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Objective: The objective of this study was to evaluate a handheld computer smoking cessation intervention tool designed to assist physicians in their smoking cessation counseling with patients.

Methods: This study used a pre/post survey design, with a 4-month trial period for the software. Study participants included 22 faculty and resident physicians from the University of Virginia. Paired samples t tests were used to assess mean differences in the 4 main subscales (physician behavior, attitudes, comfort related to counseling patients about smoking cessation, and knowledge).

Results: No statistically significant mean differences were found for physician behavior (mean increase = 0.44, \(P = .55\)) or physician attitude (mean increase = 0.44, \(P = .16\)). A statistically significant mean increase of 2.29 was observed for the physician comfort subscale (\(t = 3.87, df = 16, P = .001\)). Physicians indicated improved comfort in counseling patients about smoking cessation (\(P = .007\)) and improved comfort in using the Public Health Service Clinical Practice Guidelines (\(P = .012\)).

Conclusion: Physician comfort level in counseling patients about smoking cessation can be improved through handheld computer software. When used in conjunction with other practice modifications, this tool has the potential to improve physician smoking cessation intervention practices. (J Am Board Fam Med 2006;19:350 –7.)

Background

It is well established that smoking is the leading cause of preventable disease and death in the United States.\(^1\) In addition, health care professionals have the opportunity to intervene with patients who smoke, as at least 70% of smokers visit a physician annually,\(^2\) and even brief smoking cessation counseling (less than 3 minutes) by physicians is effective.\(^3,^4\) Despite this opportunity for a positive health intervention, tobacco cessation counseling only occurs at 23% to 46% of primary care office visits,\(^5,^6\) and physician smoking cessation counseling and treatment continues to fall short of national health promotion objectives.$^5,^7$

A variety of barriers are probably contributors to limiting physician smoking intervention practices. These barriers include time constraints of appointments, lack of physician confidence in counseling smokers, and lack of physician knowledge regarding smoking cessation guidelines.$^8–^12$

In addition, many physicians are unaware of the national Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence Guidelines published by the Public Health Service,$^13$ and they may not be aware of different available pharmacotherapies (especially newer ones and second-line treatments), dosing for smoking cessation, or available valid and reliable instruments, such as the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND), which can be used to improve smoking cessation interventions.$^{14–^16}$ By making relevant and valid medical information readily available to physicians at the point of care, physician knowledge and comfort in applying the guidelines may improve. This, in turn, may lead to improved smoking cessation counseling behavior.
(through increased self-efficacy) and ultimately, improved patient outcomes.

One means of providing medical information to physicians is to incorporate it into a guided information system that is portable, convenient, allows rapid access, and is intuitive for the user. Handheld computers provide an ideal platform for this kind of information system because they enable rapid access to large amounts of information in a portable format. Handheld computers are used widely by physicians at the point of care to look up reference information (eg, guidelines and drug dosing), clinical calculations, tracking procedures performed and billing. Although handheld sales among the general population have tapered recently, it is estimated that one in 2 physicians use a handheld computer and they are 5 times more likely to carry them than average citizens.

There is emerging evidence that handheld computers may be an effective modality in improving patient outcomes. A recent study completed in a critical care unit demonstrated that handheld computer-based decision support reduced lengths of stay for patients and also reduced antibiotic prescribing. Office-based studies with handheld computers have shown increased adherence to asthma guidelines, potential reduction in adverse drug effects, improved antibiotic prescribing for otitis media in children, and reduced inappropriate use of antibiotics for upper respiratory infections. In addition, a recent Robert Wood Johnson Foundation initiative, the Prescription for Health Project, was undertaken to improve patient care through innovative approaches and technologies. Several of the projects reported promising pilot results in addressing tobacco use, unhealthy diets, sedentary lifestyles, and alcohol misuse using handheld computers.

The objective of this study was to evaluate a handheld computer smoking cessation intervention tool designed to assist physicians in their smoking cessation counseling with patients. The tool provides easy access to a variety of relevant and valid smoking cessation information and can be used by physicians at the point of care.

Methods

Description of the Handheld Computer Smoking Intervention Tool (HCSIT)

The HCSIT was designed to assist clinicians with smoking cessation counseling of patients at the point of care and included the Public Health Service Guidelines on Smoking Cessation (including various options for smoking cessation interventions and smoking cessation counseling tips), smoking cessation drug prescribing information (including prescription information for the Commit lozenge and second-line pharmacotherapy), and the FTND questionnaire that scored automatically and recommended pharmacotherapy for highly dependent smokers. A sample of the main page screen shot can be seen in Figure 1. The design of the tool enabled users to skip to the elements that would be most useful to them during the patient encounter.

