As the population of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) grows at an alarming rate, primary care physicians will increasingly be involved in the management of these patients. Early recognition of CKD and timely referral to a nephrologist when glomerular filtration rate approaches 30 mL/min/1.73 m² is extremely important to improve ESRD outcome and appropriate selection of dialysis modality. Peritoneal dialysis (PD) remains a viable treatment option for ESRD patients. PD is less expensive dialysis modality and may provide a survival advantages over hemodialysis in first 2 to 4 years of treatment. Preserving residual renal function (RRF) is of paramount importance to prolong the survival outcomes in PD patients. Thus preservation of RRF is an important goal in the management of PD patients. Every effort should be made to avoid nephrotoxic drugs like aminoglycosides and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and limit the use of radiocontrast agents in PD patients with RRF. Judicious use of prophylactic antibiotics to prevent peritonitis would further help to reduce morbidity from PD. Protecting peritoneal membrane from long-term toxic and metabolic effects of the conventional glucose-based solutions is another objective to further improve PD outcome. Development of new, more biocompatible PD solutions holds promise for the future. One such solution, icodextrin, is now approved for use in the United States. Although extremely safe to use, it is associated with unique metabolic effects that may concern primary care physicians. They include false elevation of blood glucose, a reversible increase in serum alkaline phosphatase and a false decline in serum amylase. Monitoring of glycemia by assays that use glucose dehydrogenase pyrroloquinoline quinone enzymes should be avoided and serum amylase alone should not be relied on in diagnosing pancreatitis in patients on icodextrin. (J Am Board Fam Med 2006;19:380–9.)

Recent years have seen an explosive growth of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) population in the United States. There were over 400,000 ESRD patients in 2001, consuming 7% of the Medicare budget and $23 billion in total costs. The ESRD population is projected to grow to 650,000 by 2010 (Figure 1). Rising ESRD population is just a small tip of the large chronic kidney disease (CKD) iceberg. For each patient with ESRD, there are over 100 patients with various stages of CKD. In the wake of rising CKD population, the Kidney Disease Outcome Quality Initiative (KDOQI) published clinical practice guidelines in 2002. KDOQI recommends classifying CKD into 5 stages based on the glomerular filtration rate. Furthermore, KDOQI recommends early diagnosis and treatment of CKD by the primary care physicians in collaboration with nephrologist to reduce morbidity and mortality and delay the progression of CKD. Moreover, it recommends timely referral to nephrologist when estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) is less than 30 mL/min/1.73 m², so that patients can receive proper pre-ESRD education and be well prepared for appropriate renal replacement therapy. Current treatment options for ESRD include renal transplant, hemodialysis (HD) [mainly in-center HD, but also nocturnal HD and home HD] and peritoneal dialysis (PD). Although renal transplant remains the treatment of choice, the proportion of ESRD patients receiving renal transplant has not much changed (Figure 2). Thus most ESRD patients stay on dialysis. With a
growing ESRD population, primary care physicians will be increasingly involved in co-managing these patients. A basic knowledge of renal replacement therapies is essential for appropriate management of such patients. PD is a viable dialysis modality, which continues to evolve since inception in the late seventies. In this article, we will review various aspects of PD from primary care perspective.

Overview of PD

PD is achieved by instilling dialysis solution into the peritoneal cavity using a percutaneous abdominal catheter. Water and solutes are exchanged between the capillary blood and the intraperitoneal dialysate across the peritoneum, comprising vascular endothelium, its basement membrane, underlying connective tissue interstitium and a mesothelial monolayer. Peritoneum has a surface area of 1 to 2 m² and covers the inner surface of the abdominal wall (parietal peritoneum) and most visceral organs (visceral peritoneum). Only a third of the peritoneum, mainly the parietal peritoneum, is in effective contact with the dialysate and participates in solute and water exchange.

