

Exercise Stress Testing In Blood Pressure Evaluation

Phillip A. Hertzman, M.D.

Abstract: The purpose of this study was: (1) to confirm exercise stress testing as a standard in the diagnosis of hypertension, (2) to clarify which measurements during exercise testing are most reliable, and (3) to refine the definition of normal blood pressure response during ergometric exercise testing.

Blood pressure response during maximal ergometric exercise testing was observed in 183 persons with no history of hypertension, 176 persons with borderline blood pressure readings, and 60 established hypertensives.

Men and women had significantly different blood pressure response to exercise ($P < 0.05$). Comparison of blood pressures at 100-watts workload, at

peak exercise, and 5-minutes postexercise provided significant information for distinguishing between normal, borderline, and established hypertensive persons ($P < 0.025-0.001$). Comparing the slopes of pulse rate versus blood pressure linear regression curves was not helpful. Regression equations generated for predicting correct blood pressure classification improved classification accuracy (compared with random classification) by 44.9 percent in men and by 66.8 percent in women.

The results showed that ergometric stress testing in blood pressure evaluation is a safe and reliable procedure for aiding in a more accurate diagnosis of hypertension. (*J Am Bd Fam Pract* 1989; 2:161-8.)

The cardiovascular consequences of hypertension depend not only on resting blood pressure values but on blood pressure peaks induced by daily activity, exercise, and emotional responses.¹⁻³ It has been consistently shown that random resting blood pressure measurements are extremely variable in normal persons and even more variable in established and borderline hypertensives.⁴ For these and other reasons, several authors have increasingly stressed the usefulness of exercise stress testing for the diagnosis of hypertension.⁵⁻¹¹

Franz, in *Ergometry in Hypertensive Patients*, stated that determination of blood pressure response during standardized ergometry is clearly superior to resting blood pressure in diagnostic accuracy and specificity.⁹ In contrast to random resting blood pressure measurements, this method controls the level of physical and (to some extent) psychological stress and provides comparable and reproducible information.

It has been suggested that exercise testing can be used to differentiate patients with borderline benign blood pressure elevations from those who will develop sustained hypertension^{1,12-14} and also to predict which normal persons will develop clinically significant hypertension in the future.^{5,15-19} Retrospective studies have suggested that 10 to 25 percent of persons with borderline

hypertension may progress to sustained hypertension,²⁰ and two recent studies have reported that 80 to 97 percent of borderline hypertensives who react abnormally to exercise testing will develop established hypertension within 4 to 5 years.^{4,21}

Different measurements have been recommended by a number of authors as best suited for diagnosis. Franz has argued that the most important measurements are: (1) blood pressure at a workload equal to 100 watts, and (2) blood pressure at 5-minutes postexercise.⁹ Chaix¹² and Toto-Moukouo²² have suggested that the slopes of blood pressure-heart rate linear regression curves during exercise are significantly different in hypertensive versus normal populations. Others suggest the analysis of blood pressure at peak workload.^{6,13} The normal blood pressure response during exercise has been defined using a variety of protocols and endpoints^{18,23-35} (Table 1).

The goals of this study were: (1) to confirm exercise stress testing as a standard in the evaluation of hypertension, (2) to clarify which measurements during exercise testing are most reliable, and (3) to refine the definition of normal blood pressure response during ergometric exercise testing.

Methods

Patient Characteristics

Patients included in this study were selected from a private family practice medical office between June 1986 and August 1988. Their stress tests

Address reprint requests to Phillip A. Hertzman, M.D., Los Alamos Medical Center, Los Alamos, NM 87544.

were performed for a number of indications including chest pain, hypertension, and palpitations. Other stress tests were done on asymptomatic persons before they began a regular exercise program. None of the persons included in the study took medications that would affect blood pressure, and none had clinically important cardiovascular disease.

Patients were assigned to one of three blood pressure subgroups: (1) normal, (2) borderline, or (3) established hypertension. Those who were classified as normal had no history of hypertension. Persons were considered borderline if their blood pressure was 90 mmHg or greater on at least three out of six random diastolic blood pressure readings. Established hypertensives had at least

Table 1. Summary of Studies Defining Normal Blood Pressure Response during Exercise.

