Simple Home Program for Frozen Shoulder to Improve Patients’ Assessment of Shoulder Function and Health Status
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Background: The purpose of this investigation was to test the hypothesis that a simple home program can improve the self-assessed shoulder function and health status of a group of patients with frozen shoulders.

Methods: A case series using a one-group pretest, posttest design analyzing 41 patients from a single orthopedic practice who had a frozen shoulder were included in this study. The patients completed the Simple Shoulder Test (SST) and the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) questionnaire at the time of initial consultation, had treatment consisting of education regarding frozen shoulder and home stretching instructions, and were asked to complete the same questionnaires mailed every 6 months. Initial results were compared with previously published control values to establish level of impairment, and follow-up results were compared with the initial results to determine the extent of improvement.

Results: Patients initially had serious deficits in the 12 shoulder functions inventoried by the SST and were also compromised in their general health status as reflected by the SF-36 scores. At follow-up, 4 of 10 SST functions were improved (P < 0.001). The SF-36 health status scores of physical function, comfort, and physical role function were also improved (P < 0.001).

Conclusion: These data suggest that this home program for frozen shoulder can lead to improved self-assessed shoulder function and health status in patients similar to those in the study population.
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questionnaires and 4 because they were referred for surgical treatment after a protracted (greater than 6 months) unsuccessful attempt at nonoperative management. The remaining 41 patients in the study cohort met the diagnostic criteria for idiopathic frozen shoulder, were willing to have their condition treated using the home management program, and were available for follow-up.

The average age of the patients in the study cohort was 56 years (range 36 to 75 years). There were 19 men and 22 women. Six patients had bilateral frozen shoulders; in these cases one of the two shoulders was chosen randomly for inclusion in the study. There were 15 right shoulders and 27 left shoulders. There were only 4 patients who had diabetes in this study cohort. We did not find other important comorbidities in this patient population.

At the time of initial consultation, each patient completed the Simple Shoulder Test (SST) inventory of shoulder functions\(^3\),\(^11\) and the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) questionnaire.\(^12\)\(^-\)\(^15\) The SST was selected because (1) it is simple, has face validity, and is reproducible\(^3\),\(^11\); (2) it is responsive to successful management of frozen shoulders\(^16\) and glenohumeral degenerative joint disease\(^17\); (3) examines function from the perspective of the patient; and (4) in contrast to shoulder-scoring systems requiring measurement of range of motion, it does not require the patient to return to the clinic for a time- and resource-consuming evaluation by office personnel.\(^18\),\(^19\)

The SF-36 is a standardized self-assessment of general health status that has been used extensively throughout the medical literature for documenting patients' impressions of their own physical and mental condition.\(^12\)\(^-\)\(^15\) It has been found to be sensitive to the successful treatment of glenohumeral degenerative joint disease.\(^17\) The SF-36 does not contain questions specifically regarding upper extremity function; therefore, it was desirable to combine it with the SST.

The SST shoulder function inventory and the SF-36 were completed by each patient at the time of initial consultation with the senior author. The physician then discussed the frozen shoulder with the patient and answered questions. Finally, a registered therapist (SJ) taught a set of home exercises emphasizing gentle patient-conducted stretching and provided a sheet that diagrammed the exercises. The visit with the therapist took approximately 15 minutes. The details of the home exercise program can be found on the World Wide Web at the following URL: &lt;http://www.orthop.washington.edu/shoulder/shoulder.htm&gt; (see Home Exercises - Stiffness). Hands-on therapy was not used with this series of patients.

Follow-up questionnaires were mailed to each patient every 6 months. The average follow-up period was 25 ± 13 months with a range from 6 to 50 months.

Data Analysis

Shoulder Function

Results were summarized in terms of the percentage of patients indicating they could perform each of the 12 functions evaluated by the SST. Confidence intervals and \(P\) values were computed from a binomial distribution as is appropriate for a yes-or-no (binary) response format. The initial shoulder function of the study cohort was compared with that of previously published control data.\(^3\) Follow-up shoulder function was compared with the initial shoulder function for the study cohort to determine whether there was significant improvement in the self-assessed functions. Finally, the net gain in percentage of patients able to perform each function was tabulated to determine which shoulder functions were improved by the home management program.

Overall Health Status

The SF-36 questionnaires were scored according to the algorithm of Ware et al.,\(^14\)\(^-\)\(^15\),\(^20\) which yields eight health status scores: physical function, social function, physical role function, emotional role function, mental health, vitality, comfort, and general health. Scores range from 0 (the least healthy response) to 100 (the most healthy response).