A variety of indwelling silastic and polyurethane catheters are available for PD. The catheter can be placed laparoscopically or by open surgical technique. A double cuff catheter with an arcuate subcutaneous tunnel and a caudad-oriented exit is recommended. PD is ideal for patients with an active lifestyle. There are few contraindications of PD (Table 1).

Peritoneal Dialysis Fluids

Conventional PD fluids consist of a physiologic solution of electrolytes, a bicarbonate precursor (usually lactate) and glucose in various concentrations (1.5%, 2.5%, 4.25%) as an osmotic agent (Table 2). Glucose is widely accepted as an osmotic agent for PD because it is inexpensive and is considered relatively safe (at least until recently). One disadvantage is its small size. Consequently, it is rapidly absorbed into blood with progressive loss of the osmotic gradient and long-term metabolic consequences.

Peritoneal Dialysis Schedules

PD can be done manually or can be done with automated devices (Figure 3). It can be continuous (fluid in the abdominal cavity 24 hours a day) or intermittent (when abdominal cavity is dry for a part of the day). The intermittent schedules are used in patients with considerable residual renal functions. The various schedules for peritoneal dialysis are as follows:

- Manual
  —Continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD)
- Automated peritoneal dialysis (APD)
  —Continuous cycler-assisted peritoneal dialysis (CCPD)
  —Nocturnal intermittent peritoneal dialysis (NIPD)
  —CAPD with a single nocturnal exchange using a nocturnal assist devise
This requires only connecting tubes and bags of solutions (2 to 3 L) using gravity to fill and empty the peritoneal cavity. The most commonly used method employs 4 exchanges per day of 2-L bags, but in some patients, especially those who are anuric and have a high body mass index (BMI), 5 exchanges and/or larger sized bags (2.5 or 3 L) are necessary to increase clearance. A few patients (low BMI, excellent residual renal functions) may require only 3 exchanges per day, but this is inadequate in the majority of patients with ESRD. The night dwell in CAPD is long (8 to 10 hours). Sometimes, enhancement of solute removal with CAPD can be accomplished by performing additional 1 to 2 nocturnal exchanges using nighttime assist device such as Quantum device (Baxter) or a mini-cycler (Fresenius). Patients monitor their daily weights and adjust the glucose concentration of the dialysate based on volume status. Typically, higher glu-
cose solutions (2.5% and 4.25%) are used in volume-overloaded states to promote greater ultrafiltration.

**CCPD**

In this technique, the patient loads the bags of solutions on to a cycler and connects the catheter to the cycler at bedtime. The cycler is programmed to do 3 to 5 (or more) exchanges during the night. In the morning, 2 to 2.5 L of fluid is left in the abdomen for the long daytime dwell (14 to 16 hours). Occasionally, an additional exchange is done during the day to improve clearance or ultrafiltration. CCPD is becoming increasingly popular in the United States.

**NIPD**

NIPD is a cycler-assisted nightly procedure as described above except that the peritoneal cavity is left empty during the day. It is usually offered to patients with excellent residual renal function.

**Physiology of Peritoneal Dialysis**

In PD, the solute and water transport across the peritoneum occurs by diffusion and convection (ultrafiltration) through a system of pores of variable diameters (Figure 4). The peritoneal permeability to small solutes is investigated by peritoneal equilibration test (PET), and the peritoneum is classified into 4 transport categories: low, low average, high average, and high. PET results are used to design PD regimen for individual patients. Ultrafiltration is achieved by using hypertonic glucose to create crystalloid osmotic pressure gradient between dialysate and blood. Approximately 60% of the instilled glucose is absorbed into blood during a 4-hour dwell.

