Psychological Distress, Substance Use, and Adjustment among Parents Living with HIV
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**Background:** Being a parent, especially a custodial parent, living with HIV was anticipated to increase psychological distress and challenges to self-care.

**Methods:** Mental health symptoms, substance use, and health care utilization were assessed among 3818 HIV-infected adults, including custodial parents, noncustodial parents, and nonparents, in 4 AIDS epicenters.

**Results:** Custodial parents demonstrated significantly poorer medication adherence and attendance at medical appointments but were similar to nonparents and noncustodial parents in mental health symptoms and treatment utilization for mental health and substance use problems. Noncustodial parents demonstrated the highest levels of recent substance use and substance abuse treatment. Other markers of risk, such as African American ethnicity, lack of current employment income, and injection drug use moderated many of the apparent psychosocial disadvantages exhibited by parents.

**Conclusions:** Interventions specific to the psychosocial stressors facing families living with HIV are needed. (J Am Board Fam Pract 2005;18:362–73.)

Parents comprise ~20% of HIV-positive (HIV+) persons in the United States and are increasing in numbers as advances in medical care allow HIV+ women and men to live longer, healthier lives, and undertake pregnancies with low risk of vertical HIV transmission to their offspring.

Psychological distress and substance abuse are common among HIV+ adults. Over a third of HIV+ adults in medical care screen positive for a psychiatric disorder. Symptoms of depression and anxiety have been reported in several studies of HIV+ persons. Similarly, 12% of adults living with HIV were dependent on illicit drugs during the preceding year. However, limited data are available about how parental roles are associated with mental health among HIV+ parents.

**Role Theory, Mental Health, and Adjustment in HIV+ Parents**

Role theory concerns behaviors that characterize persons within contexts and with the processes that may produce, explain, or be affected by those behaviors. The role of “parent” carries extensive expectations for behavior: providing for families’ basic survival needs such as housing, food, and health care; psychologically nurturing and disciplining children; and educating children to become productive, contributing citizens. However,
within this general framework, there are very different expectations for custodial versus noncustodial parents, and mothers versus fathers, some reflecting externally imposed norms and others reflecting parents’ own beliefs about parenting.11–13

**Role Negotiation, Role Overload, and Role Conflict**

Rather than merely signifying understanding and compliance on the part of the person holding a role (the “focal person”), role development is characterized by interactive negotiation toward mutually satisfactory role definition between the focal person and others whose expectations define and shape the role, known as the “role set.”14–17 However, for HIV+ parents, many of whom are ethnic minority women heading households of low socioeconomic status, options for satisfactory role negotiation may be constrained by poverty, lack of social support, and multiple competing obligations.

More than many other parents,18,19 those with HIV, particularly custodial parents, may become overwhelmed by the simultaneous demands of multiple roles, including medical patient, breadwinner, and caregiver for HIV+ family members.20–27 HIV+ parents may thus experience role overload as divergent demands are superimposed on each other and cannot be easily accomplished given available time and resources.12 Parents with HIV, especially custodial mothers, may also be particularly vulnerable to role conflict; for example, their obligations to attend to their children, earn a living, and care for other family members, may render them unable to meet their own health needs.21,28–30

**Parental Role and Mental Health of HIV+ Parents**

Both rewards and stressors related to parenthood have been well documented. Parents may benefit from the bond with the child and the opportunity to nurture the child’s development,13,31 experiencing psychological growth in the process.12 However, child physical and behavioral problems, financial strains, and caregiving demands may leave little time for parental self-care.29,31–35 Among custodial, inner-city mothers with HIV, perceived parenting stress, more household members, and disclosure of HIV seropositivity to fewer family members predicted medication non-adherence and missed medical appointments.29 However, little is known about whether parenthood, particularly custodial parenting, is associated with differential risk for conditions like depression, anxiety, substance use and abuse, or, conversely, increased positive states of mind or coping self-efficacy, among HIV+ adults, especially since highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has become widely available.

Because mothers more often than fathers are custodial parents and primary caretakers of children,24,36–40 most studies of mental health in HIV+ parents have focused on mothers. However, fathers1,38 and noncustodial parents may also be actively involved in parenting. To our knowledge, no study has yet examined whether associations between parenthood and mental health vary by custodial role, gender, sexual orientation, or behavioral risk.

