

## EDITORS' NOTE

# Lingering Impact of COVID-19, Preventive Care Considerations, and US Health System Challenges

Marjorie A. Bowman, MD, MPA, Dean A. Seebusen, MD, MPH, and Christy J. W. Ledford, PhD

**This issue includes articles on the lingering impact of COVID-19, often negative but occasionally positive, on patients, treatment, practices, and health care personnel. Other articles inform on prevention, such as awareness of lung cancer screening among women undergoing screening mammography; failures on sports preparticipation physicals; advance care planning as prevention; and screening for social risk factors. Another article reports on patient experiences of legal recreational cannabis in Washington State. There is a review of perinatal depression recognition and treatment. Two articles separately identify the difficulties of the congressionally created Medicare Advantage & Pharmaceutical Benefit Managers. (J Am Board Fam Med 2023;36:879–882.)**

## COVID-19/Post-COVID-19

COVID-19 has not yet left us. We had hoped, but COVID-19 lingers. Several articles illustrate the good, the bad, and the ugly for patients and practices. COVID-19 was expensive. Researchers count the cost, not just in dollars, but in lives and emotional well-being of patients and clinicians.

Substantial costs and health issues continue far beyond an initial COVID-19 illness, as verified by the work of Khan et al.<sup>1</sup> The costs are staggering. Pandemic-related loneliness can be deadly, as discussed in a reflection named “Friendship as Medicine,”<sup>2</sup> which notes “loneliness is the new smoking,” referencing The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) report on the topic.

The pandemic’s impact on medical practices was tremendous. In a 2022 Virginia state-wide study, there were increases in practices owned by health systems (25% vs 43%,  $P < .0001$ ) compared with 2018.<sup>3</sup> How much of this resulted from practice stresses is unclear. Yet, practices reporting any major stressor also increased from 34% to 53% ( $P < .0001$ ) in the same time frame. Further, a Council of Academic Family Medicine’s Educational Research Alliance (CERA) survey<sup>4</sup> of clinicians within the allied organizations elucidates the extent and amount of family physician distress as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Cook et al<sup>5</sup> provide interesting electronic

health record (EHR) data from the OCHIN multi-state network of 18 community-based clinics from before the pandemic and over the next 4 years. There were multiple changes in types of patients and in-person versus telehealth visits, in primary care versus mental health visits, and number of visits. The influence of available staff as a possible factor was not reported. Not only were there many aspects to the stress, the clinicians’ resulting plans for change—and the frequency of those plans—are also quite distressing for the future of the public’s health.

Some practices also report successes in COVID-19 responses. One Department of Family and Community Medicine had high rates of COVID-19 vaccination among patients with immunocompromising diagnoses (mostly solid-tumor cancers or HIV) with 2/3 receiving  $\geq 3$  vaccine doses. (Of note, 1 of the authors is an investigator in a trial of vaccines.) However, disparities remained within the sample.<sup>6</sup> A rural family medicine office<sup>7</sup> shares how it *increased* the colon cancer screening rate of their patients despite the pandemic. A family medicine residency program also reports how it responded to<sup>8</sup> increases in behavioral health needs during the pandemic.

## Prevention

In a reminder to clinicians, Yogendran et al<sup>9</sup> report a lack of completed interventions for women at high risk for breast cancer who could benefit from intensified mammography screening or chemoprophylaxis.

*Conflict of interest:* The authors are editors of the *JABFM*.

Embedded in the article is a lesson on which women are considered at high risk. Further, Jarvis and colleagues<sup>10</sup> remind us that we should not assume that women have high confidence in the ability of mammograms to detect cancer, in their review of the factors associated with lower confidence in mammograms.

Many patients eligible for breast cancer screening should also get lung cancer screening, but do not. Participants from multiple health care systems in one state provided input on lung cancer screening implementation, noting multiple barriers, such as a lack of office coordination and difficulties for patients accessing the screening financially or geographically.<sup>11</sup>

Within one school district, about a quarter of the students failed their preparticipation sports examinations, primarily for 1 of 2 reasons yet the follow-up was unknown.<sup>12</sup> What happens to these students? Do they end up not participating in sports?

