

Correspondence

Re: A Reflective Case Study in Family Medicine Advance Care Planning Conversations

To the Editor: Drs Tunzi and Ventres¹ have addressed the importance of discussing advance care planning (ACP) with an integrative approach. ACP conversations should be broad yet flexible, and the surrogate's inclusion in the discussion is critical. It is appropriate for family physicians to initiate an ACP dialog considering their usually close relationship with the family.

From our experience, the discussion should not be initiated based on an individual reaching 65 years of age. An ACP should be considered for all adults, and the discussion best initiated during an annual physical. We proposed several steps for a meaningful dialog in an office setting.² The physician should carefully prepare the ACP discussion: make it specific to the patient, allow adequate time, and even rehearse the methodology in approaching the issue. Emphasis should be placed on the fact that an advance directive (AD) is a legal document. It provides an individual's instructions regarding his/her future medical care and identifies the health care proxy agent. Furthermore, before the discussion, the physician should succinctly explain that ACP is a routine segment of an annual physical, and is relevant to adults of all ages.

Many factors may potentially arise during an ACP discussion.³ The physician may observe that the body language displayed demonstrates an uncomfortable attitude toward with the topic. Barriers are often raised⁴ that may be related to cultural,⁵ racial,⁶ religious,⁷ and/or gender issues.⁸ Medical procedures acceptable to the physician, may be unacceptable to the party involved. Some patients suffering a chronic illness and/or a terminal illness may nevertheless choose not to institute an AD.⁹ When a person refuses to enact an AD, the physician must respect that decision.

A family member or a close trusted friend should be considered as the proxy agent and encouraged to attend a subsequent visit. The physician should emphasize that the surrogate is guided by the patient's wishes which are clearly detailed in the AD document. The surrogate will act as the proxy agent only when a patient is incapacitated and furthermore, it is the duty of the proxy agent to ensure that the individual's directives and wishes be fulfilled.

As mentioned previously, the physician's predialog preparation is vitally important for a successful discourse. The ACP discussion both educates and explores the benefits of an AD, and further establishes that on signing the document, the individual can be confident that his/her wishes will be respected and conducted in the future.

Pascal J. de Caprariis, MD, FAAFP
Brooklyn, New York

To see this article online, please go to: <http://jabfm.org/content/32/4/639.full>.

References

1. Tunzi M, Ventres W. A reflective case study in family medicine advance care planning conversations. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2019;32:108–114.
2. de Caprariis PJ, Rucker B, Lyon C. Discussing advance care planning and directives in the general population. *South Med J* 2017;110:563–568.
3. Klugman CM. Advance directives to physicians: What every physician should know. *South Med J* 2012;105:289–291.
4. Spoelhof GD, Elliott B. Implementing advance directives in office practice. *Am Fam Physician* 2012;85:461–466.
5. Searight HR, Gafford J. Cultural diversity at the end of life: Issues and guidelines for family physicians. *Am Fam Physician* 2005;71:515–522.
6. Rhodes RL, Batchelor K, Lee SC, et al. Barriers to end-of-life care for African Americans from the providers' perspective: Opportunity for intervention development. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 2015;32:137–143.
7. Schmidt U. European Protestant churches reflect on end-of-life issues. May 1, 2015. Available from: <http://www.elca.org/JLE/Articles/1091>. Accessed February 20, 2019.
8. de Caprariis PJ, Thompson S, Lippman N, et al. It is time for a gender specific discussion on advanced directives with female patients during routine health visits. *J Community Health* 2013;38:995–996.
9. de Caprariis PJ, Carballo-Díéguez A, Thompson S, et al. Advance directives and HIV: A current trend in the inner city. *J Community Health*, 2013;38:409–413.

doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2019.04.190065

The above letter was referred to the author of the article in question, who offers the following reply.

Response: Re: A Reflective Case Study in Family Medicine Advance Care Planning Conversations

To the Editor: We appreciate Dr. de Caprariis' thoughtful comments on our article. Indeed, we agree with nearly all them!

We do have 2 comments in return:

First, we suspect many practicing family physicians will find the suggestion of discussing Advance Care Planning (ACP) with all adults somewhat overwhelming. While we strongly encourage our colleagues to do that, we believe that targeting people over 65 years of age and patients of any age with serious acute or chronic illness is an alternative, pragmatic approach.

Second, although we absolutely support including ACP conversations as part of routine health care maintenance, we wonder about linking them to "annual physical" appointments. Many family physicians integrate preventive care longitudinally; the challenge, it seems to us, is to make the time to discuss document ACP *some-*