**Pros and Cons of Peritoneal Dialysis**

PD has several advantages as well as limitations (Table 3). PD is less expensive than HD (Figure 5). Unlike saw-tooth treatment with HD, PD delivers steady-state treatment avoiding wide fluctuation of plasma volume and solutes and is generally better tolerated by the patients with cardiovascular compromise. In addition, PD provides flexible schedules (unlike fixed HD shifts), thus bestowing opportunities to work, travel, and par-
Participate in daytime activities for patients. PD being needleless, alleviates the anxiety experienced by HD patients from needle sticks, helps to preserve arteriovenous access sites for future HD, and minimizes risks of acquiring blood-borne infections like hepatitis C. In addition, PD facilitates preservation of residual renal function (RRF) better than HD. PD may also contribute to superior allograft function in the postoperative period following a kidney transplant.

Despite aforesaid benefits, there are several drawbacks of PD (Table 3). PD, being a continuous therapy with no “off” days, may be inconvenient and can cause fatigue and burnout of patients and families. Some patients may have concern with body image resulting from the presence of a catheter and fluid in the abdomen. Moreover there are

Table 3. Pros and Cons of Peritoneal Dialysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower cost than hemodialysis (HD)</td>
<td>Continuous therapy. No days off. Leads to patient and family burnout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients more satisfied with overall care compared with HD</td>
<td>Body image concerns because of presence of catheter and fluid in the abdomen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steady-state treatment. Better tolerated hemodynamically</td>
<td>High technique failure rate compared with HD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible schedules</td>
<td>Space needed for monthly supplies of dialysis equipment/solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needleless</td>
<td>Inability to lift &gt;25 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation of vascular sites for future hemodialysis</td>
<td>Non-compliance with dialysis can lead to complications such as infections, uremia, and technique failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower risk of blood-borne infections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alleviates anxiety from needle sticks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better preservation of residual renal function</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fewer diet and fluid restrictions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Three-pore model of the peritoneal membrane. Although various models of peritoneal membrane have been proposed, the 3-pore model is most widely accepted to explain solute and water transport across the peritoneum. It assumes the capillary endothelium to be the major barrier to solute and water transport, which ensues through a system of pores that are classified into 3 broad categories, ultrasmall, small, and large pores. The abundant small pores (40 to 60 Å radii) are the tortuous intercellular clefts between the endothelial cells. They are responsible for small solute transport. The ultrasmall pores (radius 3 to 5 Å), also present in large number, are probably the transendothelial aquaporin-1. Solute free water transport occurs across them. In addition, a few large pores (200 to 300 Å radii) are present. The nature of the large pores is not well known. Macromolecules like albumin are transported across them.

Figure 5. Cost savings on peritoneal dialysis (PD). Medicare savings on PD per patient per year have progressively increased over the years.
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various complications associated with PD (Table 4). They are described briefly in the following section.

### Complications Associated with Peritoneal Dialysis

Infections are the most important group of complications and include exit site and tunnel infections, and peritonitis. As connection between the dialysate bag and the PD catheter is broken 2 to 5 times a day, even the most meticulous aseptic technique cannot provide absolute sterility. Infections may also result from endogenous bowel sources. Thus peritonitis remains the most important complication and a leading cause of hospitalization and technique failure.\(^{16}\) Despite significant improvement in the incidence, peritonitis rates of \(\leq 0.5\) episodes per patient per year are still common.\(^{16}\) Peritonitis presents with abdominal pain, fever, and cloudy dialysate containing more than 100 white cells/mm\(^3\) with greater than 50% polymorphonuclear leukocytes.\(^{17}\) Most cases of peritonitis can be treated on an out-patient basis by intraperitoneal antibiotics.\(^{17}\) Antibiotic prophylaxis before dental, endoscopic, and gynecological procedures, and drainage of the abdomen before abdominal and pelvic procedures is recommended to reduce risk of peritonitis (Table 5).\(^{17,18}\)

Increased intra-abdominal pressure can predispose to hernias, hydrocele, genital edema, and rarely hydrothorax from pleuro-peritoneal communication.\(^{19}\) In addition, mechanical complications

