Effects of Physician Supply on Early Detection of Breast Cancer
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Background: There are few studies examining the effects of physician supply on health-related outcomes. We hypothesized that increasing physician supply and, in particular, increasing primary care supply would be related to earlier detection of breast cancer.

Methods: Information on incident cases of breast cancer occurring in Florida in 1994 (n = 11,740) was collected from the state cancer registry. Measures of physician supply were obtained from the 1994 AMA Physician Masterfile. The effects of physician supply on the odds of late-stage diagnosis were examined using multiple logistic regression.

Results: There was no relation between overall physician supply and stage of breast cancer of diagnosis. Each 10th percentile increase in primary care physician supply, however, resulted in a 4% increase in the odds of early-stage diagnosis (adjusted odds ratio = 1.04, 95% confidence interval = 1.01–1.06).

Conclusions: The supply of primary care physicians was significantly associated with earlier stage of breast cancer at diagnosis. This study suggests that an appropriate balance of primary care and specialty physician supply might be an important predictor of health outcomes. (J Am Board Fam Pract 2000;13:408-14.)

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women and the second leading cause of cancer mortality in women in the United States. In 1999 there were 176,300 estimated new cases of breast cancer and 43,700 deaths from breast cancer in the United States.1 The 5-year survival rate is 97% for patients with local stage, but decreases to 78% for regional spread, and 22% for distant disease.2 Later stage at diagnosis for breast cancer has been previously shown to be associated with certain patient characteristics, such as postmenopausal age,3 African-American race,4–5 low education,4 being unmarried,4,6 having low income,4 having no insurance,4,5 or having Medicare fee-for-service insurance versus insurance through a health maintenance organization (HMO).7

Little is known, however, about physician factors and their influence on stage of diagnosis for breast cancer. Although there has been much discussion in the past several years about the supply of physicians in the United States and the balance of primary care to specialist physicians,8–18 there has been a shortage of studies examining the effects of physician supply on health-related outcomes. One study showed that patients residing in areas having fewer than 1 physician per 4,000 population had poorer survival rates from breast cancer.19 Some studies have found no difference in outcomes in such diseases as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or alcoholism between primary care and specialty care,20–23 whereas others have suggested better health outcomes with specialty care for patients with acute myocardial infarction.24–26 Several investigators have argued that the balance between primary and specialty physician supply is irrelevant, and that the population level supply of primary care physicians is the only measure important for policy.15,17 Our previous study on early detection of colon cancer, however, did not support this premise and suggested that the balance between primary care and specialty physician supplies might well affect important health outcomes.27

We examined the effects of physician supply on stage of breast cancer at diagnosis for patients in Florida in 1994. Since breast cancer is amenable to
screening through clinical breast examinations and mammography, physicians can have an impact on stage at diagnosis by performing clinical breast examinations and recommending screening mammograms to their patients. A physician's recommendation for screening and access to health care have been shown to be important predictors of breast cancer screening.\(^{28-30}\) We therefore hypothesized that increasing physician supply would be associated with earlier stage of breast cancer at diagnosis. In addition, because most mammograms are ordered by primary care physicians rather than specialists,\(^{31}\) we hypothesized that a greater supply of primary care physicians would be associated with earlier stage at diagnosis for breast cancer.

This study was approved by the University of South Florida Institutional Review Board.

**Methods**

**Sources of Data**

Incident cases of breast cancer (n = 11,740) occurring in 1994 (the most current year for which all relevant data were available) were retrieved from the Florida Cancer Data System (FCDS), Florida's population-based statewide cancer registry. Breast cancers occurring in men were excluded. The FCDS has well-established methods to ensure complete case finding (including cooperative arrangements with other state tumor registries) and standardized procedures for quality control.

