Intensive Case Management of High-Risk Patients
Home Program for Frozen Shoulder
Management of Chronic Noncancer Pain
Practice Patterns of Family Physicians
Critical Appraisal of the Literature
The Journal of the American Board of Family Practice

VOLUME 12, NUMBER 4  JULY-AUGUST 1999

CONTENTS

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Intensive Case Management of High-Risk Patients in a Family Medicine Residency Setting 264

Stephen G. Friedhoff

A Simple Home Program for Frozen Shoulder to Improve Patients’ Assessment of Shoulder Function and Health Status 270

John W. O’Kane, Sarah Jackins, John A. Sidles, Kevin L. Smith, and Frederick A. Matsen III

Practice Patterns of Family Physicians in Practice-Based Research Networks: A Report from ASPN 278

Paul A. Nutting, Monika Baier, James J. Werner, Gary Cutter, Frank M. Reed, and A. John Orzano

CLINICAL REVIEW

Review of Latex Allergy 285

Lester Cheng and Daniel Lee

MEDICAL PRACTICE

Using Opioid Analgesics to Manage Chronic Noncancer Pain in Primary Care 293

Tom Parrott

Rational Use of Cholinesterase Activity Testing in Pesticide Poisoning 307

James E. Lessenger and Benjamin E. Reese

EVIDENCE-BASED CLINICAL PRACTICE

Critical Appraisal of the Literature 315

William F. Miser
CONTENTS

Continued

BRIEF REPORTS

Ear Cellulitis and Rash in a Patient with Rheumatoid Arthritis and Diabetes
Matthew L. Davis

Hepatic Adenoma Following a Short Period of Oral Contraceptive Use
Chinwe C. Nduka

Aneurysmal Bone Cyst: Rapid Deterioration in a Long-Standing Tumor
Haim Krissi, Boris Kaplan, Josef Shalev, and Joseph Sack

REFLECTIONS IN FAMILY PRACTICE

Birth and Death: Through a Child’s Eyes
Elizabeth Feldman

EDITORIAL

Case Management in Family Practice: Assuring Cost-Effective Care for High-Risk Patients
Eric M. Wall

CORRESPONDENCE

BOARD NEWS

BOOK REVIEWS

CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING
INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS

The Journal of the American Board of Family Practice welcomes for editorial review manuscripts that contribute to family practice as a clinical scientific discipline. High priority is given to reports of clinically relevant studies that have practical implications for improved patient care. Manuscripts are considered in relation to the extent to which they represent original work, their significance to the advancement of family medicine, and their interest to the practicing family physician. Some papers that are accepted by the Journal will be selected for an accompanying guest editorial or concurrent commentary by other invited authors addressing issues raised by the papers. The Journal publishes the following features:

Original Articles. Reports of original research, usually dealing with a clinical, health services, or other clinically relevant study.

Medical Practice. Scholarly articles that relate directly to clinical topics useful in everyday family practice, whether dealing with diagnostic or therapeutic roles of the family physician or reporting studies of what family physicians do in practice.

Clinical Review. In-depth reviews of specific clinical problems, disease entities, or treatment modalities; comprehensive and critical analysis of the literature is required (usual maximum length 5000 words).

Clinical Guidelines and Primary Care. Summaries of major clinical guidelines proposed by various specialty, governmental, or health care organizations, with critical commentary from a primary care perspective.

Family Practice and the Health Care System. Articles reporting studies and scholarly commentary on changing trends and patterns of care in family practice, primary care, and the health care system.

Health Policy. Articles relating to specific health policy issues from a national perspective, usually invited from individuals with extensive health policy experience.

Special Articles. Articles in other areas that may relate to the role of the family physician, education for family practice, or other subjects important to family practice as a clinical specialty.

Brief Reports. Short reports of pilot studies or case reports with a teaching point of clinical relevance (usual length 1000–1500 words).

Family Practice—World Perspective. Papers reporting developments related to the practice or education of family physicians in various countries around the world (usual length 1200–1800 words).

Reflections in Family Practice. Papers in narrative or essay format that illuminate qualitative aspects of family practice, including such areas as ethical issues, the physician-patient relationship, or the diverse roles of the family physician.

Editorial. Focused opinion or commentary that bears on an issue relevant to the field. May or may not accompany an original article in the same issue (usual length 1000–1500 words).

Letters to the Editor. Observations, opinion, or comment on topics under discussion in the journal, usually not to exceed 500 words.

Book Reviews. Books for review and book reviews should be addressed to John P. Geyman, MD, Editor, the Journal of the American Board of Family Practice, Department of Family Medicine, School of Medicine, Box 354696, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195.

The following guidelines are in accordance with the "Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals." The current (fourth) edition was published in the February 7, 1991, issue of the New England Journal of Medicine.

MANUSCRIPT SUBMISSION

Address all submissions to John P. Geyman, MD, Editor, Journal of the American Board of Family Practice, Department of Family Medicine, School of Medicine, Box 354696, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195.

Manuscripts containing original material are accepted for consideration with the understanding that neither the article nor any part of its essential substance, tables, or figures has been or will be published or submitted for publication elsewhere before appearing in the Journal. This restriction does not apply to abstracts or press reports published in connection with scientific meetings. Copies of any possibly duplicative manuscripts should be submitted to the editor along with the manuscript that is to be considered by the Journal. The Journal strongly discourages the submission of more than one article dealing with related aspects of the same study. In almost all cases, a single study is best reported in a single paper.

Submit an original and 3 copies of the complete manuscript, including text pages, legends, tables, references, and glossy prints of figures. The manuscript should be on 8 1/2 x 11-inch paper, double-spaced throughout, with 1-inch margins. Include a copy of the manuscript on a computer disk, and indicate which software program is used.

A covering letter should identify the person (with the address and telephone number) responsible for negotiations concerning the manuscript; the letter should make it clear that the final manuscript has been seen and approved by all authors. If authors acknowledge by name persons who provided important technical, advisory, or reviewer contributions, the corresponding author should sign the following statement: "I have obtained written permission from all persons named in the acknowledgment."

The Journal expects authors to take public responsibility for their manuscripts, including conception and design of the work, data analysis, writing, and review of the paper. Authors are expected to stand behind the validity of their data and, if asked by the editor, to submit the actual data for editorial review with the manuscript. In most instances authorship should be limited to 8 authors or fewer, all meeting the above criteria for authorship. Exceptions to these guidelines, especially those involving multisite collaborative research projects, should be discussed on a case-by-case basis with the editor.

The Journal also expects authors to disclose any commercial associations that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article. Consultancies, stock ownership or other equity interests, patent-licensing arrangements, and other kinds of associations that might involve conflict of interest should be disclosed to the editor in a covering letter at the time of submission. Such information will be held in confidence while the paper is under review and will not influence the editorial decision. If the manuscript is accepted, the editor will discuss with the authors how best to disclose the relevant information. Questions about this policy should be directed to the editor.