Direct-to-Consumer Prescription Drug Advertising and Patient-Provider Interactions

Helen W. Sullivan, PhD, MPH, Kathryn J. Aikin, PhD, Jennifer Berktold, PhD, Karen L. Stein, PhD, and Victoria J. Hoverman, PhD

Background: Direct-to-consumer prescription drug advertising is prevalent and affects patient care. Previous research that examined its effect on the patient-provider relationship predates many changes in the advertising and medical landscape that have occurred in the last decade, such as the rise in online promotion and the push for value-based medicine.

Methods: We conducted a nationally representative mail-push-to-web survey of 1744 US adults in 2017 to explore how patients view the effects of direct-to-consumer prescription drug advertising on patient-provider interactions.

Results: Most respondents (76%) said they were likely to ask a health care provider about advertised drugs; 26% said they had already done so. Among the 26% of respondents who talked to a health care provider about a specific prescription drug they saw advertised, 16% said they received a prescription for the advertised drug. Few respondents (5%) reported that advertising had caused conflict with a health care provider, 16% said it had caused them to question their provider's advice, and 23% said they were likely to look for a different provider if their provider refused to prescribe a requested brand name drug.

Discussion: These results suggest that direct-to-consumer advertising is driving some patients to discuss specific products with their health care providers but that most patients do not believe advertising has a negative influence on the patient-provider interaction itself. (J Am Board Fam Med 2020;33:279–283.)

Keywords: Direct-to-Consumer Advertising, Health Personnel, Patient Care, Prescription Drugs, Surveys and Questionnaires

Direct-to-consumer advertising of prescription drugs (DTCA) is prevalent in the United States, with the amount spent on this advertising rising into the billions of dollars per year.¹ This advertising is known to affect patient care.² For instance, recent studies have found that DTCA increased the use of antide-pressants,³ testosterone testing and use,⁴ high-choles-terol diagnosis and statin use in low-risk patients,⁵ prescribing of a smoking cessation product before safety issues were identified,⁶ and asthma medication prescription sales and asthma-related emergency

This article was externally peer reviewed.

room visits.7 A recent systematic review of the literature on the effects of DTCA on patient-provider interactions⁸ identified both potential benefits, such as patients feeling more confident in their discussions with providers,9 and potential harms, such as patients reporting that DTCA led them to request prescription drugs.¹⁰ A limitation of this review is that most of the included studies were more than a decade old. As an example, the US Food and Drug Administration, which oversees DTCA to ensure it is truthful, balanced, and accurately communicated,¹¹ last surveyed patients about the influence of DTCA on their interactions with health care providers (HCPs) in 2002.¹² Declines in print readership, the rise in online promotion, and Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America guidelines have greatly altered DTCA in the last decade. Given the continued debate over DTCA,13 we examined how it currently affects patient-provider interactions from a patient perspective.

Submitted 7 August 2019; revised 10 December 2019; accepted 15 December 2019.

From the US Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD (HWS, KJA); Westat, Rockville, MD (JB, KLS, VJH).

Funding: Funding was provided by the Office of Prescription Drug Promotion, US Food and Drug Administration.

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

Corresponding author: Helen W. Sullivan, PhD, MPH, US Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Silver Spring, MD 20993 (E-mail: Helen.sullivan@fda.hhs.gov).



Methods

We conducted the National Survey of Health Information and Communication from October 10, 2017 to December 27, 2017. An address-based sample was drawn from the United States Postal Service Computerized Delivery Sequence File, which is comprehensive with good geographic detail, containing close to 100% of United States households. This allowed us to draw a probabilitybased, nationally representative sample of US households. We used a mail-push-to-web approach, initially inviting participants to complete the survey online, with a paper survey follow-up for nonresponders¹⁴ (see Figure 1). We used the Hagen-Collier within-household sampling scheme to identify a random adult (18 years of age or older) to complete the survey.¹⁵ The web portion of the survey was programmed in Verint and hosted by Westat. The mailed materials invited Spanish-language speakers to call a toll-free number to complete the survey in Spanish on the telephone. Respondents were provided a \$2 cash incentive in the first mailing that invited them to complete the survey.

