man I’ve ever seen.”
- “I’m worried about the careless way you spend money” vs. “You are spending money stupidly and inconsistently.”

To share the feelings of spouses resulting from their experiences with “I” language and “You” language.

Homework to reinforce the use of “I” language.

Session 6
Aim
To develop correct and advanced empathy between spouses.

Activities
To role play some problematic scenarios and to determine spouses’ empathy skills.
A demonstration of empathy and advanced proper empathy.
To practice responding with advanced proper empathy.
To share the feelings of couples as they compare how they felt when empathy was and was not present.
Session 7

Aim
To provide spouses with anger management skills.

Activities
To list their spouse’s most infuriating behaviors.
To demonstrate how much they react with anger in these situations; for example, by screaming, breaking objects, attacking their spouse, engaging in physical violence, leaving home, refusing to speak to the partner, etc.
To use relaxation and humor techniques.
To express anger toward the spouse using “I” language.
To demonstrate anger management techniques.
To share with the group their feelings about using relaxation and humor techniques.

Homework: To practice relaxation and humor techniques.

Sessions 8 and 9

Aim
To provide spouses with cooperation-based conflict resolution skills.

Activities
A demonstration of dysfunctional conflict resolution skills (competition, withdrawal, reconciliation, postponement) and communication barriers.
To share with the group spouses’ previous experiences with conflict.
Role play to reveal emotions produced by conflict with spouses.
To note the barriers to communication.
To focus on the body language of the spouse during conflict to understand his/her feelings correctly.
To try to understand the spouse’s feelings.

Session 10

Aim
To share the feelings of the group regarding this program and to evaluate the process of group therapy.

Activities
To share feelings with the group.
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