Author	Year	Peak Blood Pressure ($\pm$ 1 SD)	Subgroup	Number in Subgroup (if appl.)	Comments
Fraser, et al. ²³	1954	129 (12)/64 (7)	Women 22–30 years	11	Treadmill — 3 mph @ 5% grade 10 minutes Intraarterial BP
		132 (19)/74 (11)	Men 18–39 years	19	
		141 (17)/69 (6)	Men 40–57 years	11	
Astrand ¹⁷	1965	212 (23)/96 (13)	Men mean age = 54 years	80	Ergometer to pulse rate >130 mmHg.
		196 (22)/96 (13)	Women mean age = 54 years	103	
Sannerstedt ²⁴	1966	200 (15)/*	Men & Women	87	Ergometry to exhaustion Intraarterial BP
Al-Eshaiker, et al. ³⁴	1967	216 (17)/85 (16)	Men 20–30 years	50	Ergometry to exhaustion
Saltin, et al. ²⁵	1969	185 (23)/*	Men	42	Ergometer to onset of blood lactate accumulation
Bruce, et al. ²⁶	1974	185 (22)/71 (17)	Men mean age = 44.5 years	1275	Treadmill — Bruce protocol exhaustion
Pollack ²⁷	1976	169 (10)/71 (10)	Balke protocol	51 males mean age = 40.5	Various treadmill protocol
		177 (23)/75 (12)	Bruce protocol		
		175 (22)/77 (11)	Ellestad protocol		
		176 (24)/77 (10)	Astrand protocol		
Irving, et al. ²⁸	1977	187 (22)/73 (19)	Men	2532	Treadmill — Bruce protocol exhaustion
		165 (2)/78 (12)	Women	244	
Wolthuis, et al. ³⁵	1977	208 (*)/90 (*)	Men mean age = 37 years	704	Treadmill — Balke protocol exhaustion. Peak BP listed value for 90th percentile
Eriksen, et al. ²⁹	1980	213 (*)/*	Men 40–60 years	1004	Ergometer to 90% predicted maximum
Franz, et al. ³⁰	1982	188 (14)/92 (9)	Men 20–50 years	173	Ergometer to 100-watt workload
		185 (15)/93 (7)	Women 20–50 years	150	
Jackson, et al. ¹⁹	1983	183 (26)/*	Men mean age = 46 years	3479	Retrospective study
		159 (26)/*	Women mean age = 48 years	1377	
Hansen, et al. ³¹	1984	182 (23)/92 (11)	Men 34–74 years	43	Ergometer to exhaustion
		207 (27)/99 (12)			Intraarterial BP
Karlsson, et al. ³²	1985	187 (16)/*	Men mean age = 33 years	32	Ergometer to onset of blood lactate accumulation
Rasmusen, et al. ³³	1985	177 (*)/81 (*)	Indirect BP		Ergometer to exhaustion
		203 (*)/97 (*)	Intraarterial BP		10 men mean age = 32.6 17 women mean age = 32.2

*Data not available.

Table 2. Patient Characteristics by Subgroup.

	Normal	Borderline	Established Hypertension
Men	106	115	51
Women	79	61	9
Total	185	176	60
Age ± 1 SD	47.45 $\pm$ 10.14	46.93 $\pm$ 8.91	47.45 $\pm$ 10.45
Men	48.14 $\pm$ 10.12	46.06 $\pm$ 8.60	47.80 $\pm$ 11.02
Women	46.53 $\pm$ 10.15	48.56 $\pm$ 9.33	45.44 $\pm$ 6.42
Resting blood pressure mean (± 1 SD)			
Men	117 (14)/79 (9)	130 (14)/89 (8)	139 (12)/96 (7)
Women	109 (16)/74 (10)	130 (14)/88 (8)	137 (12)/93 (8)
Race (men/women)			
White	(94/66)	(102/53)	(38/4)
Hispanic	(10/13)	(12/8)	(11/2)
Oriental	(2/0)	(1/0)	(2/1)
Black	(0/0)	(0/0)	(0/2)

four out of six random diastolic blood pressure readings above 94 mmHg.