Sample screen shots pertaining to the FTND can be found in Figures 2 and 3. Users were guided through the FTND using a series of screen shots that addressed each of 6 questions. Once the questions were completed, the tool tabulated the responses and generated specific intervention recommendations based on the level of dependency (Figure 3). When medication therapy was strongly indicated, common prescription information was provided to assist the physician with dispensing the appropriate medication (Figure 4). In addition, the software contained embedded log files to track frequency of use and content accessed via time and date stamps.

This software was developed in partnership between the University of Virginia Department of Family Medicine and the National Cancer Institute, in accordance with the Public Health Service guidelines. The program can be used with both Palm OS and Microsoft Pocket PC handheld computers and can be downloaded at (http://www.smokefree.gov/hp-hcsit.html).

Study Design

This study used a pre/post survey design. The survey was developed by the authors and was adapted from 2 surveys that were developed at other academic institutions to assess smoking cessation counseling by health care workers (see Table 1). Face and content validity of the survey were assessed with a pilot sample of 40 physicians from a separate university in Missouri. The pre/post survey included participant demographic information, including computer literacy, age, gender, and professional status (eg, resident, faculty) and 4 subscales that measured self-reported physician behavior, attitudes, comfort related to counseling patients about smoking cessation, and knowledge...
of the Public Health Service guidelines. The post-
survey also included a 4-item software usability
questionnaire. Additional data on usability were
obtained by conducting a group semistructured in-
terview after completion of the postsurveys. During
this session, participants were asked if there was any
additional software content they would like to see
added and they were asked if they had any difficul-
ties with the software or hardware.

Study participants included 23 faculty and resi-
dent physicians from the Department of Family
Medicine at the University of Virginia (UVA)
Health System. These participants were selected
from an initial pool of 33 faculty and resident phy-
sicians within the UVA Department of Family
Medicine through a handheld usage survey. Inclu-
sion criteria for clinicians to participate in the study
were self-reports of using their handheld comput-
ers at least several times per week for at least one of

Scores of more than 6 generally
are interpreted as indicating a high
degree of dependence, with more
severe withdrawal symptoms,
greater difficulty in quitting, and
possibly the need for higher dose
of medication. As always, use good
clinical judgement in your decision
making, and do not prescribe any

Figure 1. HCSIT Main Screen (A); HCSIT Main Screen
(scroll down) (B)

Figure 2. Sample Fagerström Nicotine Dependence
Question

Figure 3. Fagerström Dependency
the following functions: documentation of procedures performed, checking medication dosage, checking medication interactions, checking medical references (eg, 5 Minute Clinical Consult, Merck Manual, others), medical calculations (eg, ABG), patient billing, scheduling/appointments (personal), scheduling/appointments (patients), memo pad, to-do list, addresses/phone numbers, ob calculations/tracking, recording inpatient/outpatient data, or any “other” functions that were self-reported.

The study was conducted with patients in the Department of Family Medicine’s Primary Care Center and 2 affiliated rural clinics. The center is staffed by 11 faculty physicians and is the main practice site for residents. Annual patient volume is approximately 25,800 and includes patients of all ages, with a diverse ethnic makeup that includes 51% minorities. Payor mix includes 44% Medicare and Medicaid. One affiliate practice, Stoney Creek, is located in a Health Professions Shortage Area and has a patient volume of approximately 13,400 patients per year including patients of all ages, with minorities comprising 15%. Payor mix includes 32% Medicare and Medicaid at this site. The second affiliate practice, Crossroads Family Practice, has a patient volume of approximately 5600 patients per year including patients of all ages with minorities comprising 27%. The payor mix at this site includes 33% Medicare and Medicaid.

The 23 eligible participants were administered a presurvey. After a 30-minute education session about how to use the software, the HCSIT was provided to participants for a 4-month trial period, after which the postsurvey was administered. The education session included information on how to use the handheld program and highlighted the various topics and information available. In addition, physicians were asked to use the program in their regular clinics to assist with smoking cessation counseling.

Paired samples $t$ tests were used to assess mean differences in the 4 main subscales (physician behavior, attitudes, comfort related to counseling patients about smoking cessation, and knowledge) from pretest to posttest. An $\alpha$ level of 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance for each of the $t$ tests and a Bonferroni correction procedure was applied to account for the inflation in type I error due to multiple significance tests. All analyses were run using SPSS for Windows version 11.