The results for each SF-36 score at the time of initial examination were averaged, confidence intervals calculated, and \(P\) values determined for significant difference from age- and sex-matched controls.\(^21\) SF-36 scores for each of the eight domains at the time of follow-up self-assessment were averaged, confidence intervals calculated, and \(P\) values determined for the significance of improvement from the initial examination. The net change in score from initial to follow-up evaluation was also determined for each of the eight SF-36 domains along with the confidence intervals. The confidence intervals and \(P\) values for the
Shoulder Function

Results of Home Management Program

Shoulder Function

Significantly more patients were able to perform the functions of the SST after implementation of the home management program. The greatest improvement was observed in the ability to sleep on the side, wash the back of the opposite shoulder, place hand behind the head, wash the back of the opposite shoulder, and tuck in the shirt, place hand behind the head with the side, wash the back of the opposite shoulder, and tuck in the shirt, place hand behind the head with

SF-36 were determined by the t test. As a consistency check, we also computed P values using the Wilcoxon signed rank test, which yielded essentially identical results.

A Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine whether there were significant differences in improvement in the health status or shoulder function of patients observed for less than 25 months in comparison with those observed for more than 25 months. An attempt was also made to determine whether any particular comorbidities, such as diabetes, age, sex, smoking, or bilateral involvement, were associated with better or worse results subsequent to the home treatment program.

Results

Initial Evaluation

Shoulder Function

The SST shoulder function inventory indicated substantial functional deficits at the time patients were initially evaluated for frozen shoulder. Whereas normal patients indicated they were able to perform essentially all 12 SST functions, patients in this cohort with frozen shoulders showed statistically significant compromise of all 12 shoulder functions. The most common functional deficits were in the ability to sleep comfortably on the side (88 percent unable), throw overhand (85 percent unable), wash the back of the opposite shoulder (85 percent unable), place 8 pounds on a shelf at head level (76 percent unable), and tuck in the back of a shirt (61 percent unable) (Table 1, Figure 1).

Health Status

The general health status of this patient cohort showed significant compromise with respect to the age- and sex-matched control group (Table 2). The greatest compromise was seen in overall comfort (40 percent less than age- and sex-matched control patients) and in physical role function (45 percent less than age- and sex-matched control patients) (Table 2, Figure 2).

Table 1. Initial and Follow-up Results on Simple Shoulder Test (SST) Shoulder Function Inventory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>SST at Initial Examination</th>
<th>SST at Follow-up</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent Yes</td>
<td>Confidence Interval</td>
<td>P Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am comfortable at side</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>56,85</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep on side</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>02,23</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuck in back of shirt</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>23,55</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place hand behind head</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>35,67</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place coin on shelf</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>53,83</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place 1 lb on shelf</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>56,85</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place 8 lb on shelf</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11,38</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry 20 lb at side</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>48,79</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toss underhand</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>38,70</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toss overhand</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>03,26</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wash back of shoulder</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>03,26</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow regular work</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>40,72</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The first three columns display significant reduction in shoulder function of patients with frozen shoulder compared with normal patients. The second three columns display shoulder function of patients at follow-up and significance of increase in percentage who could perform these functions. The final pair of columns displays net change in number of patients who could perform each shoulder function.

*95% confidence intervals for the estimated mean (binomial distribution).

P value that in the SST answers at initial examination are no worse than control (binomial distribution).

P value that in the SST follow-up scores are unchanged relative to initial examination (binomial distribution).

Percentage of patients changing "no" to "yes" (favorable response) minus percentage changing "yes" to "no" (unfavorable response).
the elbow to the side, place 8 pounds on a shelf at head level, and throw overhead. The one function that was not significantly improved was the ability to carry 20 pounds at the side (Table 1, Figure 1).

Thirteen patients improved by at least 6 positive responses, 16 by 3 to 5 responses, 6 patients by 1 to 2 responses. The total number of “yes” answers were unchanged in 3 patients, and another 3 patients had fewer positive responses at follow-up than at the time of initial evaluation. Of the 35 patients whose function improved, 10 answered “yes” to all 12 SST functional questions.

Health Status
The overall health status as reflected by the SF-36 showed statistically significant improvement in the domains of physical function, social function, physical role function, and overall bodily comfort (Table 2, Figure 2).

Duration of Follow-Up
There was no significant difference (P < 0.05) in the improvement of health status or shoulder function of patients observed for less than 25 months compared with those having more than 25 months of follow-up.

Comorbidities
Our data did not find statistically significant effects of diabetes, smoking, or bilateral involvement either on the initial shoulder function and health status or on the patients’ response to treatment. This particular patient population, however, had a relatively small representation of these conditions; hence, these results might not be representative of populations in which these attributes are more common.

Discussion
The frozen shoulder has been recognized as a clinically important condition for more than a half-century. It has been referred to by a wide variety of names, including adhesive capsulitis, the periarthritic shoulder, and the idiopathic stiff shoulder.2-6,8,22 Shoulder stiffness is a common complaint of patients evaluated by orthopedic sur-
yet it infrequently affected activities of daily living and caused little functional disability. Miller et al found that after the treatment of frozen shoulder, up to 60 percent of patients were left with some residual loss of motion, yet it infrequently affected activities of daily living and caused little functional disability. Miller et al reported similar findings, stating: “some loss of objective shoulder motion occurs, but this usually is not associated with functional deficits.” In addition, studies using range of motion are subject to observer bias and interobserver variability.

