Correspondence

Re: Physician Burnout and Higher Clinic Capacity to Address Patients' Social Needs

To the Editor: Physician burnout is a critical issue that may affect patient safety¹ and deserves appropriate attention across policy levels. The rate of reported physician burnout has increased in the past decade,² likely due, at least in part, to the advent of value based care, and the increased burden of documentation and reporting of quality metrics, for instance.

We read with interest the recent study³ that examined the association between physician burnout and the medical practices' capability to address social determinants of health (SDoH)-related barriers. We would like to offer a few additional comments that may support addressing this multipronged issue from a data and analytics perspective.

Having access to staff support to address SDoH-related challenges is important but only 1 part of the equation. For example, identifying an SDoH-related barrier is not always straightforward during the office visit. While SDoH information is now available from public resources as well as third-party commercial entities, provider-facing population health platforms reporting SDoH insights are only in their infancy. There are many reasons behind this.

Aggregating the information from public data are challenging as the information is dispersed among many resources. Its value is further limited by the fact that the data are typically available only at the group level, such as by ZIP code. However, some commercial sources do report SDoH at the individual patient level. The challenge here is the sensitivity of this information, which is sometimes perceived to be even more sensitive than health care data itself.

Even though patient privacy remains a concern, there are ways to blind the user from very detailed individual SDoH information. One very promising option is to use that data to inform predictive risk models. Such models would only report on the risk of a particular SDoH barrier (eg, transportation, housing, food, and access to care) that would impact future need for care. SDoH-informed predic-

tive models would only consume but not disclose specific and sensitive information (such as income, financial debt levels, or criminal history) to providers.

Another advantage to using SDoH-informed predictive models is to enable physicians and their support staff to gain greater actionable insights from SDoH data. For example, individual bits of data about a patient's income level or home address may not seem relevant to a patient's care and therefore become yet more data the physician needs to wade through. Conversely, when a physician sees an elevated aggregated SDoH risk score for a patient, it could encourage conversation between the patient and physician, which may lead to greater patient engagement and a physician focused more on the patient than the data.

SDoH are top of mind in US health care today, as increased awareness and policy changes could potentially help address disparities in health care, reduce overall health care costs, and ultimately improve patient health outcomes. Using appropriately aggregated data may help facilitate applicable interventions. As De Marchis et al³ argue, it is now apparent that patients are not the only ones who may benefit from data-driven social and health interventions. Physicians will benefit as well, as having access to SDoH driven insights may reduce physician burnout and help restore the joy of medicine to many practicing providers.

Patricia Ingerick, MBA, MSHS Geneia LLC, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania pingerick@geneia.com Aurel O. Iuga, MD MBA, MPH, CMQ

To see this article online, please go to: http://jabfm.org/content/32/5/000.full.

References

- Panagioti M, Geraghty K, Johnson J, et al. Association Between Physician Burnout and Patient Safety, Professionalism, and Patient Satisfaction: A Systematic Review and Metanalysis. JAMA Intern Med 2018;178:1317–30.
- The Physicians Foundation. The Physicians Foundation 2018 Physician Survey. Available from: https://physicians foundation.org/research-insights/the-physicians-foundation-2018-physician-survey/. Retrieved on March 19, 2019.

- 3. De Marchis E, Knox M, Hessler D, et al. Physician burnout and higher clinic capacity to address patients' social needs. J Am Board Fam Med 2019;32:69-78.
- 4. Thornton RL, Glover CM, Cené CW, Glik DC, Henderson JA, Williams DR. Evaluating strategies for reducing health disparities by addressing the social determinants of health. Health Aff (Millwood) 2016;35:1416-23.

doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2019.05.190122

The above letter was referred to the author of the article in question, who offers the following reply.

Response: Re: Physician Burnout and Higher Clinic Capacity to Address Patients' Social Needs

To the Editor: We thank Ingerick and Iuga for their interest in our work on provider burnout.1 Our findings suggested that family physicians working in clinical settings equipped to address patients' social risk factors had lower odds of reporting burnout symptoms. The results highlight an underexplored rationale for bolstering clinic-based social services: these services may decrease clinician burnout. Clinic-level strategies to collect and respond to social risk dataand the multi-level impacts of these strategies—should be the focus of future research.

> Emilia H. De Marchis, MD, MAS Department of Family & Community Medicine, University of California-San Francisco, San Francisco, CA emilia.demarchis@ucsf.edu Danielle Hessler, PhD Laura M. Gottlieb, MD, MPH

To see this article online, please go to: http://jabfm.org/content/ 32/5/000.full.

Reference

1. De Marchis EH, Knox M, Hessler D, et al. Perceived clinic capacity to address patients' social needs and family physician burnout. J Am Board Fam Med 2018;32:69-78.

Funding Statement: This publication was supported by a fellowship training grant by the National Research Service Award (NRSA) T32HP19025. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not represent the official views of NRSA.

doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2019.05.190219

Re: Signs and Symptoms That Rule Out Community-Acquired Pneumonia in **Outpatient Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis**

To the Editor: Dr. Marchello et al¹ make an important contribution to clinical medicine and patient care by creating evidence for how to combine key clinical findings to confidently position the possibility of pneumonia in adults further down in the differential diagnosis in patients with

acute respiratory illness. Although their findings seem to ring true from clinical experience, their clinical tool requires prospective validation per the authors.

While most family physicians currently do not have point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS), the majority will in the future. Use of pocket ultrasound is becoming common among medical students, training in family medicine residencies is increasing, and POCUS continued medical education training is very accessible. Point-ofcare lung ultrasound (POCLUS) is more reliable than a chest radiograph to rule in or rule out pneumonia in adults and children.^{2,3,4,5} The author's suggested future prospective research might also include a research arm to evaluate the potential additive benefit of combining POCLUS with their clinical decision-making tool.

Respectfully submitted,

Louis A. Kazal, Jr., MD, FAAFP Associate Professor, Department of Community and Family Medicine, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, New Hampshire louis.a.kazal@dartmouth.edu

To see this article online, please go to: http://jabfm.org/content/ 32/5/000.full.

References

- 1. Marchello CS, Ebell MH, Dale AP, Harvill ET, Shen Y, Whalen CS. Signs and symptoms that rule out communityacquired pneumonia in outpatient adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Board Fam Med March 2019;32:
- 2. Kaysin A, Viera AJ. Adults: diagnosis and management. Am Fam Physician 2016;94:698-706.
- 3. Ye X, Xiao H, Chen B, Zhang S. Accuracy of lung ultrasonography versus chest radiography for the diagnosis of adult community-acquired pneumonia: review of the literature and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2015;10:e0130066.
- 4. Chavez MA, Shams N, Ellington LE, et al. Lung ultrasound for the diagnosis of pneumonia in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Respir Res 2014;15:50.
- 5. Samson F, Gorostiza I, González A, Landa M, Ruiz L, Grau M. Prospective evaluation of clinical lung ultrasonography in the diagnosis of community-acquired pneumonia in a pediatric emergency department. Eur J Emerg Med. 2018;25:65-70.

doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2019.05.190132

The above letter was referred to the author of the article in question, who offers the following reply.

Response: Re: Signs and Symptoms That Rule Out Community-Acquired Pneumonia in **Outpatient Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis**

To the Editor: We thank Dr. Kazal for the comment on our publication "Signs and Symptoms That Rule out Community-Acquired Pneumonia in Outpatient Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." Not only is lung ultrasonography a possible alternative to chest radiography (CXR) for the diagnosis of community-acquired pneumo-