 Results
A total of 22 of 23 participants completed the pre/post survey, for a response rate of 96%. Of the pre/post responders, 15 were faculty and 8 were residents. The one nonresponse was due to the person moving from the area. Participants’ ages ranged from 27 to 54. Seventy-four percent were male, and 65% reported their handheld computer literacy as intermediate on a 3-point scale from novice to expert. Reliability of the HCSIT survey subscales were the following: physician behavior $= 0.74$; physician attitude $= 0.52$; physician comfort $= 0.71$; usability of software $= 0.85$; knowledge $= 0.23$. Cronbach’s $\alpha$ was used for the first 4 subscales. The KR-20 was used for the knowledge subscale because the items were dichotomous. Of note is the fact that the reliability estimate for the knowledge subscale was negative. The occurrence of a negative reliability coefficient raises the possibility that sampling error was responsible for a negative average covariance among the scale items for this sample of cases. The limited number of items comprising the subscale and the small sample size also lend support for these findings.

No statistically significant mean differences were found for physician behavior (mean increase $= 0.44$, $P = .55$), physician attitude (mean increase $= 0.44$, $P = .16$), or physician knowledge
Table 1. Pre/post Survey Measuring Self-reported Physician Behavior, Attitudes, Comfort, and Knowledge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. What percentage of your patients smoke? (Please check one)</td>
<td>□ &lt;10%          □ 10%           □ 20%           □ 30%           □ 40%           □ 50%           □ 60%           □ 70%           □ 80%           □ 90%           □ &gt;90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. How often do you:</td>
<td>a. Ask patients if they smoke?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Advise smokers to quit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Assess smokers’ willingness to quit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Assist smokers in their attempt to quit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Arrange follow-up with patients who want to quit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Have sufficient time available with smoking patients to counsel them on initiating and sustaining a smoking cessation plan?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Provide information on quitting such as pamphlets, videos, or online resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Recommend pharmacotherapy for your patients who want to quit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. If you recommend pharmacotherapy, what do you recommend? (Check all that apply)</td>
<td>□ Nicotine Patch       □ Patch            □ Inhaler      □ Nasal spray □ Bupropion (Wellbutrin/Zyban)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I have a specific intervention plan(s) I use with patients who want to quit smoking.</td>
<td>□ Yes       □ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Are you familiar with the Public Health Service Clinical Practice Guidelines on smoking cessation?</td>
<td>□ Yes       □ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. The importance I place on identifying patients who smoke is</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very unimportant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The importance I place on counseling patients who smoke is</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very unimportant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. How comfortable are you counseling patients about smoking cessation?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. How comfortable are you with the Public Health Service Clinical Practice Guidelines?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. What is your comfort level with the pharmacological therapies available to aid smokers with quitting smoking?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. How comfortable are you following patients who have initiated a smoking cessation plan to help them sustain their plan?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Table continues)
Table 1. Continued

14. My comfort level counseling patients about smoking cessation was enhanced by using the HCSIT.
   1 2 3 4 5 6 7
   Strongly disagree Strongly agree
15. My comfort level with pharmacological therapies available to aid smokers with quitting smoking was enhanced by using the HCSIT.
   1 2 3 4 5 6 7
   Strongly disagree Strongly agree
16. My comfort level with the Public Health Service Clinical Practice Guidelines was enhanced by using the HCSIT.
   1 2 3 4 5 6 7
   Strongly disagree Strongly agree

The following questions apply to the HCSIT:
17. I found the HCSIT tool easy to use.
   1 2 3 4 5 6 7
   Strongly disagree Strongly agree
18. I liked the way the HCSIT tool was organized on the hand held computer.
   1 2 3 4 5 6 7
   Strongly disagree Strongly agree
19. I found the HCSIT tool easy to understand.
   1 2 3 4 5 6 7
   Strongly disagree Strongly agree
20. The HCSIT tool was fast enough to use/access in the clinical encounter.
   1 2 3 4 5 6 7
   Strongly disagree Strongly agree

HSCIT, hand-held smoking cessation intervention tool.

(mean increase = 0.07, $P = .584$) from pretest to posttest. However, a statistically significant mean increase of 2.29 was observed for the physician comfort subscale from pretest to posttest ($t = 3.87, df = 16, P = .001$). The comfort subscale was composed of 4 items as shown in Table 2. Physicians indicated improved comfort in counseling patients about smoking cessation ($P = .007$) and improved comfort in using the Public Health Service Clinical Practice Guidelines ($P = .012$).

Twenty of the 22 respondents (91%) reported using the software. Actual user data were obtained for 14 of 22 physicians. Other user data were not available because of hardware and software failures. The program was used 150 times by these 14 providers, representing an average of 10.7 uses per physician. The most commonly used sections of the program were the smoking dependency assessment (14 uses), Commit prescribing information (12 uses), motivational smoking interventions (11 uses), and the treatment guidelines overview (11 uses).

