Improving Diabetes Care: Organize Your Office, Intensify Your Care

Patrick J. O'Connor, MD, MPH

Diabetes patients with poorly controlled glucose levels, lipid levels, or blood pressure have high mortality, low quality of life, and increased health care costs. ^{1–3} There is growing evidence that changes in how family physicians' offices are organized, coupled with aggressive drug intensification using metformin, statins, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, and aspirin, can save lives ^{4–8} and save money. ^{2,9–11} In this issue of *The Journal*, Sutherland et al ¹² provide encouraging evidence that family physicians can improve care for our patients with diabetes and provide some clues on how we might do it.

Most diabetes success stories 13-16 show that improvement requires changes in how our offices are organized-working smarter, not just working harder. The first steps toward improvement are the desire to change, active leadership, and resources needed to implement new office systems. Once motivated, and once practice leaders and committed resources are available, the second step is to organize our offices to support the needs of patients with chronic diseases. Office staff are often given more responsibility, and measurable goals can be selected based on rapid analysis of current quality of care (eg, review 25 charts to look at measurements for blood pressure, hemoglobin A_{1c}, and low-density lipoprotein [LDL] cholesterol). A high percentage of practices that have successfully improved care report use of the following office systems: (1) select patients who have diabetes using a simple registry based on diagnosis codes, laboratory tests, or prescriptions; (2) monitor the clinical status of these patients, such as their glycosylated hemoglobin level, lipid levels, or blood pressure; (3) prioritize patients based on their tests, risk, or

readiness to change; (4) provide systematic follow-up for patients, with active outreach to those lost to follow-up; and (5) plan visits so that key issues, such as glucose levels, lipid levels, and blood pressure, receive attention during office visits. Put together a system that meets your and your patients' needs.

Recent studies provide a new perspective on what is most important in type 2 diabetes care. More than 75% of adults with type 2 diabetes diagnosed after the age of 40 years die of a heart attack or a stroke, compared with the 4% to 15% who develop end-stage eye, foot, or kidney complications. Control of LDL-cholesterol to less than 130 mg/dL (less than 100 mg/dL in patients with heart disease)⁵; control of blood pressure to less than 130/80 mm Hg⁴; medication with aspirin,⁶ ACE inhibitors,⁸ and metformin⁷; and smoking cessation have each been shown to reduce cardiovascular events in those with type 2 diabetes by 20% to 35% in randomized clinical trials. There is good reason to use metformin, aspirin, ACE inhibitors, and statins in adults with diabetes. (Recall, though, that metformin is contraindicated for patients whose creatinine level is greater than 1.4 mg/dL, and that renal and hepatic function [alanine aminotransferase] should be monitored after changes in therapy.)

Thus, type 2 diabetes is a disease of macrovascular complications. Diabetes is not just a disease of glucose control affecting the eye, foot, and kidney. It is a disease that causes heart attacks and strokes.³ Patients with diabetes have generally not yet received this message, and it is time for patients to learn that aggressive management of glucose levels, blood pressure, and lipid levels and use of aspirin (along with smoking cessation) are the clinical determinants with the greatest impact on future health status.¹⁷ Drug intensification is key. Statin or fibrate drugs are needed to control lipid levels, three blood pressure agents are often required to

Submitted 4 May 2001.

From the HealthPartners Research Foundation, Minneapolis. Address reprint requests to Patrick J. O'Connor, MD, MPH, HealthPartners Research Foundation, 8100 34th Ave South, PO Box 1524, Minneapolis, MN 55440.

achieve blood pressure goals, and combinations of metformin, sulfonylureas, thiazolidinediones, and insulin are often needed to reduce hemoglobin A_{1c} to less than 7%. Drug initiation and titration should be automatic responses with most diabetes patients who have not reached goals set for glucose, lipid, or blood pressure goals. We do neither ourselves nor our patients any favors by waiting 3 or 4 more months before we start or add metformin or increase the dose of a statin in a patient who is not at goal levels. Diabetes is a progressive disease. It is appropriate to anticipate disease progression and to quickly match with intensified pharmacotherapy. The treatment mantra for many type 2 diabetes patients can be expressed in a catchy phrase: metformin, ⁷ statin, ⁵ ACE, ⁸ and aspirin. ⁶ Write it on the palm of your hand. Do not forget the statin, ACE, and aspirin, or the 75% of adults with diabetes who die from heart attack or strokes.

Patient self-management is part of many successful diabetes improvement initiatives. Patients who have diabetes must manage not only the illness, but also the social and role problems that come with the illness, as well as their own emotional response to the illness. After diagnosis, many patients are in denial, and more than 20% become depressed at some point. I find it helpful to tailor my clinical approach to the patient's archetype or beliefs about diabetes. If a patient is in denial about diabetes (which can persist for decades after diagnosis), then the first job is to address this issue.