A total of 7232 addresses were sampled and released for data collection. Of those addresses, a total of 1744 adults completed the survey, 435 were postal nondeliverables, 5023 did not respond, 28 refused, and 2 were ineligible. The response rate was 28%. Table 1 shows the demographics of the sample, although note that the results are based on the weighted, nationally representative responses.

The survey asked respondents about their experiences with and attitudes toward DTCA. The initial pool of items included many items from previous surveys. Items were refined or deleted to create the final survey instrument based on a rigorous multistage design and development process, including an expert review panel, cognitive interviews, and a pretest with participants drawn by address-based sampling (n = 23). Here, we report weighted frequencies. Weighting compensates for differential probabilities of selection, reduces biases due to differential nonresponse, and makes the estimates consistent with external population totals that are known from other sources. We used a classical design-based approach for weighting,

Table 1. Unweighted Demographic Characteristics of Respondents to the National Survey of Health Information and Communication, 2017 (N = 1,744)

Demographic	No. of Respondents	% of Respondents
Total	1,744	100.0
Age		
18 to 34	262	15.3
35 to 54	462	27.0
55 to 64	419	24.5
65 or older	570	33.3
Sex		
Male	691	40.4
Female	1,018	59.6
Race/Ethnicity		
White, non-Hispanic	1,253	71.8
Black, non-Hispanic	99	5.7
Hispanic	101	5.8
Other	183	10.5
Refused	108	6.2
Education		
Less than high school	45	2.7
High school diploma or the equivalent	280	17.0
Some college but not degree	354	21.5
Associate degree in college	183	11.1
Bachelor's degree	456	27.7
Advanced or postgraduate degree	327	19.9
Have prescription drug coverage with health insurance		
Yes	1,448	87.9
No	104	6.3
No health insurance	95	5.8

12.4

Question or Statement	Weighted Percentage of Answer					
	Very Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Neither Likely Nor Unlikely	Somewhat Likely	Very Likely	
If you saw or heard an ad for a drug that treats a medical condition that was bothering you, how likely would you be to talk to your health care provider about the drug?	8.2	5.6	9.7	36.2	40.3	
If a health care provider refused to prescribe a brand name drug you asked for, how likely would you be to look for a different health care provider?	33.4	15.4	27.9	18.0	5.3	
	Disagree strongly	Disagree somewhat	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree somewhat	Agree strongly	
Ads for prescription drugs help me have better discussions with my health care provider about my health.	15.5	12.2	38.0	25.9	8.4	

18.0

Table 2. Questions about Patient-Provider Interactions from the National Survey of Health Information and Communication, 2017 (N = 1,744)

beginning with base weights that are the inverse of the probability of selection, and including nonresponse and demographic weights. The demographic control totals used in the raking used American Community Survey data as the benchmark.

22.2

Results

See Tables 2 and 3 for all results.

Ads for prescription drugs make

it seem like a doctor is not needed to decide whether a drug is right for me.

Patient Requests and Patient-Reported Prescribing for Prescription Drugs

Most respondents (76%) reported that they were likely to talk to their HCP about a prescription drug based on advertising. Approximately one-quarter of respondents (26%) reported that they had already done so. Of those, one-third (33%) asked their HCP to prescribe the advertised drug. Among the 26% of respondents who talked about a specific prescription drug they saw advertised, 16% said they received a prescription for the advertised drug. This means that approximately 4% of all respondents received a specific prescription drug they discussed with their HCP because they saw it advertised.

Positive Effects of DTCA

Approximately one-third of respondents (34%) agreed prescription drug ads helped them have

better discussions with their HCP. Some respondents reported prescription drug advertising led them to talk with an HCP about a new medical condition (20%), their symptoms (35%), and drug side effects they experienced (27%).

22.4

Negative Effects of DTCA

25.0

Although few respondents reported that advertising had caused conflict with an HCP (5%), 16% said it had caused them to question their HCP's advice, and 23% said they were likely to look for a different HCP if their HCP refused to prescribe a requested brand name drug. Approximately one-third of participants (35%) agreed that prescription drug ads make it seem like a doctor is not needed when deciding if a prescription drug is right for them to take.

Discussion

As DTCA promotion has grown over the past few decades, its positive and negative influences on health care have been debated.¹⁶ This nationally representative survey updates the literature by providing insight into how patients currently view the effects of this advertising on prescribing and their interactions with HCPs.