For purposes of comparison, the self-assessment questionnaires used in this study are more easily standardized among practices. Finally, measurement of range of motion requires that the patient return the office for follow-up examinations, inducing potential selection bias in the returning population. This factor is particularly important in the practice studied in this report, because the practice spans a large geographic area. An additional rationale for using patient self-assessment in this study was to demonstrate the usefulness of patient self-assessment tools. Such tools offer individual practicing physicians a practical and inexpensive method to evaluate scientifically the efficacy of their patient treatment plans.

The SF-36 yielded some important and somewhat unexpected results. This general health self-assessment tool found deficits in these patients with frozen shoulder, even though none of the questions of the SF-36 refer specifically to the shoulder. In contrast with previous implications in the literature suggesting that patients with frozen shoulders have a periarthritic personality, the patients in this population were not particularly

---

Table 2. Initial and Follow-up Results for Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) Health Status Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SF-36 Domain</th>
<th>Control Score*</th>
<th>Mean (CI)†</th>
<th>P Value‡</th>
<th>Mean (CI)</th>
<th>P Value§</th>
<th>Change in Score (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physician function</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>72 (67,77)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>81 (75,88)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>10 (05,15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social function</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>76 (67,85)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>87 (80,94)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>11 (04,19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role function: physical</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>44 (31,57)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>74 (62,87)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>30 (17,44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role function: emotional</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>76 (64,87)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>79 (67,91)</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>02 (-11,15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>71 (65,77)</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>74 (68,80)</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>03 (-03,08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality (energy/fatigue)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>56 (49,62)</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>63 (55,70)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>07 (00,14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfort (bodily pain)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>46 (40,52)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>71 (63,78)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>25 (17,33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73 (67,80)</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>69 (62,76)</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>-05 (-10,01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: First data column displays average scores for each of eight SF-36 domains from previously published data for age- and sex-matched-controls. The next three columns display scores for our patients at time of initial examination, with the significance for difference from control values. The next three columns show scores for patients at follow-up with significance for difference from initial scores. Final pair of columns shows net change in each of SF-36 scores along with respective confidence intervals.

*Mean SF-36 scores of age- and sex-matched control population.
†95% confidence intervals (t test).
‡P value that initial scores do not differ from scores of age- and sex-matched controls (t test).
§P value that follow-up scores are unchanged in pairwise compared with initial examination (t test).
compromised in their self-assessed mental health. Finally, a simple home program for frozen shoulder was associated with a reversal of almost all of the pretreatment SF-36 deficits.

This study has some limitations. First, the patient cohort might not be representative of the patients with frozen shoulder in the general population. That they were referred to a shoulder consultant could suggest their shoulders were more severely involved than those who might seek care from a family physician. On the other hand, because they were not initially selected for more aggressive management (manipulation or surgical release), their shoulders might have been less severely involved. It would be difficult in a primary care practice to accumulate sufficient numbers of patients with frozen shoulder to gather any meaningful data. Our hope is that the readers will be able to use the inclusion criteria, along with the recorded age, sex, initial shoulder function, and overall health status, for this group of patients to recognize similar patients in their practice.35

Second, it proved impractical to characterize the nature and extent of each patient’s treatment of frozen shoulder before that patient came to our service. The extent of pretreatment varied from none to intensive physical therapy with all gradations in between.

Third, this patient population did not contain sufficient numbers of patients who had diabetes, were smokers, or had other comorbidities to evaluate the influence of these attributes on the initial status or on the response to treatment.

Fourth, we did not contrast the results of this cohort with a matched series of patients receiving no treatment to determine whether our result differed from the natural history of the disease. The findings are nonetheless useful, indicating that for many patients with frozen shoulder, a trial of home stretching often results in major improvements, sparing many from more aggressive, expensive, and higher risk treatments.

Figure 2. Graph showing the initial and follow-up Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) scores for the patients and the average scores of an age- and sex-matched control population.
Fifth, the number of patients failing to respond to the home program was insufficient to determine common characteristics among them.

Sixth, the degree to which each patient complied with the home program was not measured in this study. Thus the effect of patient compliance on the result is not defined.

Although it has been previously recognized that many patients with frozen shoulder improve with nonoperative management, the existing literature does not document the effectiveness of a simple and economical home management program in improving the patients' assessment of their shoulder function and health status. Now, with these results in hand, we can recommend such a program with confidence for patients comparable to those in this study. Intensive physical therapy, therapy modalities, injections, manipulations, and surgical releases can be reserved for patients proving refractory to such a regimen.

A final, important aspect of this study is that it offers a rigorous, yet practical method by which an individual physician can determine the management efficacy of a wide variety of shoulder conditions. Validated functional tests for other body parts will allow expansion of this method of assessing functional outcomes to the treatment of a variety of common musculoskeletal complaints. We hope this approach of using self-assessment questionnaires will put effectiveness measurement within the reach of even the busiest physicians.
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