Primary care physicians are at the forefront of improvement in diabetes care, 13-15,19 and recent reports of improved quality of care show that we can get the job done. There is no room for complacency, however. Managed care organizations and health insurance companies have a choice. They can direct patients with diabetes to primary care clinics, or they can "carve out" diabetes care and send tens of thousands of diabetes patients and millions of dollars to disease management firms. Carve-out care is expensive, is difficult to coordinate, and often disrupts continuity of care, which is related to better diabetes care outcomes.^{20,21} To stop carve-out care, we need to be sure that every primary care group—not just best-practice sites are able to determine which patients are not at goal levels and systematically initiate and titrate therapy until their hemoglobin A_{1c} is less than 7%, their LDL approaches 100 mg/dL, and their blood pressure is less than 130/80 mm Hg.²²

When we adopt a guideline, determine which diabetes patients have not reached their goal levels, memorize the metformin-statin-ACE-aspirin mantra, and recognize the need to be emotionally supportive of our diabetes patients, we are on our way to success. Clearly good diabetes care is not a job for the Lone Ranger. We need to visualize ourselves as coach and surround ourselves with staff, consultants, and educators to get the job done. Sutherland and others have shown that it can be done. Will we do it? The time is now. We do it for our patients. We do it for ourselves.

References

- 1. Testa MA, Simonson DC. Health economic benefits and quality of life during improved glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized, controlled, double-blind trial. JAMA 1998;280: 1490–6.
- Gilmer TP, O'Connor PJ, Manning WG, Rush WA. The cost to health plans of poor glycemic control. Diabetes Care 1997;20:1847–53.
- 3. Haffner SM, Lehto S, Ronnemaa T, Pyorala K, Laakso M. Mortality from coronary heart disease in subjects with type 2 diabetes and in nondiabetic subjects with and without prior myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1998;339:229–34.
- Hansson L, Zanchetti A, Carruthers SG, et al. Effects of intensive blood-pressure lowering and low-dose aspirin in patients with hypertension: principal results of the Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) randomised trial. Lancet 1998;351:1755–62.
- 5. Pyorala K, Pederson TR, Kjekshus J, Faergeman O, Olsson AG, Thorgeirsson G. Cholesterol lowering with simvastatin improves prognosis of diabetic patients with coronary heart disease. A subgroup analysis of the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). Diabetes Care 1997;20:614–20.
- 6. Aspirin effects on mortality and morbidity in patients with diabetes mellitus. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study report 14. ETDRS Investigators. JAMA 1992;268:1292–300.
- 7. Effect of intensive blood-glucose control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 34). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. Lancet 1998;352: 854–65.
- 8. Yusuf S, Sleight P, Pogue J, Bosch J, Davies R, Dagenais G. Effects of an angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, ramipril, on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients. The Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. N Engl J Med 2000;342:145–53.
- Wagner EH, Sandhu N, Newton KM, McCulloch DK, Ramsey SD, Grothaus LC. Effect of improved

- glycemic control on health care costs and utilization. JAMA 2001;285:182-9.
- 10. Herman WH, Alexander CM, Cook JR, et al. Effect of simvastatin treatment on cardiovascular resource utilization in impaired fasting glucose and diabetes. Findings from the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study. Diabetes Care 1999;22:1771-8.
- 11. Cost effectiveness analysis of improved blood pressure control in hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 40. UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. BMJ 1998;317:720-6.
- 12. Sutherland IE, Hoehns ID, O'Donnell B, Wiblin RT. Diabetes management quality improvement in a family practice residency program. J Am Board Fam Pract 2001: 000-00.
- 13. Sidorov J, Gabbay R, Harris R, et al. Disease management for diabetes mellitus: impact on hemoglobin A_{1c}. Am J Manag Care 2000;6:1217–26.
- 14. Nyman MA, Murphy ME, Schryver PG, Naessens JM, Smith SA. Improving performance in diabetes care: a multicomponent intervention. Eff Clin Pract 2000;3:205–12.
- 15. Sperl-Hillen J, O'Connor PJ, Carlson RR, et al. Improving diabetes care in a large health care system: an enhanced primary care approach. Jt Comm J Qual Improv 2000;26:615-22.
- 16. Aubert RE, Herman WH, Waters J, et al. Nurse case

- management to improve glycemic control in diabetic patients in a health maintenance organization. A randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 1998; 129:605-12.
- 17. Barton S. Clinical evidence. In: Barton S, editor. Clinical evidence. London: BMJ Publishing Group, 2000:295-327.
- 18. O'Connor PJ, Crabtree BF, Yanoshik MK. Differences between diabetic patients who do and do not respond to a diabetes care intervention: a qualitative analysis. Fam Med 1997;29:424-8.
- 19. Peterson KA, Vinicor F. Strategies to improve diabetes care delivery. J Fam Pract 1998;47(5 Suppl): S55-62.
- 20. O'Connor PJ, Solberg LI, Baird M. The future of primary care. The enhanced primary care model. J Fam Pract 1998;47:62-7.
- 21. O'Connor PJ, Desai J, Rush WA, Cherney LM, Solberg LI, Bishop DB. Is having a regular provider of diabetes care related to intensity of care and glycemic control? J Fam Pract 1998;47:290-7.
- 22. Wagner EH, Austin BT, Von Korff M. Organizing care for patients with chronic illness. Milbank Q 1996;74:511-544.
- 23. Von Korff M, Gruman J, Schaefer J, Curry SJ, Wagner EH. Collaborative management of chronic illness. Ann Intern Med 1997;127:1097-102.