Table 3. Questions about Patient-Provider Interactions from the National Survey of Health Information and Communication, 2017 (N = 1,744)

Question or Statement		Weighted Percentage of Answer		
		No	Do Not Know	
As a result of seeing or hearing an ad for a prescription drug, have you ever talked with a health care provider about a <u>medical condition or illness</u> that you had not talked to a health care provider about before?	20.1	71.1	8.8	
As a result of seeing or hearing an ad for a prescription drug, have you ever talked with a health care provider about a <u>specific prescription drug</u> you saw or heard advertised?	26.1	70.0	3.9	
If yes*: Did you specifically ask a health care provider to prescribe the drug you saw or heard advertised?	32.5	63.5	4.0	
If yes*: Did the health care provider				
Recommend lifestyle changes, such as getting more exercise or changes in diet.	60.0	N/A	N/A	
Give you a prescription for the drug you saw or heard advertised.	16.1	N/A	N/A	
Give you a prescription for a different drug.	21.9	N/A	N/A	
Not give you any prescription at all.	42.6	N/A	N/A	
Prescription drug advertising has caused me to:				
Talk with my health care provider about symptoms I've experienced.	34.6	65.4	N/A	
Talk with my health care provider about drug side effects I've experienced.	27.4	72.6	N/A	
Question the advice of my health care provider.	16.0	84.0	N/A	
Experience conflict with a health care provider.	4.8	95.2	N/A	

*These questions were asked of the subset of respondents who answered "yes" to the question "As a result of seeing or hearing an ad for a prescription drug, have you ever talked with a health care provider about a <u>specific prescription drug</u> you saw or heard advertised?" Percentages reflect the weighted proportion of the subset who gave each response.

Consistent with previous surveys, this survey found that DTCA prompts some patients to discuss medical conditions and request advertised drugs.8 However, a providers' willingness to prescribe patient-requested drugs may have decreased over time. In 2002, 23% of respondents who saw a provider in the last 3 months asked whether there was a prescription drug to treat them, and 49% of them were given the prescription drug they asked about.¹² In 2017, the majority of respondents (60%) who asked their HCP about an advertised drug reported that their HCP recommended lifestyle changes such as diet and exercise to them, whereas only 16% of respondents reported that their HCP prescribed the advertised prescription drug. Although the two surveys are not directly comparable, this suggests a decrease in the number of patients receiving requested drugs. Moreover, a refusal to prescribe an advertised prescription drug did not seem to have overtly adverse effects on the patient-provider relationship, such as motivating respondents to look for a different health care provider. These findings may reflect a shift in the larger health care paradigm toward formularies and value-based medicine, in which HCPs are focused on improving patients'

health by providing cost-effective, quality care, while balancing patient satisfaction.¹⁷

Some respondents reported that DTCA improved discussions and prompted conversations about symptoms and drug side effects. Others reported negative effects on the patient-provider interaction, like questioning advice. These findings demonstrate that, despite changes to the DTCA landscape, patients are still experiencing the positive and negative effects of DTCA that have been debated for decades.¹³

A few limitations should be noted. First, in keeping with the decline in survey response rates,¹⁸ this survey had a low response rate. This survey used weighting to address the potential for nonresponse bias. Second, the survey reflects only one side of the patient-provider interaction: the patient's. The survey does not explain the reasoning behind the HCPs' prescribing decisions.^{19,20} These data should be considered along with HCP surveys and studies that link patient requests to prescribing rates.²¹ Finally, like other surveys of this topic, this survey collected retrospective accounts of patientprovider interactions; respondents may have misremembered the impact DTCA had on their discussions with their HCPs. Research that examines the

the doctor-patient relationship. J Am Board Fam Pract. 2004;17:6-18. 10. Lee D, Begley CE. Racial and ethnic disparities in response to direct-to-consumer advertising. Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2010;67:1185-1190. 11. US Food and Drug Administration. The Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP). https:// www.fda.gov/about-fda/center-drug-evaluation-andresearch-cder/office-prescription-drug-promotionopdp. Updated November 4, 2019. Accessed November 21, 2019. 12. Aikin KJ, Swasy JL, Braman AC. Patient and physician attitudes and behaviors associated with DTC promotion of prescription drugs-summary of FDA survey research results. Washington, DC: Food and Drug Administration; 2004.