### Table 4. Complications of Peritoneal Dialysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peritonitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunnel infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit site infections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Associated with increased intra-abdominal pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hernia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal wall edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrotal/vulvar edema/hydrocele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrothorax</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mechanical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catheter leakage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catheter tip migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate drainage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Metabolic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hyperglycemia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypokalemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypomagnesemia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Miscellaneous

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophilic peritonitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Prophylactic Antibiotics in Patients on Peritoneal Dialysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Adult Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prophylactic regimen for dental, oral, or upper respiratory procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard regimen</td>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>2 g orally (po, per os) 1 hour before procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin allergy</td>
<td>Clindamycin, Cephalexin, Cefadroxil, Azithromycin, or Clarithromycin</td>
<td>600 mg po 1 hour before procedure; 2 g po 1 hour before procedure; 500 mg po 1 hour before procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients unable to take oral medication</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>2 g IV/IM 30 minutes before procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin allergy and cannot take oral medications</td>
<td>Clindamycin or Cefazolin</td>
<td>600 mg IV 30 minutes before procedure; 1 g IV/IM 30 minutes before procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prophylactic regimen for genitourinary/gastrointestinal (excluding esophageal) procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard regimen</td>
<td>Ampicillin and Gentamycin plus Amoxicillin or Ampicillin</td>
<td>2 g IM/IV 30 minutes before procedure; 1.5 mg/kg (maximum 120 mg) IM/IV 30 minutes before procedure; 1 g po 6 hours after the initial dose; 1 g IM/IV 6 hours after the initial dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients allergic to penicillins</td>
<td>Vancomycin Plus gentamycin</td>
<td>1 g IV over 1 to 2 hours completing within 30 minutes of the starting procedure; 1.5 mg/kg (maximum 120 mg) IM/IV 30 minutes before procedure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV, intravenously; IM, intramuscularly.
of catheters such as malfunction, migration, or kinks can also occur.  

Other complications include dialysate protein loss and metabolic complications from glucose absorption such as hyperglycemia, hypertriglyceridemia, or weight gain. Insulin can be added to dialysate for tighter glycemic control.  

Importance of Residual Renal Function in Peritoneal Dialysis

The RRF progressively declines in virtually all patients, both before and after initiation of dialysis. However, RRF declines faster in HD than in PD. It is widely recognized that RRF is directly related to dialysis adequacy, conserved endocrine function, enhanced middle molecule clearance, better volume and blood-pressure control and superior cardiovascular and survival outcomes. Thus preservation of RRF is an important goal in the management of PD patients. Every effort should be made to avoid nephrotoxic drugs like aminoglycosides and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and limit the use of radiocontrast agents in PD patients with RRF. Use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (or angiotensin receptor blockers) may help to preserve RRF.  

The Status for Peritoneal Dialysis in the United States

Contemporary data suggest that overall mortality is at least similar in the HD and the PD population. Whereas some studies show that PD may offer survival benefit over HD in the first few years of the treatment, others demonstrate no survival advantage or higher mortality risk in selected PD patients. There may be a higher risk of mortality in PD patients with coronary artery disease and congestive heart failure particularly among elderly diabetic patients with comorbidities. However, PD patients regard their care much better than HD patients. A recent study showed that PD patients were more satisfied with their care than HD patients and rated their care higher in all the 23 items investigated.  