Average age was 47.23 years (± 9.67). There were 272 men and 149 women; 357 whites, 56 Hispanics, 6 Orientals, and 2 blacks. One hundred eighty-five had normal blood pressure, 176 were borderline, and 60 were established hypertensives. Characteristics by subgroup (including mean resting blood pressures values) are shown in Table 2.

Protocols

Before testing, each person completed an exercise history questionnaire and signed an informed consent. When contraindications³⁶ were identified, testing was not performed.

Resting and hyperventilation ECGs were recorded after three baseline blood pressures were obtained with a mercury manometer in which the arm was positioned at the horizontal level of the fourth intercostal space with the manometer at the same level. The width of the cuff used was based on the circumference of the midpoint of the arm as described in the guidelines published by the American Heart Association.³⁷ The fifth phase (disappearance of sound) of the Korotkoff sounds was used to determine diastolic pressure.

Testing was performed on a Bosch microprocessor-controlled ergometer (Model Erg 550), which allows workload to be independent of pedal speed. It is more accurate for blood pressure meas-

urement than a treadmill system because of a lower noise level and greater stability of the upper body.

Maximum oxygen consumption was estimated³⁸ and converted to expected maximal workload. A workrate increment was selected that would result in a test lasting between 6 and 12 minutes. In order to obtain meaningful comparisons among different age groups, it is necessary to reach a heart rate equal to at least 85 percent of the estimated maximal heart rate.¹⁹ Data from 23 persons who were unable to perform to this level were not included in these results. We experienced no significant problems in relation to persons being unable to perform on the ergometer, nor did any complications arise during testing.

Using a modified Balke protocol, the workload was increased at 1-minute intervals in 25 to 50-watt increments. During the test, the 12-lead ECG was constantly monitored by a 3-channel oscilloscope (Marquette Case 12 system), and an ECG was printed at 1-minute intervals. Blood pressure and pulse rate were monitored each minute. Supine ECGs and blood pressures were recorded at 1-minute and 5-minutes postexercise.

Linear Regression and Statistical Analysis of Blood Pressure Response

Variables included in the statistical analysis were resting, 100-watt, peak, and recovery blood pressure values.

Linear regression curves were calculated using the method of least squares. Linear regressions

Table 3. Mean Blood Pressure Results (± 1 SD) by Blood Pressure Subgroup.

Subgroup	n	Blood Pressure 100 Watts	Blood Pressure Peak	Blood Pressure Recovery
Normal				
Men	106	160 (25)/92 (11)	202 (25)/101 (12)	134 (22)/76 (9)
Women	79	156 (23)/91 (11)	168 (23)/93 (10)	122 (22)/73 (11)
Borderline				
Men	115	170 (21)/100 (9)	217 (27)/109 (10)	150 (29)/84 (10)
Women	61	181 (21)/105 (8)	196 (21)/109 (9)	139 (20)/84 (8)
Established				
Men	51	186 (20)/112 (10)	230 (20)/121 (10)	160 (25)/93 (11)
Women	9	196 (33)/112 (10)	218 (22)/126 (26)	147 (22)/92 (5)

and correlation coefficients were calculated for pulse rate versus systolic blood pressure and for pulse rate versus diastolic blood pressure. Linear regression data that were retained exhibited significantly positive correlation coefficients ($r > 0.63$).

Means and standard deviations were calculated separately for men and women in each subgroup. An analysis-of-variance model was used to compare subgroups. When appropriate, post-hoc testing was performed using the Scheffé multiple comparison procedure. Finally, discriminant analysis was done using a regression classification technique. Regression equations for each subgroup were generated retaining independent variables with F probability < 0.10 .

Results

Descriptive Statistics

Mean results for blood pressure values are listed in Table 3, and 90th percentile values for these parameters are presented in Table 4. Table 5 shows

Table 4. Blood Pressure Results — 90th Percentile Values by Blood Pressure Subgroup.

Subgroup	n	Blood Pressure Resting	Blood Pressure 100 Watts	Blood Pressure Peak	Blood Pressure Recovery
Normal					
Men	106	135/89	190/106	230/118	160/90
Women	79	130/84	185/103	197/108	142/90
Borderline					
Men	115	149/97	200/110	250/120	198/98
Women	61	152/95	208/118	218/120	160/92
Established					
Men	51	156/106	210/122	260/136	196/108
Women	9	154/100	240/124	247/158	176/100

mean results for the slopes of the pulse rate versus blood pressure linear regression curves.