Physicians found the HCSIT tool easy to use (mean = 4.85), well organized (mean = 4.95), easy to understand (mean = 5.25), and fast enough for use in a clinical encounter (mean = 4.45). These questions were scored using a 7-point numeric scale (1 = strongly disagree; 7 = strongly agree). From the semistructured interview, additional content that was requested included: pregnancy and lactation information for smoking cessation pharmacotherapy; identification of the various drugs (eg, patch, inhaler, etc); and more scripting of the

Table 2. Comfort Subscale*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Mean Pretest Score</th>
<th>Mean Posttest Score</th>
<th>$P$ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counseling patients</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>$P = .007$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health Service guidelines</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>$P = .012$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cessation drugs</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>$P = .005$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Following patients who quit</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>$P = .119$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Comfort was assessed using a 7-point Likert scale with 1 representing ‘very uncomfortable’ and 7 representing ‘very comfortable.’
interventions was suggested. There were no re-
ported hardware or software issues related to the
HCSIT program.

Discussion
Excellent evidence-based guidelines for smoking
cessation counseling by physicians have been avail-
able for nearly 2 decades. The most recent guide-
lines were published in the year 2000 and included
a systematic review of nearly 6000 articles.13 Yet,
despite this availability of clinical evidence, agen-
cies such as the National Cancer Institute (NCI)
and the Agency for Health Research and Quality
(AHRQ) have struggled with translating guidelines
into clinical care. In the 1980s, the NCI spent
nearly $10 million on distributing smoking cessa-
tion guidelines with little effect on physician prac-
tices.25 Similar approaches by AHRQ have met
with limited success. This is consistent with other
published studies that examine the lag time be-
tween the availability of clinical evidence and its
actual utilization in practice, with estimates ranging
from a minimum of 1 to 2 years to 13 years.26,27
The approach described in this study begins to
address this difficult issue of translating smoking
cessation guidelines into clinical practice by pro-
viding the relevant information to physicians at the
point of care in a format that is portable, easily
accessible, and convenient.

Our approach is the first known study that mea-
sures changes in physician behavior, attitude, com-
fort level toward smoking cessation counseling, and
knowledge as a result of using a handheld computer
software program at the point of care. Results indi-
cated that physician comfort level in counseling
patients about smoking cessation could indeed be
improved through the utilization of specialized
computer software, even among experienced clini-
cians. When used in conjunction with other widely
available practice modifications, this tool has the
potential to improve physician smoking intervention
practices. Complementary practice modifications
could include: using smoking as a vital sign in
the patient’s clinical record; Internet-based, pa-

tient-tailored self-help materials such as the Amer-
ican Academy of Family Physician’s patient smok-
ing cessation guides (www.aafp.org) or the NCI’s
“Clearing the Air: Quit Smoking Today” (www.
smokefree.gov); and toll-free quit lines (such as the
NCI’s 1-800-Quitnow). The methodology of de-
ivering medical information through handheld
computer technology also holds promise because of
the widespread use of handheld computers by phy-
sicians; because up to 50% of physicians currently
use handheld computers.18

There are some limitations to this study. The
reliance on a pre/post design cannot account for
other practice changes and possible confounding
variables. In addition, this design was dependent on
physician self-report, thereby making it susceptible
to over-reporting because of social desirability and
recall bias. Finally, the sample size is small and
limited to family physicians that have high self-
reported handheld computer usage and moderate
to high computer skills, thereby limiting general-
izability. This group was purposefully chosen to
obtain usability data and to further refine the soft-
ware program for future interventional studies
among medical students and with less experienced
clinicians. The small sample size also limits our
ability to determine whether the tool had an effect
on actual physician behavior, thus raising the pos-
sibility of a type II error. However, we were en-
couraged that there was a trend toward increasing
the desired behaviors and improving the attitudes
among these physicians toward smoking cessation
counseling.

Conclusion
The use of guidelines and other medical informa-
tion adapted for handheld computers holds prom-
ise in affecting physician behavior at the point of
care. This study demonstrated improved physician
comfort levels in providing smoking cessation
counseling as a result of using a smoking interven-
tion tool designed specifically for handheld com-
puters. We would recommend further evaluation of
this software in larger samples, including other
primary care specialties (eg, general internal med-
icine) and other health care providers to determine
generalizability, along with measuring patient out-
comes. In addition, more research is needed to
determine what combination and type of continu-
ing medical education, resources, and tools will be
the most helpful in improving physician smoking
intervention practices.
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