To include information that is not always available from the FCDS (insurance payer, comorbidity, socioeconomic status), cases were linked with state discharge abstracts. The State of Florida Agency for Health Care Administration (AHCA) maintains discharge abstracts for admissions to all nonfederal acute care hospitals, ambulatory surgical centers, free-standing radiation therapy centers, and diagnostic imaging centers. FCDS cases were linked with discharge abstracts through a probabilistic match based on social security number, sex, race-ethnicity, and date of birth. Cases that successfully matched on all variables were considered valid matches (83.6%). Matches were also considered valid if the sole discrepancy was a social security number or date of birth that differed by only one digit (suggesting data entry errors). Using this method 9,936 (85.5%) of eligible cases were successfully matched, a rate similar to that achieved in another comparable study.\(^{32}\) Using 1990 US census data, each individual was assigned the median income-education level of either the census tract (92% of cases) or ZIP Code (8% of cases) of their residence. The use of census-derived measures of socioeconomic status have been validated in previous studies.\(^{33-36}\)

The main outcome, stage at diagnosis, was defined as the summary stage at the time of diagnosis using the SEER Site-Specific Summary Staging Guide.\(^{17}\) For these analyses, stage at diagnosis was classified as either early stage (in situ, local) or late stage (regional, distant). Stage was available for 11,218 (95.6%) cases.

Data on physician supply was obtained from the 1994 American Medical Association (AMA) Physician Masterfile, which includes allopathic and osteopathic physicians regardless of AMA membership.\(^{38}\) Population estimates were obtained from the 1990 US census. Physician supply variables were created for total physician supply, primary care physician supply, and non-primary-care physician supply. Primary specialty is self-designated by physicians as the specialty in which they spend most of their clinical time. Physicians were classified as primary care if their primary specialty was either family practice, general practice, obstetrics-gynecology, or general internal medicine, regardless of their secondary specialty designation.\(^{39,40}\)

Primary care practice content has been verified for physicians meeting this definition.\(^{41}\) In contrast, physicians who indicate a primary care field only as their secondary specialty have been found to have markedly less primary care practice content.\(^{41}\) Physicians who indicated they were engaged in full-time direct patient care were counted as one full-time equivalent (FTE); those who indicated in the Masterfile that they were either semi-retired, in residency training, or also engaged in teaching or research were counted as 0.5 FTE. Physicians who indicated they were no longer involved in direct patient care were excluded. Previous studies have validated data contained in the 1994 AMA Physician Masterfile.\(^{38,42,43}\)

To avoid measuring the impact of referral patterns, we assessed physician supply according to the patient's residence, not the location where their cancer was diagnosed. Physician supply was measured at the county level. There are 67 counties in Florida, which range in population from 5,569 to 1,937,094. The median population for the 67 counties is 78,024.
Two physician supply variables were calculated for all cases: the number of physicians per capita and the number of primary care physicians as a percentage of all physicians. We used the proportion of physicians engaged in primary care as the measure of primary care physician supply in multivariate models.44

Other variables that were controlled in multivariate analyses included age, marital status (never married, married, divorced, separated, widowed), race-ethnicity (white [non-Hispanic], African-American [non-Hispanic], Hispanic, or other), insurance payer (Medicare, Medicare HMO, Medicaid, commercial indemnity, commercial preferred provider organization, commercial HMO, uninsured, and other [includes CHAMPUS [Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services], Veterans Administration, workers’ compensation, other state or local government programs), and comorbidity. Comorbidity was determined using methods described by Deyo et al and Charlson et al.45,46 We used the International Classification of Disease, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) mapping of comorbid conditions as described by Deyo et al.45 Cancer-related conditions were excluded. We used the original weights described by Charlson et al in calculating a morbidity index (theoretical range 0–23). We defined three categories of comorbidity (0, 1, 2+) based on the patient’s index score.

**Multivariate Analysis**

We examined the relation between primary care supply and the odds of early-stage diagnosis using multiple logistic regression. Potential confounding variables were modeled in a similar fashion in all logistic models: age (as a continuous variable), level of education (three indicator variables), level of income (four indicator variables), insurance payer (seven indicator variables), race-ethnicity (three indicator variables), comorbidity (single ordinal variable), and marital status (four indicator variables).