Normal Subgroup

Mean values ($\pm$ one standard deviation) and 90th percentile values for the normal subgroup were:

1. Resting BP
Men = 117 (14)/79 (9); 135/89
Women = 109 (16)/74 (10); 130/84
2. BP at 100 watts
Men = 160 (25)/92 (11); 190/106
Women = 156 (23)/91 (11); 185/103
3. BP at peak exercise
Men = 202 (25)/101 (12); 230/118
Women = 168 (23)/93 (10); 197/108
4. BP 5-minutes postexercise
Men = 134 (22)/76 (9); 160/90
Women = 122 (22)/73 (11); 142/90

Subgroup Comparisons

When analysis of variance was performed designating blood pressure subgroup as factor A and sex category as factor B, a significant AB interaction ($P < 0.05$) was identified for all variables except for diastolic recovery blood pressure and the slopes of the linear regression curves. In contrast, when patients within each subgroup were stratified according to age by decade (factor C), no significant AC or BC interaction ($P > 0.05$) for age category could be shown for any of the variables. For this reason, analysis of variance, Scheffé post-hoc testing, and discriminant analysis were subsequently used on data for men and women independently without respect to age category. P values for subgroup comparisons are listed in Table 6.

Table 5. Mean Slopes (± 1 SD) for Pulse Rate versus Blood Pressure Linear Regression Curves by Blood Pressure Subgroup.

	n	Systolic	Diastolic
Normal			
Men	106	1.049 (0.352)	0.260 (0.149)
Women	79	0.806 (0.376)	0.259 (0.130)
Borderline			
Men	115	1.070 (0.328)	0.230 (0.146)
Women	61	0.880 (0.301)	0.292 (0.115)
Established			
Men	51	1.178 (0.343)	0.312 (0.196)
Women	9	1.143 (0.309)	0.378 (0.164)

Normal versus Established Hypertension

Significant differences were identified between normal versus hypertensive patients for blood pressures at rest, 100 watts, peak, and 5-minutes recovery ($P < 0.001$ – $P < 0.005$) (Table 6) for both men and women. For women, but not for men, there were also significant differences when the slopes of the pulse rate–blood pressure linear regression curves were compared ($P < 0.025$).

Normal versus Borderline

For normal versus borderline subgroups, there were significant differences for all variables ($P < 0.001$ – $P < 0.005$) except systolic and diastolic slope ($P > 0.05$).

Borderline versus Established Hypertension

When comparing borderline versus established hypertension subgroups, significant differences were identified for all variables ($P < 0.01$ – $P < 0.001$) except systolic recovery blood pressure and systolic slope for men. For women, however, there were no significant differences between these subgroups for any of the exercise parameters ($P > 0.05$) except systolic ($P < 0.025$) and diastolic ($P < 0.001$) peak blood pressures.

Discriminant Analysis

Multiple regression prediction equations were calculated separately for men and women. The F-probabilities and Wilk's Lambdas for the variables retained in the discriminant analysis prediction equations are listed in Table 7 with regression coefficients for the equations in Table 8. These equations improve the classification accuracy (compared with random classification) by 44.9 percent for men and 66.8 percent for women.

Discussion

The results of this study show that men and women exhibit significantly different blood pressure responses to exercise, but the age of the patient does not affect blood pressure response to exercise.

Table 6. P Values for Subgroup Comparisons of Exercise Measurements: Hypertension Subgroups Compared.*

Exercise Measurement	Normal versus Borderline		Normal versus Established		Borderline versus Established	
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Systolic BP resting	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	NS
Diastolic BP resting	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	NS
Systolic BP 100 watts	<0.005	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	NS
Diastolic BP 100 watts	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	NS
Systolic BP peak	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.01	<0.025
Diastolic BP peak	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Systolic BP recovery	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.005	NS	NS
Diastolic BP recovery	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	NS
Systolic slope	NS	NS	NS	<0.025	NS	NS
Diastolic slope	NS	NS	NS	<0.025	<0.01	NS

*Subgroups are first compared using a general linear model — analysis of variance. When F values are statistically significant, post-hoc testing is performed using the Scheffé test. NS = not significant.