The present study examines mental health, substance use, coping self-efficacy, positive states of mind, and physical and mental health service utilization, among a large, diverse, HAART era sample of HIV+ custodial parents, noncustodial parents, and nonparents. Grounded in the concepts of role theory, we hypothesized the following:

1. Custodial parents would demonstrate the greatest distress including depression, anxiety, perceived stress, and anger burnout, as well as the lowest coping self-efficacy and mental health and substance abuse treatment utilization.
2. Custodial parents would demonstrate poorer medication adherence and attendance at scheduled medical appointments than noncustodial parents and nonparents.
3. Larger numbers of total and coresident minor offspring would be associated with greater distress and less service utilization.
4. Noncustodial parents would demonstrate distress, coping self-efficacy, and service utilization intermediate between those of custodial parents and those of nonparents.
5. Associations of parental status with distress and adjustment would be moderated by behavioral risk group and ethnicity, with women and ethnic minority group members scoring highest on distress and lowest on coping self-efficacy and treatment utilization.
6. Associations of parental status with distress and adjustment would also be moderated by current employment and welfare income, with parents reporting current employment income.
being less and those reporting current welfare income being more distressed.

Methods

Study Participants

A total of 3818 HIV+ adults in San Francisco, Los Angeles, New York City, and Milwaukee were screened for recruitment into a clinical trial of an individually administered cognitive-behavioral intervention to enhance coping skills, decrease sexual transmission risk behaviors, and improve antiretroviral medication adherence. Participants were classified by behavioral risk group using a hierarchy similar to the one established by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention41: women, injection drug users (IDU), men who have sex with men (MSM), and heterosexual men. If women were IDUs, they were classified as women; if MSM were IDU, they were classed as IDU. MSM were men who reported sexual contact with other males in the past 3 months, regardless of self-identification as gay or whether they also had female partners. IDU were men who reported injecting illicit substances in the past 12 months.

Recruitment and screening were undertaken in medical clinics, community agencies, and through advertisements in newspapers and magazines. Persons learning of the study by word of mouth were also eligible for screening. Interested persons who provided verbal consent were briefly screened to determine their self-reported HIV status as well as basic demographic and contact information. If they then wished to participate, they were scheduled for a baseline interview.

Participants were required to be at least 18 years old and provide written informed consent and medical documentation of their HIV+ serostatus. Potential participants were excluded if they showed severe neuropsychological impairment or psychosis as assessed on a case-by-case basis by senior project personnel in collaboration with the clinical supervisor at the involved institution.

Assessment Procedures

We report data from the baseline interview that determined eligibility for the trial. All procedures and forms were reviewed and approved by the sites’ Institutional Review Boards. Interviews were conducted in private settings at research offices, community-based organizations, and clinics in the 4 cities over periods of 2 to 4 hours with regular breaks to minimize respondent fatigue. Participants were compensated $50 for completing the baseline interview; those needing childcare could also receive $10 to defray childcare costs.

Procedures involved a combination of audio computer-assisted self-interviewing (ACASI) and computer-assisted personal interviewing using Questionnaire Development System version 2.0 by Nova Research Company. ACASI has been proposed as an effective method of decreasing social desirability and thereby enhancing veracity of self-report of sensitive behaviors and attitudes.42,43

Interviewers were centrally trained with the use of a detailed assessment manual, practice with the computer programs, participation in an intensive 3-day training program, and review and certification of audiotaped mock interviews based on standardized criteria. All interviews were audiotaped; quality assurance ratings indicated ≥90% adherence to assessment protocols.

Measures

Demographics

Demographic data included participant age, race/ethnicity, gender, relationship status, education, employment, income sources, and housing arrangements.

Parental Status

Total number of offspring, number residing with participants, and how many of those residing with participants were under the age of 18 were ascertained. Participants were classified as custodial parents (offspring under age 18 residing with respondents), noncustodial parents (offspring all over age 18 or not residing with respondents), or nonparents.

Health Status

Respondents were asked how long ago they learned of their HIV infection. In addition, they were asked whether they had experienced each of 25 symptoms in the preceding 30 days based on the AIDS Clinical Trials Group symptom checklist and to rate how much each symptom experienced bothered them. Further, participants were asked to report their most recent CD4 and viral load counts.
Health Care Utilization
Current utilization of antiretroviral therapy; antidepressant, antianxiety, and other psychiatric medications; and mental health and substance abuse treatment visits over the past 3 months were assessed using items adapted from the Health Outcomes Study. In addition, respondents were asked about missed appointments with care providers.