While advance care planning may not seem like a typical preventive care item, perhaps it should be, as it is designed to meet patient desires and prevent end-of-life emotional turmoil for individuals and others important to them. Roberts et al<sup>13</sup> trialed an online version of Advance Care Planning and found some patients appreciate the opportunity and will use it. The authors provide a summary of the patients' preferences for location and type of care and note some differences by self-reported race. Of note, this computer program is proprietary, and this is the initial reporting of its potential.

Lucas et al<sup>14</sup> highlight a problem for which the goal should be prevention—the original identification of wheezing in young children and the number who develop asthma over time. Some who did not have wheezing did develop wheezing asthma after age 4. This work also highlights the large number of children who develop asthma. The study was completed through the ADVANCE Clinical Research Network and included more than 70,000 patients from US Community Health Centers. The article's topic raises another question: how can the development of asthma be prevented? What is the difference for those who wheeze at a young age and do not develop asthma and those that do? One thought is that environmental improvements and changes in animal exposure both internal and external to the home could make a difference.

Dai et al<sup>15</sup> tackle the complexities of identifying and studying the impacts of physician continuity of

care on preventable hospitalizations and costs, using the Virginia all-payers claim database for patients of all ages. The authors distinguish physician continuity of care from patient continuity of care, a more common measure.

Increasingly, practices are screening patients for social risk factors. De Marchis et al<sup>16</sup> report the experience with regular social risk factor screening at community health centers based on surveys and interviews. The results suggest what could enhance these processes and potentially improve outcomes for patients.

### **US Health System Cost Issues—Medicare Advantage & Pharmaceutical Benefit Managers**

Two articles examine problems in the US health system related to Medicare Advantage (MA) and Pharmaceutical Benefit Managers (PBMs). The current MA carve-out private plans include risk-based plans.<sup>17</sup> The editors suspect that this type of health insurance does not feel like an “advantage” to many family physicians who care for patients enrolled in Medicare Advantage due to low reimbursement, nor to the high number of patients disenrolling, with denials or limited networks being major complaints. Adashi and colleagues<sup>18</sup> also provide a thorough update on current status of PBMs, another type of entity enabled by federal laws, with limited national competition (only 3 national PBMs), while associated with increasing pharmaceutical costs.

### **Self- and Prescribed Treatments for Physical or Mental Pain**

Addressing pain is a major part of practice for family medicine clinicians. For example, low back pain is common and often vexing to both patients and clinicians. Joyce et al<sup>19</sup> reviewed low back pain management by primary care physicians, considering severity and consistency with guidelines.

Cannabis is increasingly a pain management option for patients. Ford et al<sup>20</sup> investigated patient experiences in Washington State, a state that has legalized recreational cannabis. Their report includes information on the type of cannabis products were used, frequency of use, and concurrent tobacco use. Further, an interesting aspect of the

results is the perception of the usefulness of cannabis in relationship to the person's reason for use.

An updated review of guidelines, systematic reviews, clinical trials, and/or observational studies of perinatal depression covers much literature to provide clinicians with important helpful information on recognition and treatment.<sup>21</sup> Appropriate treatment needs to account for the health of both the mother and child.

### Clinical Practice and Personnel

Given that behavioral health training is required, integrated behavioral health (IBH) in family medicine residency sites was investigated by Filippi et al.<sup>22</sup> Using a multi-method approach, this study highlighted a lack of standardization in training or collaboration. Participants concurrently agreed on critical elements for sustainability.

Music is often used by individuals to improve their emotional health. Schoonover et al<sup>23</sup> extend the benefits in an article on the feasibility of an innovative use of virtual music therapy for substance use disorders by the authors that elicited patient perceptions as well as outcome measures of the music therapy program for SUD in a community health center. Feasibility was measured by implementation measures, attendance, and use of technology. Mood scores, substance use, and craving were measured before and after the intervention.

With support from the American Medical Association (AMA) Practice Transformation Initiative, O'Connell et al<sup>24</sup> illuminate the reasons why some family physicians continue, and some leave, practice.

The employment of physician assistants and nurse practitioners in family medicine is both very common and of high interest. Carek et al<sup>25</sup> present the results of a survey of Family Medicine Chairs concerning the employment of physician assistants and nurse practitioners within their departments. More than half of the departments reported they employed 1 or more types of advanced care providers, primarily to provide patient care and specifically to fill in gaps in access. The authors provide other intriguing details and thoughts.