Table 7. F Probabilities for Variables Retained in Discriminant Analysis Regression Analysis.

Blood Pressure Variable	Men	Women
Diastolic resting	0.0000	0.0059
Diastolic 100 watts	0.0002	0.0736
Systolic maximum	—	0.0794
Diastolic maximum	—	0.0002
Diastolic recovery	0.0178	—
Wilk's lambda	0.6113	0.4915

Measuring blood pressure response at rest, 100-watts workload, peak workload, and 5-minutes recovery provides information that may be used to help discriminate between normal and hypertensive persons and between normal and borderline persons for both men and women. These variables are also useful in differentiating between borderline and established hypertensives for men but not for women. There were no consistent significant differences between subgroups for the slopes of the pulse rate-blood pressure linear regression curves.

When compared with the results of other investigators (Table 1), normal values for peak exercise blood pressure and for linear regression slopes in this study tended to be higher.^{10,20}

The regression equations produced by discriminant analysis may be used to estimate the probability that a patient should be classified in a particular subgroup. This is particularly helpful in predicting whether a borderline person is more likely to be normal or hypertensive.

The code for the equations listed below is: P = probability that individual is in given subgroup, NOR = normal, BORD = borderline, EST = established, DO = diastolic resting blood pressure, D100 = diastolic blood pressure at 100 watts, SP = systolic peak blood pressure, DP = diastolic peak blood pressure, DR = diastolic recovery blood pressure.

For men:

$$P(\text{NOR}) = 2.960255 - 0.018955 \times \text{DO} - 0.003741 \times \text{D100} - 0.006824 \times \text{DR}$$

$$P(\text{BORD}) = -0.2477048 + 0.012068 \times \text{DO} - 0.006011 \times \text{D100} + 0.002694 \times \text{DR}$$

$$P(\text{EST}) = -1.71255 + 0.006887 \times \text{DO} + 0.009752 \times \text{D100} + 0.004131 \times \text{DR}$$

For women:

$$P(\text{NOR}) = 3.160482 - 0.013287 \times \text{DO} - 0.002735 \times \text{D100} - 0.003048 \times \text{SP} - 0.007232 \times \text{DP}$$

$$P(\text{BORD}) = -1.664489 + 0.014140 \times \text{DO} + 0.009926 \times \text{D100} + 0.001795 \times \text{SP} - 0.003588 \times \text{DP}$$

$$P(\text{EST}) = -0.4959931 - 0.000853 \times \text{DO} - 0.007191 \times \text{D100} + 0.001253 \times \text{SP} + 0.010820 \times \text{DP}$$

Limitations and Future Issues

Two limitations of the study are: (1) that obesity was not examined as a possible interacting factor, and (2) that a larger population of blacks was not evaluated.

Other essential questions that warrant further study and that will require long-term collection of data and additional scrutiny are:

1. Can this method help to identify the subgroup of hypertensives at increased risk for cardiovascular sequelae?

Table 8. Regression Coefficients for Subgroup Prediction Equations.

Group	Normal		Borderline		Established Hypertension	
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Constant	2.960255	3.160482	-0.2477048	-1.664489	-1.71255	0.4959931
Blood pressure						
Diastolic resting	-0.018955	-0.013287	0.012068	0.014140	0.006887	-0.000853
Diastolic 100 watts	-0.003741	-0.002735	-0.006011	0.009926	0.009752	0.007191
Systolic maximum		-0.003048		0.001795		0.001253
Diastolic maximum		-0.007232		-0.003588		0.010820
Diastolic recovery	-0.006824		0.002694		0.004131	

2. Is this technique an accurate predictor of the development of hypertension in normal persons?
3. Could this approach be used effectively for monitoring the therapeutic response in treated hypertensives?

Summary

Exercise stress testing in blood pressure analysis is a sensitive technique for distinguishing among normal, borderline, and genuinely hypertensive patients. Blood pressure measurements at 100-watts workload, peak workload, and 5-minutes postexercise are useful for diagnosing hypertension. Evaluating the slopes of the pulse rate versus blood pressure linear regression curves does not provide any significant additional diagnostic information. This experience suggests that ergometric stress testing in blood pressure analysis is a safe and reliable procedure for aiding in the diagnosis of hypertension.