Despite the aforesaid benefits, utilization of PD in the United States has progressively diminished. Only 8.8% of total US dialysis patients were receiving PD in 2001 (Figure 6). In contrast, PD is used much more frequently elsewhere in the world (Figure 6). The reasons for lower PD utilization in the United States are influenced by psychosocial and economic factors, physician bias and education as well as inadequate pre-ESRD patient education. First, many US training programs either do not have an appropriate number of PD patients or allocate sufficient time for PD training to the fellows. A practicing nephrologist with inadequate PD training will be reluctant to offer this therapy to the patients. Another contributing factor is late patient referral to the nephrologists. KDOQI recommends primary care physicians to refer the patients to nephrologists when they approach stage 4 CKD (eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m²). At present, late referral to a nephrologist is quite common and has a negative impact on patient outcome. In addition, it results in poor PD enrollment. Patients referred earlier and seen more frequently by a nephrologist have a chance to receive pre-ESRD counseling and actively participate in the decision making. The importance of patient education is further underscored by preliminary results of the National Pre-ESRD Education Initiative. When given pre-ESRD education, more than a third of the CKD patients started on PD. Thus timely referral to nephrologist is extremely important to the provision of appropriate pre-ESRD care, increased PD utilization and improvement of overall ESRD outcomes in the United States.

Current Problems with Peritoneal Dialysis

PD may prove superior to HD in selected patients. Improved survival is, however, limited to the first 2 to 4 years, and the majority of patients shift to HD because of technique failure. The PD technique survival is 30% to 50% at 5 years and is less than 20% at 10 years. Ongoing structural and functional changes of the peritoneal membrane are leading cause of long-term PD failure.

Structural and Functional Changes in the Peritoneum during Peritoneal Dialysis

Contemporary dialysis solutions are hyperosmolar and acidic (pH 5.2) and have high glucose and lactate content. In addition, they contain highly toxic glucose degradation products that are formed during heat sterilization and storage of the dialysate. Long-term exposure of peritoneum to current unphysiologic fluids leads to morphologic changes characterized by mesothelial cell loss, interstitial fibrosis, vasculopathy (similar to diabetic vascu-
lopathy, even in nondiabetic patients) and neovascularization. Consequently there is an increase in the peritoneal small solute transport and glucose with progressive loss of ultrafiltration. This may result in chronic volume overload state, poor solute clearance, rapid glucose absorption, and sizeable protein loss, with a significant impact on both technique and patient survival.

New Solutions for Peritoneal Dialysis
Three new PD solutions have been recently introduced for clinical use in Europe. They include icodextrin, amino acid solutions, and bicarbonate-buffered solutions. They offer significant improvement in the acute and chronic effects of the conventional glucose-based PD solutions.

Of these new solutions, icodextrin, a large glucose polymer, was recently approved by the FDA for use in high and high-average transport patients (Table 2). It produces prolonged ultrafiltration at dwell periods of up to 12 hours. Although icodextrin has excellent safety profile, it is associated with several distinctive metabolic effects that may concern primary care physicians.

Icodextrin usage can falsely elevate serum glucose readings when using glucose dehydrogenase pyrroloquinoline quinone (GDH-PQQ)–based assays. It is recommended that clinicians should refer to the product labeling and avoid GDH-PQQ–based glucose monitoring systems in diabetic PD patients on icodextrin.

Icodextrin may cause a decline in serum amylase level because of interference by icodextrin metabolites on amylase assay. It is therefore recommended not to rely on serum amylase alone in diagnosing pancreatitis in patients on icodextrin.

Icodextrin can reversibly increase serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) due to competitive inhibition of hepatic clearance. Elevated ALP is not associated with any adverse events or abnormality in

Figure 6. Utilization of peritoneal dialysis (PD) in various countries. Whereas PD is being used less and less in the United States with only 8.8% of dialysis patients receiving PD in 2000 (A), a much larger proportion of dialysis patients receive PD in most developed countries across the globe (B).
other liver function tests. ALP values rapidly normalize on discontinuation of icodextrin.

**Future Prospects**

No single PD solution conforms requirements of an ideal dialysate: effective ultrafiltration, long-term preservation of peritoneal membrane, and correction of nutritional and metabolic abnormalities. However, using the new PD solutions in combination may help to achieve these goals. Moreover, modulation of the molecular pathways involved in peritoneal fibrosis and angiogenesis offers exciting therapeutic strategies to protect the peritoneum against consequences of long-term PD. It remains to be seen if these maneuvers would prove clinically beneficial.
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