Medication adherence was assessed with a survey developed for use in AIDS clinical trials. The measure allowed respondents to indicate how many prescribed antiretroviral pills they had missed taking during each of the previous 3 days. Respondents were classified as adherent if they reported no missed doses, and non-adherent if they reported any, during the 3 days.

Mental Health, Psychosocial Adjustment, and Substance Use
Response variables for the present report consisted of: depression, anxiety, anger burnout, “frequent” substance use (defined below), perceived stress, and positive states of mind.

Depression was assessed using the 21-item Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), with score cutpoints for defining moderate (14 to 20) and severe (≥21), versus none or minimal (0 to 4) and mild (5 to 13) depression as recommended by Shaver and Brennan. This measure assesses the severity of depression during the past week.

Anxiety was assessed with the State Form of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). The State Form assesses feelings of anxiety at the time the subject completes the scale. This measure was modeled both as a continuous variable and as the median score for general medical patients of 42.

Anger burnout was assessed with a 16-item scale adapted from the Anger and Fatigue subscales of the Profile of Mood States. An overall burnout score was created by summing the ratings using a 5-point Likert-type response format and a dichotomous variable was created denoting scores ≥2.

Substance use frequency in the past 3 months was assessed for alcohol, cocaine/crack, sedatives, tranquilizers, stimulants, analgesics, inhalants, marijuana, hallucinogens, heroin, and other, participant-specified substances. Participants were asked to report which drugs they injected, their frequency of injection, and the ways they obtained injection equipment. Participants were classified as having “frequent” substance use if they reported consuming alcohol more than daily, any other drug 4 or more times weekly, or any IDU in the past 3 months.

Perceived stress was assessed with the 10-item form of the Perceived Stress Scale by summing ratings on a 5-point scale. The questions in the scale ascertain the frequency with which subjects have experienced stress-related thoughts and feelings during the past month.

The Positive States of Mind Scale assesses satisfying states a person may have experienced in the past week. This self-report 6-item measure assesses: focused attention, productivity, responsible care-taking, restful repose, sensuous nonssexual pleasure, and sharing. A general composition of positive states of mind was obtained by summing across each domain on a 4-point Likert-type scale.

Coping self-efficacy was assessed with an abbreviated 15-item version of the 26-item scale developed for a coping skills training study in collaboration with Dr. Albert Bandura of Stanford University. Participants rate on a scale from 0 to 10 the extent to which they believe they can perform behaviors important to adaptive coping.

Statistical Analyses
Bivariate associations of categorical response variables with parental status were analyzed using contingency table approaches and \( \chi^2 \) statistics; those between continuous response variables and parental status were analyzed using normal-theory analyses of variance and post hoc Scheffe comparisons. Among custodial parents, associations between number of coresident minor children and response variables were examined using nonparametric Spearman rank-order correlation coefficients for continuous and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests for categorical responses.

Multivariable regression models were fit to control for potentially confounding effects of respondent demographic and clinical characteristics on associations between parental status and response variables. Normal-theory regression was used for continuous responses, and binary logistic regression was used for dichotomous responses. Parental status was modeled using 2 indicator variables, one denoting custodial and one denoting noncustodial parents, with nonparents as the referent group. Other covariates were included based on
associations in bivariate analyses with parental status at \( P < .10 \) or subject matter considerations: (a) age; (b) behavioral risk group; (c) study site; (d) education; (e) primary relationship (none, noncohabiting, cohabiting); (f) employment income; (g) welfare income; (h) use of antiretroviral medications; and (i) distress because of HIV symptoms.

Odds ratios were considered statistically significant when the surrounding 95% CI excluded 1.00; normal-theory regression coefficients were considered statistically significant when the surrounding 95% CI excluded 0.00. Two-way interactions of parental status with behavioral risk group, ethnicity, employment income, welfare income, distress because of HIV symptoms, and age were tested for statistical significance, with an \( \alpha \)-to-stay of 0.05. All analyses were performed with SAS Statistical Software, version 8.2.57

Results

Sample Demographics

Demographic characteristics are shown by parental status in Table 1. Custodial parents comprised 10.5%, noncustodial parents 34.6%, and nonparents 54.9% of the sample. Women were over-represented among custodial parents (72.6%), whereas nonparents were predominantly MSM (69.7%). Custodial parents were significantly younger than nonparents and noncustodial parents; nonparents were significantly younger than noncustodial parents (data available on request). Respondents differed significantly by parental status on ascertainment site, with nonparents disproportionately ascertained in Los Angeles (36.9%) and San Francisco (29.4%), and noncustodial parents in New York (47.7%; \( P < .0001 \)). Differences by parental status on most other demographic characteristics parallel geographic differences in the epidemiology and demography of HIV.58

Custodial parents were most likely to be cohabiting with a primary partner, whereas nonparents and noncustodial parents modally reported no primary relationship. Custodial parents had a mean ± SD of 1.7 ± 1.0 coresident minor children (women: mean ± SD, 1.8 ± 1.0; MSM: mean ± SD, 1.1 ± 0.3; heterosexual men: mean ± SD, 1.5 ± 0.9; women had significantly more than MSM, \( P < .05 \), by Scheffe’s test).