I am indebted to Mary Harris, Ph.D., Betty Skipper, Ph.D., and Gary Tjietgen, Ph.D., for their advice and assistance with the statistical analysis and to David Church, M.D., and Paul Koynat, M.D., for their advice and review of the manuscript.

References

1. Franz IW, Wiewel D. Antihypertensive effects on blood pressure at rest and during exercise of calcium antagonists, β -receptor blockers, and their combination in hypertensive patients. *J Cardiovas Pharmacol* 1984; 6(Suppl):1037-42.
2. Nathwani D, Reeves RA, Marquez-Julio A, Leenen FH. Left ventricular hypertrophy in mild hypertension: correlation with exercise blood pressure. *Am Heart J* 1985; 109:386-7.
3. Ren JF, Hakki AH, Kotler MN, Iskandrian AS. Exercise systolic blood pressure: a powerful determinant of increased left ventricular mass in patients with hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1985; 5:1224-31.
4. Franz IW. Exercise hypertension: its measurement and evaluation. *Herz* 1987; Apr 12(2):99-109.
5. Fan F, Wright RA. Role of exercise treadmill in diagnosing hypertension. *J Natl Med Assoc* 1985; 77:1014-5.
6. Wilson NV, Meyer BM. Early prediction of hypertension using exercise blood pressure. *Prev Med* 1981; 10:62-8.
7. Ellestad M. Stress testing, principles and practice. Philadelphia: F.A. Davis, 1986:365.
8. Cronin CJ, Owens CW, Prichard BN. Exercise testing in hypertensive patients [letter]. *JAMA* 1984; 251:343.
9. Franz IW. Ergometry in hypertensive patients, implications for diagnosis and treatment. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1986.
10. Sannerstedt R. Exercise in the patient with arterial hypertension. *Practical Cardiol* 1981; 7:89-100.
11. Martinez-Caro D, Alegria E, Lorente D, Azpilicueta J, Calabuig J. Diagnostic value of stress testing in the elderly. *Eur Heart J* 1984; 5(Suppl E):63-7.
12. Chaix RL, Dimitriu VM, Wagniar PR, Safar ME. A simple exercise test in borderline and sustained essential hypertension. *Int J Cardiol* 1982; 1:371-82.
13. Millar-Craig MW, Balasubramanian V, Mann S, Raftery EB. Use of graded exercise testing in assessing the hypertensive patient. *Clin Cardiol* 1980; 3:236-40.
14. Franz IW. Assessment of blood pressure response during ergometric work in normotensive and hypertensive patients. *Acta Med Scand* 1982; 670(Suppl):35-47.
15. Ellestad M. Stress testing. 1986:368.
16. Hansen HS, Jrgensen O, Hyldebrandt N. Blood pressure in children, measured at rest and during exertion. *Acta Med Scand* 1985; 693(Suppl):47-50.
17. Astrand I. Blood pressure during physical work in a group of 221 women and men 48-63 years old. *Acta Med Scand* 1965; 178:41-6.
18. Dlin RA, Hanne N, Silverberg DS, Bar-Or O. Follow-up of normotensive men with exaggerated blood pressure response to exercise. *Am Heart J* 1983; 106:316-20.
19. Jackson AS, Squires WG, Grimes G, Beard EF. Prediction of future resting hypertension from exercise blood pressure. *J Cardiac Rehabil* 1983; 3:263-8.
20. Julius S, Schork MA. Borderline hypertension—a critical review. *J Chronic Dis* 1971; 23:723-54.
21. Patyna WD. Die prognostische Bedeutung des Belastungsblutdrucks für die Hypertonieentstehung bei Koronärkranken. *Herz* 1984; 12:627.
22. Toto-Moukouo J, Asmar RG. Use of exercise testing in the evaluation of patients with borderline hypertension. *Practical Cardiol* 1984; 10:61.
23. Fraser R, Carleton A, Chapman B. Studies on the effect of exercise on cardiovascular function. *Circulation* 1954; 9:193-8.
24. Sannerstedt R. Hemodynamic response to exercise in patients with arterial hypertension. *Acta Med Scand* 1966; 458(Suppl):1-83.
25. Saltin B, Hartley LH, Kilbom A, Astrand I. Physical training in sedentary middle-aged and older men. II. Oxygen uptake, heart rate, and blood lactate concentration at submaximal and maximal exercise. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1969; 24:323-34.
26. Bruce RA, Gey GO Jr, Cooper MN. Seattle Heart Watch: initial clinical, circulatory and electrocardiographic responses to maximal exercise. *Am J Cardiol* 1974; 33:459-69.
27. Pollock ML, Bohannon RL, Cooper KH, et al. A comparative analysis of four protocols for maximal treadmill stress testing. *Am Heart J* 1976; 92:39-46.
28. Irving JB, Bruce RA, DeRouen TA. Variations in and significance of systolic pressure during maximal exercise (treadmill) testing. *Am J Cardiol* 1977; 39:841-8.