To allow for nonlinear relations between primary care physician supply and the odds of early-stage diagnosis, indicator variables were created by percentiles of primary care physician supply.47 Cases in the lowest 10th percentile of primary care supply were designated as the referent group, and nine indicator variables were created corresponding to each 10th percentile increase in the primary care physician supply. Relations were then examined by graphing the nine corresponding odds ratios.48–50 Linear relations between primary care physician supply and the odds of early-stage diagnosis were subsequently tested in logistic models using the chi-square likelihood ratio test.47 Odds ratios for primary care physician supply were also adjusted for total physician supply. We anticipated that the proportion of physicians who were engaged in primary care would be associated with overall physician supply because of the need for specialists to concentrate in sufficiently large population centers. Areas with a high proportion of specialists probably have a high overall physician supply. Any association found between the proportion of physicians in primary care and the early detection of breast cancer, therefore, might be confounded by overall physician supply. For that reason, we included a measure of overall physician supply to multivariate models.

That all patients residing in the same county are assigned the same measure of physician supply might lead to correlation of error terms. Clustering by county could lead to underestimation of standard errors in logistic models.51 To examine this possibility we re-estimated parameters and their errors using the method of generalized estimating equations, which controls for clustered or correlated data.52,53

Because physician supply was likely to be correlated with community characteristics, we also stratified analyses by urban-nonurban residence, and by high (above the median) versus low (below the median) socioeconomic area of residence.

**Results**

Reflecting the demographics of the state of Florida, the mean age for breast cancer patients was 71.5 years (SD 11.6 years). The median household income was $28,929 (SD $10,593). Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study population. White, non-Hispanic women constituted most of the patients (83.8%). The most common insurance payer was Medicare, and most breast cancers (71%) were diagnosed at an early stage (in situ or local stage).

Physician supply for cases of breast cancer is reported in Table 2. Overall, specialist physicians outnumbered primary care physicians two to one. Most breast cancer patients resided in counties in which primary care physicians accounted for less than one third of the physician workforce.
Table 1. Characteristics of Women With a Diagnosis of Breast Cancer, in Florida, 1994 (n = 11,740).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Number*</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race or ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>9,735</td>
<td>83.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>4,992</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than high school</td>
<td>6,557</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>1,022</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>6,507</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced, separated</td>
<td>1,042</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>2,759</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Payer</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>4,822</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare HMO</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial insurance</td>
<td>1,725</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial HMO</td>
<td>1,135</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial PPO</td>
<td>1,192</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage of breast cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ</td>
<td>1,396</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>6,441</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>2,666</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers for individual categories might not sum to total sample size because of missing data.

†By census tract or ZIP Code residence.

HMO—health maintenance organization, PPO—preferred provider organization.

We found no relation between overall physician supply and stage of breast cancer at diagnosis (adjusted odds ratio [OR] = 1.000, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.999–1.0005, P = .595). There was, however, a significant relation between primary care physician supply and early-stage diagnosis of breast cancer. The effects of primary care physician supply on the odds of early-stage diagnosis, controlling for patient characteristics and total physician supply, are presented in Figure 1. The odds of early-stage diagnosis increased as the proportion of physicians who were in primary care increased. This relation fit a linear model (OR = 1.04, 95% CI 1.01–1.06; χ² for linear trend = 7.3, P = .007). The resultant linear model predicts that for each 10th percentile increase in primary care physician supply there is a 4% increase in the odds of early-stage diagnosis.

We re-estimated model parameters and errors using the method of generalized estimating equations to control for any effects of clustering within the data. Results were similar (adjusted OR = 1.03, 95% CI 1.01–1.06, P = .003).

Logistic regressions were repeated stratified by urban-nonurban place of residence. There was no association between primary care physician supply for the 5,160 patients residing in urban settings (adjusted OR = 1.01, 95% CI 0.97–1.06, P = .55). Among the 4,786 patients residing in nonurban settings, however, increasing primary care physician supply was associated with greater odds of early-stage diagnosis (adjusted OR = 1.05, 95% CI 1.01–1.08, P = .007).