HIV-Related Health Status and Medical Care Adherence

HIV-related health indices are shown in Table 2. Consistent with the more recent spread of the US epidemic among women and heterosexual men than among MSM, both groups of parents had learned their serostatus more recently than nonpar-

Table 1. Sociodemographic Characteristics of Adults Living with HIV by Parental Status (N = 3810)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total Sample (%)</th>
<th>Custodial Parents of Minor Children (n = 401) (%)</th>
<th>Noncustodial/Parents of Grown Children (n = 1319) (%)</th>
<th>Nonparents (n = 2090) (%)</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years, mean ± SD</td>
<td>41.5 ± 7.6</td>
<td>38.9 ± 6.2</td>
<td>43.4 ± 7.4</td>
<td>40.7 ± 7.7</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral risk group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSM</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDU</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual men</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently in a cohabiting primary relationship</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational attainment &lt; high school graduation</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently residing in own house or apartment</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current employment status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal job, paying income taxes</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal job, paid “under the table”</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illegal job</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receives public assistance</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Information on parental status is missing for 8 participants.
The groups did not differ significantly on HIV-related symptom counts (mean ± SD, custodial parents: 12.4 ± 5.9; noncustodial parents: 12.3 ± 5.9; nonparents: 12.6 ± 5.5). However, although differences were modest, both groups of parents reported greater distress than nonparents because of HIV symptoms.

Custodial parents were significantly less likely than noncustodial parents and nonparents to report that their last CD4 count was <200 or that their viral load was detectable. However, custodial parents were also significantly less likely to report 100% antiretroviral medication adherence over the past 3 days (adjusted odds ratio 0.60, 95% CI, 0.44, 0.82) and more likely to report missing medical appointments over the preceding 3 months.

Mental Health and Psychosocial Adjustment

Relationships between mental health and parental status are shown in Table 3. Although the difference was modest, custodial parents scored significantly lower than nonparents on positive states of mind. However, neither significant main effects of parental status nor significant interactions of parental status with other demographic or clinical variables were observed for anger burnout (32.8% of the total sample scoring >2), moderate/severe depression (39.9%), antidepressant (30.8%) or other psychiatric medication use (11.7%), mental health visits in the past 3 months (39.2%), or perceived stress (mean ± SD, 18.8 ± 7.0).

Interactions of Parental Status with Demographic Characteristics

Significant interactions of parental status with ethnicity, behavioral risk group, and income sources were observed on the BDI, STAI, substance use, substance abuse treatment, and coping self-efficacy.

On the BDI, custodial IDU fathers were significantly more depressed than nonparental MSM. Custodial mothers were less likely to utilize substance abuse services, whereas noncustodial MSM and IDU fathers were more likely.

African American and Hispanic custodial parents displayed significantly decreased odds of frequent substance use, whereas white noncustodial parents demonstrated significantly increased odds, compared with African American nonparents.

Coping self-efficacy was significantly lower among African American MSM and IDU fathers, particularly those with custody, than among African American MSM nonparents. It was also significantly lower among noncustodial MSM and IDU fathers of “other ethnicities,” but higher among both noncustodial and custodial Hispanic and noncustodial white mothers. In other behavioral risk and ethnic groups, the estimated regression coefficients (95% CI) were statistically nonsignificant, ranging from −1.47 (−3.10, 0.16) for custodial IDU fathers of other ethnicities to 0.47 (0.00, 0.93) for noncustodial Hispanic heterosexual men.