29. Erikssen J, Jervell J, Forfang K. Blood pressure responses to bicycle exercise testing in apparently healthy middle-aged men. *Cardiology* 1980; 66:56-63.
30. Franz IW, Bartels F, Muller R. [Blood pressure response to ergometric work in normotensive subjects, aged 20-50 years]. *Z Kardiol* 1982; 71:458-65.
31. Hansen JE, Sue DY, Wasserman K. Predicted values for clinical exercise testing. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1984; 129(Suppl):S49-S55.
32. Karlsson J, Wahlberg F, Kaijser C, Sannerstedt R. Blood pressure response in relation to blood lactate during exercise in patients with essential hypertension. *Int J Sports Med* 1985; 6:169-73.
33. Rasmussen PH, Staats BA, Driscoll DJ, Beck KC, Bonecat HW. Direct and indirect blood pressure during exercise. *Chest* 1985; 87:743-8.
34. Al-Eshaiker M, Miller H. Untersuchungen zur Beurteilung des arteriellen Druckes bei ansteigender ergometrischer Leistung. [Inaugural-Dissertation] an der medizinischen Fakultät der Freien Universität. Berlin, 1967.
35. Wolthuis RA, Froelicher VF Jr, Fischer J, Triebwasser JA. The response of healthy men to treadmill exercise. *Circulation* 1977; 55:153-7.
36. American College of Sports Medicine. Guidelines for exercise testing and prescription. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger, 1986.
37. Kirkendall WM, Feinleib M, Freis ED, Mark AL. Recommendations for human blood pressure determination by sphygmomanometers. Subcommittee of the AAA Postgraduate Education Committee. *Circulation* 1980; 62:1146A-1155A.
38. Wasserman K, Hansen JE, Sue DY, Whipp BJ. Principles of exercise testing and interpretation. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger, 1987.

GLEANINGS FROM A COMMONPLACE BOOK — *NJP*

"A future worth contemplating will not be achieved solely by flights to the far side of the moon. It will not be found in space. It will be achieved, if it is achieved at all, only in our individual hearts. This is the choice that has been presented man, a free agent, as one who can look before and after in the cosmos."

Loren Eiseley

"To see with one's own eyes, to feel and judge without succumbing to the suggestive power of the fashion of the day, to be able to express what one has seen and felt in a trim sentence or even in a cunningly wrought word—is that not glorious? Is it not a proper subject for congratulation?"

A. Einstein

"In evaluating American medicine it can be shown that the allurements of subspecialism allowed comprehensive care to lapse into a decline. Captivated by technology and its costly results, American medicine has forgotten the object of its existence—the whole patient. Needed to restore American medicine to its once highly respected place in society are well-trained family physicians, experienced clinicians, innovative health care systems, and *de facto* caring. The specialty of family practice has shown that the elements to check the centrifugal trend toward splintered care can be controlled.

"One need not gaze longingly to Ivy League medical schools for solutions to the practical problems that face health care. The successful state medical schools have found their answers right in their own purlieus. Family practice offers an agenda of strategies; some of these are new and some are such that they recapture the venerable qualities of the physician, all of which are practicable expedients that the public can appreciate and the family practice programs can implement, and even Deans can understand."

N.J. Pisacano