- Parekh N, Shrank WH. Dangers and opportunities of direct-to-consumer advertising. J Gen Intern Med. 2018;33:586–587.
- 14. Dillman DA, Smyth JD, Christian LM. Internet, phone, mail, and mixed-mode surveys: the tailored design method. 4th ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons; 2014.
- Gaziano C. Comparative analysis of within-household respondent selection techniques. Public Opin Q. 2005;69:124–157.
- Ventola CL. Direct-to-consumer pharmaceutical advertising: therapeutic or toxic? Pharm Ther. 2011;36:669–674, 681–684.
- 17. Bae J. Value-based medicine: concepts and application. Epidemiol Health. 2015;37: e2015014.
- National Research Council. Tourangeau R, Plewes TJ, editors. Nonresponse in social science surveys: a research agenda. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2013.
- Campbell EG, Pham-Kanter G, Vogeli C, Iezzoni LI. Physician acquiescence to patient demands for brand-name drugs: results of a national survey of physicians. JAMA Intern Med. 2013;173:237–239.
- Menchik DA, Jin L. When do doctors follow patients' orders? Organizational mechanisms of physician influence. Soc Sci Res. 2014;48:171–184.
- Murshid MA, Mohaidin Z, Yen Nee G. The influence patient's characteristics "requests and expectations" on physician prescribing behavior: a review. Int J Pharm Health Mark. 2016;10:390–411.
- McKinlay JB, Trachtenberg F, Marceau LD, Katz JN, Fischer MA. Effects of patient medication requests on physician prescribing behavior: results of a factorial experiment. Med Care. 2014;52:294– 299.
- 23. Ortiz SE, Rosenthal MB. Medical marketing, trust, and the patient-physician relationship. JAMA. 2019;321:40–41.

patient-provider interaction itself, by recording real-life interactions, for example, would provide a more accurate and thorough understanding of the effects of DTCA.²²

DTCA is atypical compared to other types of advertising because HCPs are a required intermediary for patients to gain access to the product. This unique circumstance provides opportunities and challenges for HCPs seeking to provide optimal care to their patients.²³ Consideration of patient attitudes toward DTCA and its potential impact on the patient-provider relationship could help HCPs, pharmaceutical companies, patients, and other stake-holders to foster good health outcomes.

To see this article online, please go to: http://jabfm.org/content/ 33/2/279.full.

References

- Schwartz LM, Woloshin S. Medical marketing in the United States, 1997–2016. JAMA. 2019;321:80– 96.
- Mintzes B. Advertising of prescription-only medicines to the public: does evidence of benefit counterbalance harm? Annu Rev Public Health. 2012;33:259–277.
- 3. Avery RJ, Eisenberg MD, Simon KI. The impact of direct-to-consumer television and magazine advertising on antidepressant use. J Health Econ. 2012;31:705–718.
- Layton JB, Kim Y, Alexander GC, Emery SL. Association between direct-to-consumer advertising and testosterone testing and initiation in the United States, 2009–2013. JAMA. 2017;317:1159–1166.
- Niederdeppe J, Byrne S, Avery RJ, Cantor J. Direct-to-consumer television advertising exposure, diagnosis with high cholesterol, and statin use. J Gen Intern Med. 2013;28:886–893.
- Kim Y, Kornfield R, Shi Y, et al. Effects of televised direct-to-consumer advertising for varenicline on prescription dispensing in the United States, 2006– 2009. Nicotine Tob Res. 2016;18:1180–1187.
- Daubresse M, Hutfless S, Kim Y, et al. Effect of direct-to-consumer advertising on asthma medication sales and healthcare use. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2015;192:40–46.
- 8. DeFrank JT, Berkman ND, Kahwati L, Cullen K, Aikin KJ, Sullivan HW. Direct-to-consumer advertising of prescription drugs and the patient-prescriber encounter: a systematic review. Health Commun. 2019;1–8.
- 9. Murray E, Lo B, Pollack L, Donelan K, Lee K. Direct-to-consumer advertising: public perceptions of its effects on health behaviors, health care, and