Anxiety was significantly higher among both groups of African American parents who did not, and among noncustodial African American parents who did, have employment income, than

---

**Table 2. Medical Status and Health Care Utilization among Adults Living with HIV by Parental Status (N = 3810)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total Sample</th>
<th>Custodial Parents of Minor Children (n = 401)</th>
<th>Noncustodial/Parents of Grown Children (n = 1319)</th>
<th>Nonparents (n = 2090)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Years since learned HIV serostatus, mean ± SD</td>
<td>8.4 ± 4.7</td>
<td>7.7 ± 4.1</td>
<td>8.1 ± 4.5</td>
<td>8.8 ± 4.8</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last self-reported CD4 count &lt;200</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>.0309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last viral load detectable (self-report)</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
<td>58.1%</td>
<td>61.0%</td>
<td>.0377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV-related symptom count, mean ± SD</td>
<td>12.4 ± 5.7</td>
<td>12.4 ± 5.9</td>
<td>12.3 ± 5.9</td>
<td>12.6 ± 5.5</td>
<td>.3145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distress due to HIV-related symptoms, mean ± SD†</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently taking antiretrovirals</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>.2378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherent to all medications, past 3 days</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
<td>65.8%</td>
<td>.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any missed medical appointments, past 3 months</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>.0418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Information on parental status is missing for 8 participants.
† Rated 1 (doesn’t bother at all) to 4 (bothers a great deal).
Table 3. Crude and Adjusted Associations of Mental Health and Adjustment Measures with Parental Status (N = 3810)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Custodial Parents of Minor Children (n = 401)</th>
<th>Noncustodial/Parents of Grown Children (n = 1319)</th>
<th>Nonparents (n = 2090)</th>
<th>Adjusted Odds Ratios or Regression Coefficients (95% CI)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Sample</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Custodial vs. nonparents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck Depression Inventory score, mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.9 ± 9.0</td>
<td>13.1 ± 9.3</td>
<td>13.0 ± 9.1</td>
<td>12.8 ± 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent† substance use (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ethnicities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance abuse treatment, past 3 months (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI score, mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>36.3 ± 11.0</td>
<td>37.2 ± 11.4</td>
<td>36.0 ± 10.7</td>
<td>36.3 ± 11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American, no current employment income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ethnicities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently taking anti-anxiety medication (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No current welfare income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current welfare income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive States of Mind Scale, mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.9 ± 3.5</td>
<td>12.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>13.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>12.9 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping self-efficacy, mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ethnicities, MSM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ethnicities, IDU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Information on parental status is missing for 8 participants.
† Defined as alcohol > daily, any other drug ≥ 4 times weekly, or any injection in the past 3 months.

among African American nonparents. For other subgroups defined by ethnicity and income, the estimated regression coefficients (95% CI) were statistically nonsignificant, ranging from −2.20 (−5.91, 1.50) for white custodial parents with, to 3.95 (−1.30, 9.21) for custodial parents of...
other ethnicities without, current employment income.

**Associations with Primary Relationship Status**

Primary relationship did not interact with parental status in association with mental health variables. However, being in a primary relationship was independently associated with lower BDI and STAI and higher Positive States of Mind Scale scores, particularly among respondents who cohabited with their primary partners. Primary, cohabiting relationships were also associated with lower utilization of antidepressants, other psychiatric medications, substance abuse treatment, and mental health visits, but higher odds of frequent substance use. Primary, noncohabiting relationships were associated with higher odds of substance abuse treatment and higher coping self-efficacy.

**Associations between Number of Offspring and Response Variables**

Not shown here but available on request, Spearman rank-order correlations between total number of offspring and continuous response variables did not differ from zero. In the sample as a whole, current antianxiety medication was associated with fewer (Wilcoxon rank sum $\chi^2 = 10.95, df = 1, P = .0009$), and substance abuse treatment with more offspring (Wilcoxon rank sum $\chi^2 = 107.85, df = 1, P < .0001$). Among custodial parents, frequent substance use was associated with fewer (Wilcoxon rank sum $\chi^2 = 4.51, df = 1, P = .0336$), and substance abuse treatment (Wilcoxon rank sum $\chi^2 = 3.93, df = 1, P = .0475$) and current antidepressant medication (Wilcoxon rank sum $\chi^2 = 5.70, df = 1, P = .0170$) with more offspring. Number of minor offspring residing with custodial parents was not significantly associated with any response variables.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this study is among the first to examine mental health, psychosocial adjustment, and substance use among a large, diverse, HAART era sample of HIV+ custodial parents, noncustodial parents, and nonparents. Parenthood is associated with substantial role responsibilities and potential stressors. Unexpectedly, however, there were few differences by parental status in mental health, substance abuse, or treatment utilization. Most associations that we observed identified parents, especially custodial ones, as more distressed than nonparents, and indicated that custodial parents had particular difficulty with medication adherence and attendance at medical appointments. However, these differences were relatively modest.