To see this article online, please go to: <http://jabfm.org/content/36/6/879.full>.

### References

1. Khan T, Tsipis S, Wozniak GD, Kirley K, Mainous III. AG. Health care costs following COVID-19 hospitalization prior to vaccine availability. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:883–891.
2. Silk H. Friendship as medicine. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:1020–1022.
3. Britz JB, Huffstetler AN, Brooks EM, et al. Increased organizational stress in primary care: understanding the impact of COVID-19 pandemic, Medicaid expansion, and practice ownership. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:892–904.
4. Brazeau CMLR, Chen P-H, Morley CP, Olson K. Impact of COVID-19 on American family physicians' intent to retreat from clinical care. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:905–915.
5. Cook N, McGrath BM, Navale SM, et al. Care delivery in community health centers before, during, and after the COVID-19 pandemic (2019–2022). *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:916–926.
6. Lazarevic B, Casola AR, Chambers CV. COVID-19 mRNA vaccination trends among immunocompromised patients. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:927–932.
7. Klugas A, Elsayed S, Rodriguez M, Verma S, Bateman A, Stack M. Effects of academic detailing, panel management and mailed multi-target stool-DNA testing on colorectal cancer screening. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:933–941.
8. Filippi MK, Nederveld A, Williams MD, et al. Integrated behavioral health adaptations during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:1023–1028.
9. Yogendran L, Meis L, Burnside E, Schrager S. Management of women at high risk for breast cancer. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:1029–1032.
10. Jarvis H, Mi L, Patel B, Cube RP, Pruthi S, Vegunta S. Factors influencing patient confidence in screening mammography. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:942–951.
11. Golden SE, Currier JJ, Ramalingam N, et al. Primary care providers experiences implementing low-dose computed tomography recommendations for lung cancer screening. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:952–965.
12. Bhardwaj N, Pierre GS, Halloran AP, et al. Failure to participate in sports: an evaluation of preparticipation physicals in a local school district. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:1033–1037.
13. Roberts RL, Mohan DP, Cherry KD, et al. Deployment of a digital advance care planning platform at an accountable care organization. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:966–975.
14. Lucas JA, Marino M, Trivedi M, et al. Association of early childhood wheeze and asthma diagnosis documentation by race, ethnicity, and language in children. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:1038–1042.
15. Dai M, Morgan ZRK, Bortz B, Peterson L, Bazemore A. Physician-level continuity of care and

- patient outcomes in all-payer claims database. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:976–985.
16. De Marchis EH, Aceves B, Razon N, Chang Weir R, Jester M, Gottlieb LM. “Wanting the best for our folks” — a mixed methods analysis of community health center social risk screening initiatives. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:817–831.
  17. Adashi EY, O’Mahony DP, Cohen IG. Medicare advantage: growth amidst mounting scrutiny. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:1062–1064.
  18. Adashi EY, O’Mahony DP, Guzik DS. Pharmacy benefit managers in the eye of the storm: growing multipartite scrutiny. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:1065–1067.
  19. Joyce CT, Roseen EJ, Smith CN, et al. A cluster analysis of initial primary care orders for patients with acute low back pain. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:986–995.
  20. Ford MA, Lapham GT, Matson TE, Luce C, Oliver MM, Binswanger IA. Comparing cannabis use for pain to use for other reasons in primary care patients. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:996–1007.
  21. Dama MH, Van Lieshout RJ. Perinatal depression: a guide to detection and management in primary care. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:1071–1086.
  22. Filippi MK, Waxmonsky JA, Williams MD, et al. Integrated behavioral health implementation and training in primary care: a practice-based research network study. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:1008–1019.
  23. Schoonover J, Rossetti A, Jacobs A, Rubin SE. Virtual music therapy for substance use disorders in a federally qualified health center. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:1043–1049.
  24. O’Connell R, Hosain F, Colucci L, Nath B, Melnick ER. Why do physicians depart their practice? a qualitative study of attrition in a multispecialty ambulatory practice network. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:1050–1057.
  25. Williams M, Carek SM, Mainous AG, III, Malaty J, Naous J, Carek PJ. Advanced practice providers in departments of family medicine: status, supervision, and services. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2023;36:1058–1061.