Results were similar when in situ cancers were excluded (adjusted OR = 1.04, 95% CI 1.01–1.07, P = .006, n = 8,993). Results were also similar when cases were restricted to ages for which mammography has a proven benefit (50 to 75 years) (adjusted OR = 1.04, 95% CI 1.001–1.07, P = .04, n = 5,985). Increasing supplies of primary care physicians were also associated with earlier detection of breast cancer among patients having fee-for-service health insurance (adjusted OR = 1.03, 95% CI 1.004–1.07, P = .02, n = 8,414). The effects of increasing primary care supply on the early detection of breast cancer were greater in magnitude among patients having HMO insurance, but this association did not reach statistical significance because of the much smaller sample size (adjusted OR = 1.06, 95% CI 0.98–1.15, P = .15, n = 1,532). The effects of primary care physician supply on the early detection of breast cancer were similar among patients living in areas below the median of socioeconomic status (adjusted OR = 1.035, 95% CI 0.999–1.11, P = .05), compared with those living in areas above the median of socioeconomic status (adjusted OR = 1.033, 95% CI 0.993–1.08, P = .10).

Conclusions

Although there was no relation between overall physician supply and stage at diagnosis for patients with breast cancer, the supply of primary care physicians was significantly associated with stage at diagnosis. As the supply of primary care physicians increased, the odds of early-stage diagnosis increased. In stratified analysis, the effects of primary...
Table 2. Physician Supply for Patients with Breast Cancer, Florida, 1994* (N = 10,976).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physician Supply^</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total physicians</td>
<td>209.8 (67.2)</td>
<td>220.0</td>
<td>15.5–561.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary care physicians</td>
<td>64.7 (15.4)</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>9.1–125.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family practice</td>
<td>18.1 (5.7)</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>0.0–41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General practice</td>
<td>10.3 (4.9)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.0–33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal medicine</td>
<td>24.4 (8.9)</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>0.0–47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetrics-gynecology</td>
<td>11.9 (4.1)</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.0–25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialty physicians</td>
<td>145.0 (52.9)</td>
<td>152.6</td>
<td>0.0–436.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of physicians in primary care</td>
<td>32.1% (6.6%)</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>22.3%–100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^Physicians per 100,000 population.
^Physician supply measured at the county level.

Mammography use has been shown previously to explain racial differences in stage at diagnosis of breast cancer.54 Likewise, mammography use could explain why the supply of primary care physicians might contribute to earlier detection of breast cancer. The National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey showed that in 1991, 87% of all mammograms were recommended by primary care physicians rather than specialists.31 Primary care physicians tend to recommend preventive care services during visits for chronic illnesses more so than specialists.41,55,56

There are a number of potential limitations in this study. First, the relations found might be the result of confounding with some other factor. The multivariate models, however, controlled for patients’ age, race-ethnicity, marital status, comorbidity, type of health insurance, and community measures of socioeconomic status. Second, socioeconomic status was not measured at the individual level. Previous studies, however, have validated the use of aggregate measures of socioeconomic status.33–36 In addition, whereas physician supply is an important variable relevant to health care policy, it can be considered only an aggregate measure of individual patient use of physician services. The patients that were studied might have had actual use of physician services that were not reflected by the measure of physician supply studied. It will be important to measure actual use of physician services at the individual patient level in future research to confirm these relations. Lastly, our study was restricted to incident cases of breast cancer in Florida, which might not be representative of other diseases or other parts of the country.

In conclusion, we found that an increasing supply of primary care physicians was associated with...
earlier stage of breast cancer at diagnosis. This study suggests that the composition of the physician workforce, mainly an appropriate balance of primary care and specialty physician supply, might be an important predictor of health outcomes. In the meantime, subspecialists, who may be the only physician a patient ever sees, should make an effort to ensure that their patients are being adequately screened for breast cancer, if not by themselves, then by other physicians.
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