In the case of substance abuse, caring for young children may be protective, because substance abuse is more prevalent among noncustodial than custodial parents. This may reflect the increased propensity for parents with serious substance use problems to lose or relinquish custody and perhaps to seek treatment as part of the process of getting the children back. Similarly, custody of children may be an incentive for parents to abstain from substances.  

Consistent with previous studies of risk factors for psychological distress, many of the disadvantages exhibited by parents were moderated by other variables that are often markers of socioeconomic disadvantage, such as African American ethnicity, lack of current employment income, and injection of drugs over the preceding 12 months. Being in a primary relationship did not moderate associations with parental status, either to reduce distress or to increase positive adjustment. In addition, neither total number of offspring nor number of minor children living in the home was associated with most psychological measures.

African American and Hispanic custodial parents were less likely to report frequent substance use; both custodial and noncustodial Hispanic mothers, as well as white noncustodial mothers, endorsed greater coping self-efficacy, whereas African American MSM and African American IDU fathers, and IDU fathers of ethnicities other than African American, Hispanic, and white, reported less. The lower odds we observed for frequent substance use among custodial African American and Hispanic parents are compatible with previous epidemiologic studies that identify lower prevalence in ethnic minority groups. However, the higher scores of Hispanic mothers on coping self-efficacy have not, to our knowledge, been reported previously. The high valuation of family roles and the strong and supportive extended family networks that are prevalent in many Hispanic subcultures may have salutary effects on the mental health of mothers, perhaps discouraging problematic substance use and increasing the confidence of women in their ability to cope even with multiple stressors.
and challenges posed by poverty and by living and parenting with HIV.

Our findings provide limited support for assertions that parenthood, particularly the custodial role, is associated with increased psychological distress. Furthermore, the lower levels of distress associated with cohabiting primary relationships and paid work, as well as the lack of correlations between total number of offspring and measures of distress, argue against contributions to psychological distress by role overload and role conflict in this sample. The potentially supportive role of a cohabiting primary partner and paid work may act as buffers against stresses resulting from other sources of role overload or conflict. However, we advance these assertions cautiously because we did not characterize the life roles of participants, expectations for themselves or the expectations of others, nor their performance in those roles, including caregiving for ill relatives.

Consistent with findings reported by Mellins et al., we observed significantly poorer medication adherence and attendance at medical visits by custodial parents. Nevertheless, it seems less plausible to posit these as consequences than as contributors to mental health status. We also did not assess specific stressors, including poverty, nor challenges specific to parenting such as family members’ knowledge of parents’ HIV diagnoses. Furthermore, we did not ask respondents to identify sources of social support that could buffer those stressors.

The study is further limited by the way we assessed parental status. We did not ask about minor children not residing with participants, nor did we ascertain why noncustodial respondents did not have custody. Thus, the noncustodial parent group is probably heterogeneous, including some with adult offspring who live on their own, and others with minor children of whom they either never had or voluntarily or involuntarily relinquished custody. However, the proportions of these subgroups in our sample and their profiles on our response variables cannot be determined from our data.

Other limitations include the relatively small numbers of fathers, particularly MSM, which may have constrained our statistical power to detect parental status by behavioral risk group interactions. In addition, because our data are cross-sectional, we cannot examine changes in response variables associated with shifts in parenting responsibilities (eg, new children, or the developmental progression of young children to greater independence). Our assessment of psychiatric symptomatology did not include psychosis, antisocial behavior, cognitive impairment, or DSM-IV diagnoses. Therefore, our estimates of participants’ symptomatology are probably conservative.

**Implications**

Despite these limitations, our findings are consistent with previous work indicating that custodial parents, noncustodial parents, and nonparents with HIV manifest psychological distress that may warrant clinical attention. For these reasons, recommendations have emerged for the integration of mental health into primary HIV care. Associations of behavioral health problems with both greater HIV-related morbidity and adverse outcomes in offspring indicate that clinical services for HIV+ parents need to include careful attention to mental health concerns as they affect parents and the rest of the family. In addition, our findings concerning increased nonadherence to HAART medications and non-attendance at care appointments by custodial parents indicate that providers should pay particularly careful attention to monitoring and supporting medication adherence and attendance at follow-up visits among this subset of patients.

Future investigations should characterize the interplay between parental status and psychological distress among HIV+ adults, examine aspects of parental roles that may act as risk and protective factors for mental health and substance abuse problems, and develop interventions that will decrease identified sources of distress.
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